

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1250



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202550.065	N 599817.047
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	9.2	Canopy spread:	8	Trunk Circum:	1300	N° of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		M	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			Y
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply					
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove lower branches, unions appear sound.						

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°:	1251
----------	------



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	H
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202540.876	N 599822.459
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	10.6	Canopy spread:	10	Trunk Circum:	1300	N° of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	H		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:			Comment:	Good form.			

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1252



Regulated Tree:	Y
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202531.502 N 599827.124
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	10.4	Canopy spread:	10.5	Trunk Circum:	1900	N° of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Removal of second trunk will damage tree, poor union.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1253



Regulated Tree:	Y
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	H
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202522.932	N 599833.888
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8.1	Canopy spread:	8	Trunk Circum:	1600	N° of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	H		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	Y			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove two lowest branches.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1254



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202508.768	N 599841.011
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	7.7	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	900	N° of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	N			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Poor unions at 2.5 metres.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1255



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202499.428	N 599844.979
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8.4	Canopy spread:	5.5	Trunk Circum:	1100	N° of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Removing second trunk will damage tree.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1256



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202489.687	N 599850.334
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8.8	Canopy spread:	8	Trunk Circum:	1100	N° of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						L
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L	Comment:					
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y			Is work cost effective?:	N		
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Diseased lower trunk.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1257



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202481.016	N 599854.551
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	5.6	Canopy spread:	2.5	Trunk Circum:	500	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						L
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						M
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						P	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/P	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Poor form.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y			Is work cost effective?:	N		
Landscape Groups:	Comment:						

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1258



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	H
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202452.999	N599866.098
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8.9	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	900	N° of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		H	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			Y
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove small trunk.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1259



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202443.874	N599868.563
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	6.8	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	600	N° of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						M
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:


Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	H		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	Y			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove second trunk.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1260



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202434.394	N 599870.822
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	6.4	Canopy spread:	3	Trunk Circum:	900	N° of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	S	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L	
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment: Poor form.					
Economic justification for retention or removal:								
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:				N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:						

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply					
Arboricultural Notes:							

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1261



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	H
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202420.464	N 599873.132
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	8.3	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	800	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	H		Comment:	Well-formed young tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1262



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	H
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202386.549	N 599876.524
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus mannifera			Common Name:	White brittle gum		
Height:	9.4	Canopy spread:	6	Trunk Circum:	800	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						G
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	H		Comment:	Well-formed young tree.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N		Is work cost effective?:				
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1263



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202368.017	N 599878.597
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	11.7	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	1400	N ^o of Trunks	2
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	P	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		L	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			N
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Poor form, removing one trunk will damage tree.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°: 1264



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202537.612	N 599807.769
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus elata			Common Name:	River peppermint		
Height:	9.7	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	1400	N° of Trunks	4
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Too much coppice around base.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y			Is work cost effective?:	N		
Landscape Groups:	Comment:						

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Double leader at 4 metres.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1265



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202543.467	N 599805.178
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus elata			Common Name:	River peppermint		
Height:	9.6	Canopy spread:	4	Trunk Circum:	900	N ^o of Trunks	5
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						F
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:		H	Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:			Y	Is work cost effective?:			Y
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove coppice and lower branches.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°:	1266
----------	------



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202549.015	N 599802.533
Date of Survey:	4 th November 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus elata			Common Name:	River peppermint		
Height:	8.7	Canopy spread:	8	Trunk Circum:	1100	N° of Trunks	3
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
	Overall health/condition of the tree						F
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	M	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	H		Comment:				
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y		Is work cost effective?:	Y			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:	Remove coppice.	

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N^o: 1267



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	M
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	M
Recommendation:	Retain
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202628.013	N 599815.576
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	9.6	Canopy spread:	8	Trunk Circum:	1300	N ^o of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						H
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						G	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/G	Form:	T	Hazard Potential:	L
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	H	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	H		Comment:	Good form.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	N			Is work cost effective?:			
Landscape Groups:			Comment:				

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		

MOLONGLO / COOMBS & WRIGHT TREE ASSESSMENT

Tree N°:	1268
----------	------



Regulated Tree:	N
Classification of Tree Quality:	
• Arboricultural Assessment	P
• Contribution to Urban Amenity	P
Recommendation:	Remove
Location	

Survey Details:

Organisation:	dsb Landscape Architects	Grid Co-ordinates:	E 202621.526	N599806.697
Date of Survey:	30 th October 2009	Assessor ID:	JL & ST	

Tree Details:

Species:	Eucalyptus rubida			Common Name:	Candle bark		
Height:	6.9	Canopy spread:	5	Trunk Circum:	900	N° of Trunks	1
Health/Condition:	Relative density of foliage						M
	Amount of dead wood in canopy						H
	Prevalence of insect attack or disease						H
	Prevalence of epicormic growth						H
	Prevalence of mistletoe						H
Overall health/condition of the tree						F	
Age:	A	Age/Health/Condition:	A/F	Form:	U	Hazard Potential:	M
Habitat Value:	N			Tolerance to Disturbance:	M		

Contribution to Future Urban Amenity:

Scenic:	L	Rareness or Commonness:	M	Habitat quality:	M	Cultural, Social, Scientific:	L
Contribution to Immediate Landscaping:	L		Comment:	Crooked, poor form.			
Economic justification for retention or removal:							
Ameliorative work to reduce Risk:	Y			Is work cost effective?:	N		
Landscape Groups:		Comment:					

Tree Protection and Management:

Tree Protection Zone & Conditions:	M	b, c & d always apply
Arboricultural Notes:		