

---

**From:** Cashen, Clinton  
**Sent:** Friday, 4 March 2016 3:07 PM  
**To:** Corrigan, Jim  
**Cc:** Crowe, Lindsay; Teasdale, Jonathan; Cilliers, George  
**Subject:** 20160304 Advice to LDA on suggested DA Pathway - Greenway Section 28 Block 2

Hello Jim,

Below is the email trail with the LDA enquiry about the Greenway subdivision that came up in our meeting this morning.

Based on the information provided to me it was suggested to the LDA that an EDP DA would be an appropriate pathway to consider the future subdivision of the Greenway site.

In short, the site required additional services, subdivision was proposed, and the LDA advised the subdivision had not considered the building and design restrictions for the site in the Territory Plan.

I outlined both on the phone and via email what an EDP DA can do and the associated timeframes. Unfortunately they have chosen to take a different path. I was hoping the EDP DA pathway would avoid issues at later stages and be the best use of resources for all parties.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss this further.

Clinton

---

**From:** Cashen, Clinton  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 1 March 2016 3:01 PM  
**To:** EPD Impact  
**Subject:** RE: 20160229 Suggested DA Pathway - Greenway Section 28 Block 2

Jonathan,

Good question.

None that I am aware of. That is why I called Adrian. I think there is a belief at the LDA that EDP DA take a long time and involve far more detail than is required for this proposal.

From the points provided I anticipate the approach being proposed will require a greater level of input by government agencies and take considerably longer than an EDP DA would. Their approach includes at least 2 DAs plus agency circulation of the Deed.

Please let me know if you would like to discuss this further or require further action.

Regards,  
Clint

---

**From:** EPD Impact  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 1 March 2016 2:42 PM  
**To:** Cashen, Clinton  
**Subject:** RE: 20160229 Suggested DA Pathway - Greenway Section 28 Block 2

Thanks Clint

Do you know if they have some other reluctance for an EDP? Do they have time concerns or something?

I'm trying to understand how in the face of a strong case for having an EDP DA they remain unconvinced...

Cheers

JT

---

**From:** Cashen, Clinton  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 1 March 2016 2:40 PM  
**To:** EPD Impact  
**Subject:** FW: 20160229 Suggested DA Pathway - Greenway Section 28 Block 2

Note for file.

I called Adrian and outlined that the process he is proposing has a number of similarities with the EDP DA process. The trigger for an EDP DA is not whether internal infrastructure is being proposed. I also outlined to Adrian the onsite and offsite matters could be considered as part of one EDP development application. I stressed the mandatory timeframes and outlined the EDP DA can often be a neat way of considering and resolving issues. I thought this would be appropriate given the number of constraints already on the site that are shown in the Precinct Code for Greenway.

I again referred Adrian to s.94 of the Act as this section described what an EDP is and the types of matters it can consider.

Adrian appreciated the call and said he will call me if he requires further information.

Clinton

---

**From:** Arul, Adrian  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 1 March 2016 12:36 PM  
**To:** Cashen, Clinton  
**Cc:** EPD Impact; Mundy, Graham  
**Subject:** RE: 20160229 Suggested DA Pathway - Greenway Section 28 Block 2

Hi Clinton

Thank you for the email ,

As per your email below ( 5<sup>th</sup> para ) , we feel that an EDP is not required for the reasons that:

1. There are no internal infrastructure ( no internal roads , no hydraulics) as confirmed in our previous emails.
2. There will be a DA for the works ( internal & External)
3. There will be either Prescribed conditions or DEED for the blocks which will be circulated to all the agencies ( entities) with the associated reports. The agencies will then have a chance to see the subdivision layout.

Therefore we believe that the above reasons are adequate for not having EDP.

Regards  
Adrian

---

**From:** Cashen, Clinton  
**Sent:** Monday, 29 February 2016 4:26 PM  
**To:** Arul, Adrian  
**Cc:** EPD Impact; Mundy, Graham  
**Subject:** 20160229 Suggested DA Pathway - Greenway Section 28 Block 2

Hello Adrian,

I note from an earlier email from your office that advice on [REDACTED] is no longer required.

Based on the information you have provided it appears an EDP DA would be appropriate for the Greenway proposal. Section 94 of the *Planning and Development Act 2007* outlines what an Estate Development Plan (EDP) is and the types of things an EDP may include.

