

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N<sup>o</sup>: 868



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 2203152.979 N 600636.687
Date of Survey:	4 <sup>th</sup> November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	7	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	600	N <sup>o</sup> of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment: Stunted weak canopy.				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			N	Is work cost effective?:			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Poor form.	

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 869



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203156.692	N600637.175
Date of Survey:	4 <sup>th</sup> November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	9	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	800	N° of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						G	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	H		Comment:	Good young tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N			Is work cost effective?:			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 870



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203162.530	N 600646.672
Date of Survey:	4 <sup>th</sup> November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	9	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	900	N° of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	H		Comment:	Good form and healthy.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Retain lower branches.	

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 871



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203166.802	N 600659.016
Date of Survey:	4 <sup>th</sup> November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	8	Canopy spread:	7	Trunk Circum:	1100	N° of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment: Stunted tree.				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			N	Is work cost effective?:			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 872



Regulated Tree:	Y
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203172.680	N 600668.506
Date of Survey:	4 <sup>th</sup> November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	9	Canopy spread:	7	Trunk Circum:	1600	N° of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Removal of one trunk will damage tree.	

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 873



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203177.096	N 600668.661
Date of Survey:	4th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	600	N° of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						L
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Weeping unions.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y			Is work cost effective?:	N		
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Minor dead branches and signs of trunk disease.	

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N<sup>o</sup>: 874



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203186.511	N 600683.364
Date of Survey:	4th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	10	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	900	N <sup>o</sup> of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	H		Comment:	Good healthy tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 875



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203189.217	N 600687.486
Date of Survey:	4th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus bicostata			Common Name:	Blue gum		
Height:	10	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	600	N° of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						L
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						L
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						P	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Almost dead.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N			Is work cost effective?:			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	b, c & d always apply						
Arboricultural Notes:							

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N<sup>o</sup>: 876



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203188.438	N 600691.794
Date of Survey:	4th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	6	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	600	N <sup>o</sup> of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						P	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Small stunted tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N			Is work cost effective?:			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N<sup>o</sup>: 877



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203194.725	N 600694.844
Date of Survey:	4th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	7	Canopy spread:	2	Trunk Circum:	600	N <sup>o</sup> of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						P	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Dead branches.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y			Is work cost effective?:	N		
Landscape Groups:	Comment:						

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Diseased trunk.	

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N<sup>o</sup>: 878



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203194.616	N 600701.248
Date of Survey:	4th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	10	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	1100	N <sup>o</sup> of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						P	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Dying back from top of tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y			Is work cost effective?:	N		
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Diseased trunk.	

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 879



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203173.568 N600639.511
Date of Survey:	4 <sup>th</sup> November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	10	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	1100	N° of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						G	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		M	Comment:		Good young tree.		
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			N	Is work cost effective?:			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 880



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203168.695	N 600632.033
Date of Survey:	4 <sup>th</sup> November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	600	N° of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						G	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Good young tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N			Is work cost effective?:			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N<sup>o</sup>: 881



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203162.626	N 600622.204
Date of Survey:	4 <sup>th</sup> November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	9	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	1300	N <sup>o</sup> of Trunks	5
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	Y			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove four lower trunks.	

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N<sup>o</sup>: 882



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203156.271	N 600612.393
Date of Survey:	4 <sup>th</sup> November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	10	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	800	N <sup>o</sup> of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Good young tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	Y			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove small secondary trunks.	

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 883



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203148.741	N 600600.169
Date of Survey:	4 <sup>th</sup> November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	11	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	900	N° of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						G	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Poor union at 3 metres.	

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1012



Regulated Tree:	Y
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202845.273	N 600164.794
Date of Survey:	4 <sup>th</sup> November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	6.5	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	1700	N° of Trunks	4
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						P	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	M			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Removing trunks will damage tree.	

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1013



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202828.964	N 600139.945
Date of Survey:	4 <sup>th</sup> November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	4	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	500	N° of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						P	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment: Coppice shoot.				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			N	Is work cost effective?:			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply					
Arboricultural Notes:							

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1014



Regulated Tree:	Y
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202813.301 N 600115.735
Date of Survey:	4 <sup>th</sup> November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8.5	Canopy spread:	7	Trunk Circum:	1900	N° of Trunks	4
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Removing trunks will damage tree.	

# MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°:	1015
----------	------



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

## Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202807.188	N 600106.092
Date of Survey:	4 <sup>th</sup> November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

## Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	7	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	900	N° of Trunks	4
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

## Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Poor form and coppice growth.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y			Is work cost effective?:	N		
Landscape Groups:	Comment:						

## Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		