

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°:	1269
----------	------



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202614.338	N 599795.015
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	11.2	Canopy spread:	8	Trunk Circum:	1100	N° of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						G	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		H	Comment: Reasonably good tree.				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			N	Is work cost effective?:			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply					
Arboricultural Notes:							

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1270



Regulated Tree:	Y
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202827.583	N 599655.983
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	6.6	Canopy spread:	3	Trunk Circum:	1500	N° of Trunks	5
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						L
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						P	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Mainly coppice growth.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N			Is work cost effective?:			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	b, c & d always apply						
Arboricultural Notes:	Dead main stem.						

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1271



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202777.441	N 599685.879
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus mannifera			Common Name:	White brittle gum		
Height:	7.6	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	1300	N ^o of Trunks	6
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						P	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	All coppice growth.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y			Is work cost effective?:	N		
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1272



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202723.959	N 599716.749
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	5.2	Canopy spread:	3.5	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	3
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						P	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Small poor form tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°:	1273
----------	------



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202709.318	N 599724.638
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8.5	Canopy spread:	7	Trunk Circum:	900	N° of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Poor unions throughout tree.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1274



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202686.481	N 599737.473
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	4.5	Canopy spread:	3	Trunk Circum:	1400	N° of Trunks	4
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1275



Regulated Tree:	Y
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202678.426	N 599742.154
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	10.2	Canopy spread:	7	Trunk Circum:	1600	N° of Trunks	3
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Coppice from ground level.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1276



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202671.803	N 599746.178
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	9.5	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	1300	N° of Trunks	3
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Coppice from ground level.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1277



R	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202645.475	N 599760.697
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	6.8	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	1100	N° of Trunks	3
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Coppice from ground level.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1278



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202637.821	N 599764.820
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	10.4	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	1100	N° of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Two trunks from ground level, removal of one trunk will damage tree.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1279



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202629.314	N599770.291
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	10.8	Canopy spread:	7	Trunk Circum:	900	N° of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	L	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Poor form, second trunk from base.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1280



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202611.048	N 599780.968
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	6	Canopy spread:	3	Trunk Circum:	600	N° of Trunks	3
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Coppice growth only.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1281



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202608.433	N 599766.015
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	8.6	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	800	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						L
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L	
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment: Poor form.					
Economic justification for retention or removal:								
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:				N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:						

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply					
Arboricultural Notes:	Diseased lower trunk.						

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1282



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202613.609	N 5599763.927
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	9.6	Canopy spread:	8	Trunk Circum:	1300	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						L
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Significant diseased lower trunk.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1283



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202622.025	N 599760.244
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	9.2	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	H		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	Y			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Minor dead wood.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1284



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	N
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202629.058	N 599756.381
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	9.4	Canopy spread:	8	Trunk Circum:	1100	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	H		Comment:	Good tree, minor lean.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1285



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202633.207	N 599753.879
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8.7	Canopy spread:	7	Trunk Circum:	800	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		H	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			Y
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove small lower branches.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°:	1286
----------	------



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202635.822	N 599751.120
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus mannifera			Common Name:	White brittle gum		
Height:	6.8	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	600	N° of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	H		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	Y			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove small coppice growth.	