## Process and Timeframes

An EDP is a type of Development Application (DA). Timeframes for the assessment of an EDP DA are determined by the track the DA is lodged in (Merit or Impact). Statutory timeframes are 30 working days when no representations are made, and 45 working days when representations are made. We aim to meet these timeframes where possible. N.B Please also consider possible timeframe implications of Third Party Appeal periods.

## Pre-circulation

A way of liaising with entities in a coordinated way and resolving their issues for the EDP is the pre circulation process. This occurs prior to lodging the DA and is managed by the Deed Management team at EPD. This is not mandatory but can save time for particularly tricky proposals or proposal that impact a range of entities. I understand there are other established processes for achieving this.

If you feel an EDP is not required and you would like the proposal considered via way of a subdivision DA please feel free to outline the reasons for this in an email.

I hope this information is useful for understanding the process and timeframes associated with an EDP DA. Please contact the Impact Team if you would like to discuss the proposal in detail including the pre circulation process, DA pathway, and range of plans that should be submitted to support the application.

Regards,  
Clinton

Clinton Cashen

**Phone 02 6205 4498**

**Impact Team**

**Planning Delivery Division** | Environment and Planning | **ACT Government**

Dame Pattie Menzies House, Challis Street, Dickson | GPO Box 1908 Canberra ACT 2601 | [www.environment.act.gov.au](http://www.environment.act.gov.au)

---

**From:** Arul, Adrian

**Sent:** Monday, 29 February 2016 3:42 PM

**To:** Cashen, Clinton

**Cc:** EPD Impact; Mundy, Graham

**Subject:** RE: Request for information to respond to EDP request - Greenway Section 28 Block 2 [REDACTED]

Thanks Clinton for the prompt response,

I am providing the requested info for the Greenway Section 28 Block 2 in red text below.

Your response is appreciated. Thanks.

Regards

Adrian Arul

71674

---

**From:** Cashen, Clinton

**Sent:** Monday, 29 February 2016 3:01 PM

**To:** Arul, Adrian

**Cc:** EPD Impact

**Subject:** Request for information to respond to EDP request - Greenway Section 28 Block 2 [REDACTED]

Hello Adrian,

Your enquiry has been sent to me for action.

To assist me in providing a response could you please provide more details on the two proposals? This will assist me to understand the scale of the development and how it fits into the legislative framework.

If it is available the following information would be of assistance

- Does the proposal include infrastructure that will be handed back to the Territory? **Yes , roads are NOT proposed in the subdivision but hydraulic services will be provided**
- Are the sites adequately serviced or will essential services need upgrading? **Sites are not adequately serviced , as above first dot point new infrastructure will be provided**
- What is the indicative layout for the subdivision? **The block will be possibly divided into 3 blocks and driveway access will be off from the existing road.**
- Are any additional ongoing Territory Plan controls proposed as part of the application? **No**
- Will the subdivision proposals impact on Trees protected by the Tree Protection Act? **Not at the subdivision stage**
  
- Could you also advise if the existing ongoing controls in the Territory plan applicable to the sites have been incorporated in the proposal? **None at the subdivision stage**

I look forward to your response. I appreciate you are working on a short timeframe. Please let me know if you would like to discuss my request further.

Regards,  
Clinton

Clinton Cashen

**Phone 02 6205 4498**

**Impact Team**

**Planning Delivery Division** | Environment and Planning | **ACT Government**

Dame Pattie Menzies House, Challis Street, Dickson | GPO Box 1908 Canberra ACT 2601 | [www.environment.act.gov.au](http://www.environment.act.gov.au)

---

**From:** Arul, Adrian

**Sent:** Friday, 26 February 2016 12:01 PM

**To:** Billing, Dale

**Cc:** Taylor, Bob; Uddin, Kamal; Cargill, James; Mundy, Graham; Chappell, Hazel; Walker, Graeme

**Subject:** Greenway Section 28 Block 2

Good Morning Dale

Deed management Unit suggested that I request your area for the following in regards to an EDP requirement:

**1. GREENWAY Block 2 Section 28**

[REDACTED]

I would appreciate if you could provide your advice if each of the above Blocks are to be subdivided whether it needs an EDP?

