



Dear [REDACTED]

Freedom of information request: 18/38258 – Section 28 Greenway

I refer to your application under section 30 of the *Freedom of Information Act 2016* (the Act), received by the Environment, Planning and Sustainable Development Directorate (EPSDD) on 17 December 2018, in which you sought access to documents relevant to Development Applications (DAs) 201732192 and 201732193.

On 11 January 2019, the scope of your request was confirmed as:

For the purpose of this request:

“Date Range” means 15 March 2017 to 1 December 2018.

“Development Applications” means the development applications approved in the development approvals numbered DA 201731192 and DA 201732193 which approve development subject to conditions for Block 4, Section 28 Greenway of the Australian Capital Territory.

We wish to request the following documents in the Date Range:

1. *Any documents evidencing conditions recommended by TCCS in relation to the Development Applications;*
2. *Any documents relation to the Development Applications concerning:*
 - a) *parking provision for a dog park on Mortimer Lewis Drive;*
 - b) *dog park car parking availability*
 - c) *the extension of a slip lane on Mortimer Lewis Drive;*
 - d) *slip roads*
3. *Any of the following documents relevant to the consideration of the Development Applications:*
 - a) *traffic assessments;*
 - b) *road network assessments;*
 - c) *traffic analysis; and*
 - d) *traffic reports*
4. *Any advice provided by TCCS to ACTPLA in relation to the Development Applications;*
5. *Any communications between ACTPLA and other entities in relation to the matters in paragraphs 1 – 4 inclusive above; and*
6. *Documents evidencing communications between TCCS and ACTPLA relating to consideration of matters in paragraphs 1 – 4 inclusive above.*

I am an Information Officer appointed under section 18 of the Act to deal with access applications made under Part 5 of the Act.

EPSDD is required to make a decision on your access application by 11 February 2019.

Decision on access

Searches were completed for relevant documents and 38 documents were identified that fall within the scope of your request.

I have included, at **Attachment A** to this decision, the schedule of relevant documents. This schedule provides a description of each document that falls within the scope of your request and the access decision for each of those documents.

I have decided to grant access, in full, to 30 of the documents.

Duplicated attachments have been identified on the schedule but have not been provided.

I have decided to grant access, under section 50 of the Act, to copies of documents with deletions applied to information that I consider would be contrary to the public interest to disclose. The release status of these 8 documents is indicated in the attached schedule as 'partial release'.

My access decisions are detailed further in the following statement of reasons and the documents released to you are provided as **Attachment B** to this letter.

Material considered

In reaching my decision, I have taken the following into account:

- the Act, particularly sections 17, 50, and Schedule 2;
- the content of the documents that fall within the scope of your request; and
- the *Information Privacy Act 2014* (Privacy Act)

Exemptions claimed

My reasons for deciding not to grant access to documents in full are as follows:

Contrary to the public interest information under Schedule 2 of the Act

The Act recognises the right of every person to obtain access, under its provisions, to government information (see section 7, the Act). As an Information Officer, I am required to allow access to information subject to the Act, unless, on balance, disclosure would be contrary to the public interest.

In assessing the public interest, I must apply the cascading test set out by section 17 of the Act which requires consideration of factors favouring disclosure, any favouring nondisclosure and the balance of those factors when considered cumulatively.

Personal Information

Scheduled items indicated for partial release in **Attachment B**, contain information that falls within the meaning of personal information as defined by the Act.

I have considered the Territory Privacy Principles of the Privacy Act and the release of this information and my view in this instance is that the identification of these third parties would not serve to further open discussion, government accountability, informed debate and the provision of contextual or background information.

I am further satisfied that the disclosure of identified personal information could reasonably be expected to prejudice the protection of an individual's right to privacy under the *Human Rights Act 2004*. This is a factor favouring nondisclosure under Schedule 2.2(a)(ii) of the Act.

In balancing public interest considerations, I am satisfied that, on the information available, disclosure of the identified personal information of members of the public may constitute a breach of privacy and disclosure is therefore contrary to the public interest.

Online publishing – disclosure log

Under section 28 of the Act, EPSDD maintains an online record of access applications called a disclosure log. Your original access application, my decision and documents released to you in response to your access application will be published in the EPSDD disclosure log from three days after the date of this decision. Your personal contact details will not be published.

You may view the EPSDD's disclosure log at

<https://www.environment.act.gov.au/about/access-government-information/disclosure-log>

Ombudsman review

My decision on your access application is a reviewable decision as identified in Schedule 3 of the Act. You have the right to seek Ombudsman review of this outcome under section 73 of the Act within 20 working days from the day that my decision is published in the disclosure log, or a longer period allowed by the Ombudsman. For more information and the application form for Ombudsman review, please visit:

http://www.ombudsman.act.gov.au/data/assets/pdf_file/0026/79190/40A-Reviews-Factsheet-and-Application-Form-final-A1569634.pdf

Alternatively, you may write to the Ombudsman at:

The ACT Ombudsman
GPO Box 442
CANBERRA ACT 2601

Via email: actfoi@ombudsman.gov.au

ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal (ACAT) review

Under section 84 of the Act, if a decision is made under section 82(1) on an Ombudsman review, you may apply to the ACAT for review of the Ombudsman decision.

Further information may be obtained from the ACAT at:

ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal
Level 4, 1 Moore St
GPO Box 370
Canberra City ACT 2601
Telephone: (02) 6207 1740
<http://www.acat.act.gov.au/>

If you have any queries concerning the Directorate's processing of your request, or would like further information, please contact EPSDD Customer Service on (02) 6207 1923 and ask for the FOI team, or send an email to EPSDFOI@act.gov.au.

Yours sincerely



Brett Phillips
Information Officer
Environment, Planning and Sustainable Development Directorate

8 February 2019