


MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 871



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203166.802	N 600659.016
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	8	Canopy spread:	7	Trunk Circum:	1100	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						F
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Stunted tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 872



Regulated Tree:	Y
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203172.680	N 600668.506
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	9	Canopy spread:	7	Trunk Circum:	1600	N ^o of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						F
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Removal of one trunk will damage tree.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 873



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203177.096	N 600668.661
Date of Survey:	4th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	600	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						L
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						F
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Weeping unions.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Minor dead branches and signs of trunk disease.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N ^o :	874
-----------------------	-----



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203186.511	N 600683.364
Date of Survey:	4th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	10	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	H		Comment:	Good healthy tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 875



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203189.217	N 600687.486
Date of Survey:	4th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus bicostata			Common Name:	Blue gum		
Height:	10	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	600	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						L
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						L
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Almost dead.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	b, c & d always apply						
Arboricultural Notes:							

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 876



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203188.438	N 600691.794
Date of Survey:	4th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	6	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	600	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Small stunted tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 877



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203194.725	N 600694.844
Date of Survey:	4th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	7	Canopy spread:	2	Trunk Circum:	600	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Dead branches.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y			Is work cost effective?:	N		
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Diseased trunk.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N ^o :	878
-----------------------	-----



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203194.616	N 600701.248
Date of Survey:	4th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	10	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	1100	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Dying back from top of tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y			Is work cost effective?:	N		
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Diseased trunk.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 879



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203173.568	N600639.511
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	10	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	1100	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Good young tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 880



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203168.695	N 600632.033
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	600	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Good young tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 881



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203162.626	N 600622.204
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	9	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	1300	N ^o of Trunks	5
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	Y			
Landscape Groups:	Comment:						

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove four lower trunks.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 882



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203156.271	N 600612.393
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	10	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	800	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Good young tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	Y			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove small secondary trunks.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 883



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203148.741	N 600600.169
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	11	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Poor union at 3 metres.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1012



Regulated Tree:	Y
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202845.273	N 600164.794
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	6.5	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	1700	N ^o of Trunks	4
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	M			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Removing trunks will damage tree.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1013



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202828.964 N 600139.945
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	4	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	500	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Coppice shoot.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1014



Regulated Tree:	Y
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202813.301 N 600115.735
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8.5	Canopy spread:	7	Trunk Circum:	1900	N ^o of Trunks	4
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						F
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Removing trunks will damage tree.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1015



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202807.188	N 600106.092
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	7	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	4
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						F
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Poor form and coppice growth.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1016



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202801.132 N 600108.609
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	5.5	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	600	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	A good young tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Light prune.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1017



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202800.387	N 600101.339
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	7	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	1300	N ^o of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Removing second trunk will damage tree.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1018



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202798.220	N600100.385
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	6.5	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	800	N ^o of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Removing second trunk will damage tree.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1019



Regulated Tree:	Y
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202795.449	N 600097.049
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	7	Canopy spread:	7	Trunk Circum:	1600	N ^o of Trunks	3
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	H		Comment:	Good form.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	Y			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Requires light pruning.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1020



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202797.845	N 600092.251
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8.5	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	800	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Poor union at 1.5 metres.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1021



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202791.517	N600089.570
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus mannifera			Common Name:	White brittle gum		
Height:	5	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	600	N ^o of Trunks	5
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						F
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Poor union at 2 metres.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1022



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202785.242	N 600085.130
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	7	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	800	N ^o of Trunks	3
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	H			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	Y			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove two small trunks.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1023



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202783.905	N 600079.079
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	7	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	800	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						G	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	H			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		M	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			Y
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Requires light pruning.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1024



Regulated Tree:	Y
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202785.775	N 600074.921
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	5.5	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	1600	N ^o of Trunks	5
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Coppice growth.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	b, c & d always apply						
Arboricultural Notes:							

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1025



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202779.125	N 600075.536
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	3	Canopy spread:	3	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	3
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Coppice growth.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1026



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202780.360	N 600066.422
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	7	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	Y			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove two small trunks.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1027



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202776.652	N 600065.537
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	5.5	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	6
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Coppice growth.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	b, c & d always apply					
Arboricultural Notes:						