Please note that each block is adjacent to an existing road and **no internal** road works involved.

**DETAILS:**



**1.GREENWAY: Block 2, Section 28**

**Urban APPROVED**

Territory Plan - Land Use Zone: RZ4: MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL

Territory Plan - Overlay Zone:

Land Custodianship: TAMS-VACANT (OTHER) - Municipal - Unleased





*Regards*

**Adrian Arul / Project Manager / Urban Renewal/ Urban Releases/  
Land Development Agency**  
CHIEF MINISTER, TREASURY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIRECTORATE - CMTEDD

**T: 02 62071674**

**Fax. No: 02 62076110**

Level 7, TransACT House, 470 Northbourne Avenue, DICKSON, ACT 2602

[adrian.arul@act.gov.au](mailto:adrian.arul@act.gov.au)

[www.lda.act.gov.au](http://www.lda.act.gov.au)

 Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

This email and any attached files are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this mail in error please delete and notify the sender.

---

**From:** Cashen, Clinton  
**Sent:** Thursday, 16 June 2016 3:22 PM  
**To:** Cilliers, George  
**Cc:** Teasdale, Jonathan; Dekieft, Rene  
**Subject:** 20160616 - Greenway Section 28 Block 2 - relates to request from Rene [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Hello George,

I understand Rene is going to contact you regarding this site and a request he has received.

In March I outlined a suggested statutory process to the LDA after reviewing the Territory Plan and asking them a few questions about the site and what works were required (attached below).

Rene has received an AP for the site from the LDA proposing new blocks. There is no record of a DA for the site on the system, and Rene has confirmed with the Deed Manager that there is no Deed.

Please let me know if you require further information or would like to discuss.

Regards,  
Clinton

---

**From:** EPD Impact  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 1 March 2016 2:42 PM  
**To:** Cashen, Clinton  
**Subject:** RE: 20160229 Suggested DA Pathway - Greenway Section 28 Block 2

Thanks Clint

Do you know if they have some other reluctance for an EDP? Do they have time concerns or something?

I'm trying to understand how in the face of a strong case for having an EDP DA they remain unconvinced...

Cheers

JT

---

**From:** Cashen, Clinton  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 1 March 2016 2:40 PM  
**To:** EPD Impact  
**Subject:** FW: 20160229 Suggested DA Pathway - Greenway Section 28 Block 2

Note for file.

I called Adrian and outlined that the process he is proposing has a number of similarities with the EDP DA process. The trigger for an EDP DA is not whether internal infrastructure is being proposed. I also outlined to Adrian the onsite and offsite matters could be considered as part of one EDP development application. I stressed the mandatory timeframes and outlined the EDP DA can often be a neat way of considering and resolving issues. I thought this would be appropriate given the number of constraints already on the site that are shown in the Precinct Code for Greenway.

I again referred Adrian to s.94 of the Act as this section described what an EDP is and the types of matters it can consider.

Adrian appreciated the call and said he will call me if he requires further information.

Clinton

---

**From:** Arul, Adrian  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 1 March 2016 12:36 PM  
**To:** Cashen, Clinton  
**Cc:** EPD Impact; Mundy, Graham  
**Subject:** RE: 20160229 Suggested DA Pathway - Greenway Section 28 Block 2

Hi Clinton

Thank you for the email ,

As per your email below ( 5<sup>th</sup> para ) , we feel that an EDP is not required for the reasons that:

1. There are no internal infrastructure ( no internal roads , no hydraulics) as confirmed in our previous emails.
2. There will be a DA for the works ( internal & External)
3. There will be either Prescribed conditions or DEED for the blocks which will be circulated to all the agencies ( entities) with the associated reports. The agencies will then have a chance to see the subdivision layout.

Therefore we believe that the above reasons are adequate for not having EDP.

Regards  
Adrian

---

**From:** Cashen, Clinton  
**Sent:** Monday, 29 February 2016 4:26 PM  
**To:** Arul, Adrian  
**Cc:** EPD Impact; Mundy, Graham  
**Subject:** 20160229 Suggested DA Pathway - Greenway Section 28 Block 2

Hello Adrian,

I note from an earlier email from your office that advice on [REDACTED] is no longer required.

Based on the information you have provided it appears an EDP DA would be appropriate for the Greenway proposal. Section 94 of the *Planning and Development Act 2007* outlines what an Estate Development Plan (EDP) is and the types of things an EDP may include.

#### Process and Timeframes

An EDP is a type of Development Application (DA). Timeframes for the assessment of an EDP DA are determined by the track the DA is lodged in (Merit or Impact). Statutory timeframes are 30 working days when no representations are made, and 45 working days when representations are made. We aim to meet these timeframes where possible. N.B Please also consider possible timeframe implications of Third Party Appeal periods.

#### Pre-circulation

A way of liaising with entities in a coordinated way and resolving their issues for the EDP is the pre circulation process. This occurs prior to lodging the DA and is managed by the Deed Management team at EPD. This is not mandatory but can save time for particularly tricky proposals or proposal that impact a range of entities. I understand there are other established processes for achieving this.

If you feel an EDP is not required and you would like the proposal considered via way of a subdivision DA please feel free to outline the reasons for this in an email.

I hope this information is useful for understanding the process and timeframes associated with an EDP DA. Please contact the Impact Team if you would like to discuss the proposal in detail including the pre circulation process, DA pathway, and range of plans that should be submitted to support the application.

Regards,  
Clinton

Clinton Cashen

Phone 02 6205 4498

Impact Team

Planning Delivery Division | Environment and Planning | ACT Government

Dame Pattie Menzies House, Challis Street, Dickson | GPO Box 1908 Canberra ACT 2601 | [www.environment.act.gov.au](http://www.environment.act.gov.au)

---

**From:** Arul, Adrian

**Sent:** Monday, 29 February 2016 3:42 PM

**To:** Cashen, Clinton

**Cc:** EPD Impact; Mundy, Graham

**Subject:** RE: Request for information to respond to EDP request - Greenway Section 28 Block 2 [REDACTED]

Thanks Clinton for the prompt response,

I am providing the requested info for the Greenway Section 28 Block 2 in red text below.

Your response is appreciated. Thanks.

Regards

Adrian Arul

71674

---

**From:** Cashen, Clinton

**Sent:** Monday, 29 February 2016 3:01 PM

**To:** Arul, Adrian

**Cc:** EPD Impact

**Subject:** Request for information to respond to EDP request - Greenway Section 28 Block 2 [REDACTED]

Hello Adrian,

Your enquiry has been sent to me for action.

To assist me in providing a response could you please provide more details on the two proposals? This will assist me to understand the scale of the development and how it fits into the legislative framework.

If it is available the following information would be of assistance

- Does the proposal include infrastructure that will be handed back to the Territory? **Yes , roads are NOT proposed in the subdivision but hydraulic services will be provided**
- Are the sites adequately serviced or will essential services need upgrading? **Sites are not adequately serviced , as above first dot point new infrastructure will be provided**
- What is the indicative layout for the subdivision? **The block will be possibly divided into 3 blocks and driveway access will be off from the existing road.**
- Are any additional ongoing Territory Plan controls proposed as part of the application? **No**
- Will the subdivision proposals impact on Trees protected by the Tree Protection Act? **Not at the subdivision stage**
  
- Could you also advise if the existing ongoing controls in the Territory plan applicable to the sites have been incorporated in the proposal? **None at the subdivision stage**

I look forward to your response. I appreciate you are working on a short timeframe. Please let me know if you would like to discuss my request further.

Regards,

Clinton

Clinton Cashen

Phone 02 6205 4498

Impact Team

Planning Delivery Division | Environment and Planning | ACT Government

Dame Pattie Menzies House, Challis Street, Dickson | GPO Box 1908 Canberra ACT 2601 | [www.environment.act.gov.au](http://www.environment.act.gov.au)

**From:** Arul, Adrian

**Sent:** Friday, 26 February 2016 12:01 PM

**To:** Billing, Dale

**Cc:** Taylor, Bob; Uddin, Kamal; Cargill, James; Mundy, Graham; Chappell, Hazel; Walker, Graeme

**Subject:** Greenway Section 28 Block 2

Good Morning Dale

Deed management Unit suggested that I request your area for the following in regards to an EDP requirement:

**1. GREENWAY Block 2 Section 28**



I would appreciate if you could provide your advice if each of the above Blocks are to be subdivided whether it needs an EDP?