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1028



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202774.713	N 600057.448
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus mannifera			Common Name:	White brittle gum		
Height:	4.5	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	3
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						L
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	N	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Coppice off dead tree.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1029



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202763.102	N 600044.506
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8.5	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						G	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		M	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			N	Is work cost effective?:			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Light prune required.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1030



Regulated Tree:	Y
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202760.251	N 600040.507
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus bicostata			Common Name:	Blue gum		
Height:	6	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	2200	N ^o of Trunks	9
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						L
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Unsuitable species.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1031



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202758.525	N 600031.966
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	9.5	Canopy spread:	7	Trunk Circum:	1100	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1032



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202752.249	N 600023.426
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	7	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	800	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						F
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Very poor union at 2 metres.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1033



Regulated Tree:	Y
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202746.163	N 600019.189
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	7.5	Canopy spread:	7	Trunk Circum:	1600	N ^o of Trunks	4
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						F
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Removing trunks will damage tree.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1034



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202746.942	N 600014.980
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	10	Canopy spread:	7	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	H		Comment:	Prominent tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	Y			
Landscape Groups:	Comment:						

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove small lower branches, complex union at 4 metres.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1035



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202739.832	N 600009.796
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	4	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						F
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Removing trunks will damage tree.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1036



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202732.971	N 600000.781
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	4	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	3
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Coppice only.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N ^o :	1037
-----------------------	------



Regulated Tree:	Y
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202727.691	N 599990.947
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	1900	N ^o of Trunks	5
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						F
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y			Is work cost effective?:	N		
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Removing trunks will damage tree.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1038



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202728.648	N 599986.740
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	5	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	3
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						L
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Dead main trunk.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1039



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202713.248	N 599971.090
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	6	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	800	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Good young tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1040



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202711.258	N 599966.412
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus bicostata			Common Name:	Blue gum		
Height:	6	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	600	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Unsuitable species.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1064



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203111.092	N 600567.915
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus bicostata			Common Name:	Blue gum		
Height:	9	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	800	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Unsuitable species.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	b, c & d always apply						
Arboricultural Notes:	Poor condition.						

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N ^o :	1065
-----------------------	------



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Arboricultural Assessment 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contribution to Urban Amenity 	
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203107.471	N 600571.203
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:				Common Name:			
Height:		Canopy spread:		Trunk Circum:		N ^o of Trunks	
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						
	Prevalence of mistletoe						
Overall health/condition of the tree							
Age:		Age/Health/Condition:		Form:		Hazard Potential:	
Habitat Value:				Tolerance to Disturbance:			

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:		Rareness or Commonness:		Habitat quality:		Cultural, Social, Scientific:	
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:			Comment:		Dead.		
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:					Is work cost effective?:		
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:							b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:							

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1066



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203096.042	N 600554.616
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus elata			Common Name:	River peppermint		
Height:	9	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	1300	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	b, c & d always apply					
Arboricultural Notes:	Poor form.					

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1067



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203094.703	N 600542.571
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus elata			Common Name:	River peppermint		
Height:	7.5	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	1100	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Stunted and poor form.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1068



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203091.518	600537.996
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	7	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	800	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Poor form, potential poor union at 3 metres.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1069



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203085.063	N 600527.618
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus elata			Common Name:	River peppermint		
Height:	3.5	Canopy spread:	2	Trunk Circum:	500	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						L
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						L
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						L
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Small stunted and dying.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1070



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203081.013	N 600522.748
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	3.5	Canopy spread:	2	Trunk Circum:	700	N ^o of Trunks	3
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						L
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						L
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Small dying stunted tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1071



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203078.387	N 600517.535
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	3.5	Canopy spread:	2	Trunk Circum:	800	N ^o of Trunks	4
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						L
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Small stunted tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1072



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203075.149	N 600512.665
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	9.5	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	1100	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Good tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove small lower branches.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1073



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203065.410	N 600499.012
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	9	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	1100	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Good form.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove lower branches.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1074



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203062.399	N 600494.393
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus elata			Common Name:	River peppermint		
Height:	6.5	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Good tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove numerous small low branches.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1075



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203054.150	N 600481.570
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	4	Canopy spread:	2	Trunk Circum:	500	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						L
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Weak small tree, very poor form.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1076