Please note that each block is adjacent to an existing road and **no internal** road works involved.

**DETAILS:**


**1.GREENWAY: Block 2, Section 28**


**Urban APPROVED**

**Territory Plan - Land Use Zone:** RZ4: MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL

**Territory Plan - Overlay Zone:**

**Land Custodianship:** TAMS-VACANT (OTHER) - Municipal - Unleased





*Regards*

**Adrian Arul / Project Manager / Urban Renewal/ Urban Releases/  
Land Development Agency**  
CHIEF MINISTER, TREASURY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIRECTORATE - CMTEDD

**T: 02 62071674  
Fax. No: 02 62076110**

Level 7, TransACT House, 470 Northbourne Avenue, DICKSON, ACT 2602

[adrian.arul@act.gov.au](mailto:adrian.arul@act.gov.au)

[www.lda.act.gov.au](http://www.lda.act.gov.au)



**Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.**

This email and any attached files are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this mail in error please delete and notify the sender.

---

**From:** Zamora-Pullin, Joshua  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 14 June 2016 12:13 PM  
**To:** Dekiefte, Rene  
**Subject:** AP for Greenway Block 2 Section 28 [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED, DLM=For-Official-Use-Only]  
**Attachments:** Greenway Block 2 Section 28 - Subdivision.docx; SUBDIVISION GREENWAY 2-28 VER 2.pdf;  
SUBDIVISION GREENWAY 2-28 VER 2.dwg

Good Afternoon Rene,

Please find attached a covering note for Greenway B2 S28, along with the Attached Surveyor's Sketch and CAD File.

**Kind Regards**

**Josh Zamora-Pullin** | Urban Infill

**Land Development Agency** | Chief Minister, Treasury and Economic Development Directorate

Level 7 TransACT House, 470 Northbourne Avenue, Dickson ACT 2602

 02 6207 1749 | [www.lda.act.gov.au](http://www.lda.act.gov.au)

 **Please respect the environment and think about the impact of printing this email.**

*This email is confidential and may also be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender and delete all copies of this transmission along with any attachments immediately. You should not copy or use it for any purpose, not disclose its contents to any other person.*



ABN 204 199 255 79  
 Level 6 TransACT House  
 470 Northbourne Avenue  
 Dickson ACT 2602  
 GPO Box 158 Canberra ACT 2601  
 Telephone (02) 6205 0600  
 Facsimile (02) 6207 5101  
 Email [lda@act.gov.au](mailto:lda@act.gov.au)  
 Web [www.lda.act.gov.au](http://www.lda.act.gov.au)

## Application for Cadastral Changes (Auction / Ballot)

To: Rene De Kieft  
 Office of the Surveyor-General  
 Office of the Surveyor-General and Land Information

Through: 1. Authorising Officer  
 2. Territory Plan Examiner

### Cadastral Change Requested

Alteration to block boundaries in the Spatial Data Management System (SDMS) as detailed in the attached plan.

#### Affected Block:

Division	Section	Blocks
Greenway	28	2

### Background/Context

This site has been prepared by the Land Development Agency (LDA) for sale by auction/ballot in accordance with the Government's Land Release Program.


The LDA has undertaken a subdivision to accommodate sites as identified in the Indicative Land Release Program, but also to accommodate a suitable site (Block 2C) for Public Housing.

LDA has undertaken the necessary site investigation process and all issues have been satisfactorily resolved.

TERRITORY PLAN EXAMINATION	PLANNING AUTHORISATION
Rachel Darke Territory Plan Examiner Delivery Division	George Cilliers Authorising Officer Planning Delivery Division

Form prepared by: Joshua Zamora-Pullin  
 AP No. \_\_\_\_\_


Date: 26/04/2016



 **Anthony Quinn Surveys Pty Ltd**  
 Land & Engineering Surveyors  
 TEL: 62550824 EMAIL: tonyq@blgpond.com


**PLAN OF SUBDIVISION OF  
 BLOCK 2 SECTION 28  
 DIVISION OF GREENWAY**

SCALE	1:1500 at A3	DATE OF SURVEY	10 JUNE 2016
REF No.	2685		
.....		REGISTERED SURVEYOR	



# AUTHORISED PLAN

AMENDS AUTHORISED PLAN NO. 3177



## GREENWAY SECTION 28 (PART)

**AP**  
**7220**

— New Boundary  
 -x-x- Boundary to be Deleted

0 15 30 45 50 75metres

SCALE 1 : 1500

\* Boundaries subject to digital data

## Application for Cadastral Changes (Auction / Ballot)

To: Rene De Kieft  
Office of the Surveyor-General  
Office of the Surveyor-General and Land Information

Through: 1. Authorising Officer  
2. Territory Plan Examiner

### Cadastral Change Requested

Alteration to block boundaries in the Spatial Data Management System (SDMS) as detailed in the attached plan.