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203050.512 N 600480.806
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus bicostata			Common Name:	Blue gum		
Height:	4.5	Canopy spread:	2	Trunk Circum:	600	N ^o of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						L
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						L
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						L
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Unsuitable species, coppice growth.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Dying.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1077



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203050.947	N 600476.707
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus bicostata			Common Name:	Blue gum		
Height:	5.5	Canopy spread:	3	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	3
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						L
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						L
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						L
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Unsuitable species, poor coppice growth.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Dying.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1078



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203048.814	N 600478.328
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	1100	N ^o of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						P	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L	
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment: Poor form.					
Economic justification for retention or removal:								
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:				N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:						

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Removing trunk will damage tree.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1079



Regulated Tree:	Y
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203048.121	N 600471.894
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	9.5	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	1800	N ^o of Trunks	4
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						L
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Poor form.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Removing trunks will damage tree.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1080



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203039.290	N 600463.674
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	1400	N ^o of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Interesting form.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1081



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203033.337 N 600454.452
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8.5	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	1300	N ^o of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Good healthy tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove trunk at base.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1082



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203034.222	N 600450.956
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus mannifera			Common Name:	White brittle gum		
Height:	8.5	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	800	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Good tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove small dead branches.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1083



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203029.109	N 600448.129
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	7	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	800	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						G	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		M	Comment:		Good tree.		
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			N	Is work cost effective?:			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1084



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203026.632	N 600440.027
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8.5	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Good tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove small lower branches.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1085



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203021.044	N 600436.087
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	11	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	1300	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Reasonably good form.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove lowest branches, potentially weak union at 4 metres.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1086



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203018.685	N 600427.345
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	11	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	1300	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						G	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		M	Comment:		Good tree.		
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			N	Is work cost effective?:			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove diseased low branch.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1087



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203014.990	N 600427.190
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	10	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	1100	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Good tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove small lower branch.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1088



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203013.118	N 600418.604
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	10.5	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	1300	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Good form.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove only small lower branches.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1089



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203008.946	N 600417.699
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	9	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Good tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Minor disease in trunk.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1090



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 203002.539	N 600408.494
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8.5	Canopy spread:	2	Trunk Circum:	800	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						L
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						L
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						L
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Almost dead.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1091



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202999.976	N 600404.644
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus bicostata			Common Name:	Blue gum		
Height:	8	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	1300	N ^o of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						L
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						L
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Unsuitable species.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Almost dead.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1092



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202998.416	N 600396.838
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	7.5	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Good young tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove small lower branches.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N ^o :	1093
-----------------------	------



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202994.494	N600395.782
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus bicostata			Common Name:	Blue gum		
Height:	7	Canopy spread:	3	Trunk Circum:	800	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						L
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Unsuitable species.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Very poor form.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1094



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202990.281	N 600389.872
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	6.5	Canopy spread:	3	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						P	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment: Poor form.				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			N	Is work cost effective?:			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Poor union at 2 metres.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1095



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202992.476	N 600388.394
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	5.5	Canopy spread:	2	Trunk Circum:	500	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						L
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						L
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Almost dead.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1096



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202983.568	N 600374.189
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	9.5	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	M		Comment:	Good tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Potential weak union at 4 metres.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1097



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202980.418	N 600369.621
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus bicostata			Common Name:	Blue gum		
Height:	9	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						L
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						L
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						L
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						P	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment: Unsuitable species.				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			N	Is work cost effective?:			
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Very poor form.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1098



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202977.579	N 600364.653
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	9	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	1000	N ^o of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						L
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						P	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Removing trunks will damage tree.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1099



Regulated Tree:	Y
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202969.273	N 600352.125
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	9	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	1600	N ^o of Trunks	4
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Removing trunks will damage tree.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1100



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202962.859	N 600342.535
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	6.5	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	1200	N ^o of Trunks	4
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						L
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						P	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L	
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment: Poor form.					
Economic justification for retention or removal:								
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:				N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:						

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Removing trunks will damage tree.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1101



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202958.925	N 600341.912
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus viminalis			Common Name:	Ribbon gum		
Height:	6.5	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	1100	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						L
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						L
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Very poor form.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y			Is work cost effective?:	N		
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1102



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202957.013	N 600334.195
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	9.5	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						M
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						P
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Poor union at 2 metres.	