Affected Block:

Division	Section	Blocks
Greenway	28	2

### Background/Context

This site has been prepared by the Land Development Agency (LDA) for sale by auction/ballot in accordance with the Government's Land Release Program.

The LDA has undertaken a subdivision to accommodate sites as identified in the Indicative Land Release Program, but also to accommodate a suitable site (Block 2C) for Public Housing.

The LDA is carrying out site servicing as part of minor works; this means no Deed and Prescribed Conditions involved, instead it will go through the DA Process. As discussed and agreed with TAMS, the existing floodway's to be formalised with reserves as Part of Minor Works.

Thee access driveways to blocks are from the existing Lewis Mortimer Drive, there is no internal infrastructure (internal roads). There will be a DA for the works (Internal & External)

The LDA has undertaken the necessary site investigation process and all issues have been satisfactorily resolved.

TERRITORY PLAN EXAMINATION	PLANNING AUTHORISATION
Rachel Darke Territory Plan Examiner Delivery Division	George Cilliers Authorising Officer Planning Delivery Division

Form prepared by: Joshua Zamora-Pullin  
AP No. 7220

Date: 26/04/2016

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, 13 June 2016 5:23 PM  
**To:** Zamora-Pullin, Joshua  
**Cc:** Arul, Adrian; Chappell, Hazel; Dekieftte, Rene; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Subdivision Plan  
**Attachments:** SUBDIVISION GREENWAY 2-28 VER 2.pdf; SUBDIVISION GREENWAY 2-28 VER 2.dwg

Good afternoon Josh,

Please find attached the subdivision plan in pdf and cad formats.

There is a minor issue in that the cement rendered brick walls (14 in total with timber fencing between them) that stand along the boundary with Block 9 Section 29 are encroaching onto the subject land by between 30mm to 180mm.


I suspect that the units plan for that block (UP2283) does not show the fencing. It was common in those days for the units plan to be prepared when the buildings were at floor level. These days the units plans will not be accepted until everything has been completed including fencing and landscaping. The encroachments such as the fencing brick walls in this case would not be acceptable and would have to be removed. If you are concerned about the problem it might be worth checking with the unit title section or building controller at ACTPLA.

Did you have any luck with getting a copy of the units plan? If not I might check if anyone at ACTPLA has a copy. I don't need a copy myself if the walls/fencing are not shown. If I can't sight a copy, I will have to go to the Titles Office in Fyshwick to purchase one.

I will complete the field survey work this week.

Regards


[REDACTED]



 **Anthony Quinn Surveys Pty Ltd**  
 Land & Engineering Surveyors  
 TEL: 62550824 EMAIL: tonyq@blgpond.com

**PLAN OF SUBDIVISION OF  
 BLOCK 2 SECTION 28  
 DIVISION OF GREENWAY**

SCALE	1:1500 at A3	DATE OF SURVEY	10 JUNE 2016
REF No.	2685		
		REGISTERED SURVEYOR	



AP7220 Greenway Section 28 - Allocated Block/Section Numbers



ACT Surveying and Spatial Data  
Office of the Chief Surveyor

AP 7220

PROGRESS REPORT

Request date: 21/6/16 District / Division: Greenway  
Requesting Officer: Joshua Zamora-Pullin Section: 28

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: Please subdivide Block 2 as per AP.  
Digital data: R:\Surveyor General and Land Information\Data  
Maintenance\DXF\Greenway\Green28\SUBDIVISION GREENWAY 2-28 VER 2 13 June 2016

Note: For Consolidations please check for DA to create Addressing Diagram

Contact officer ACTLIC: Rene de Kiefte Phone: (02) 6207 1607 Fax: (02) 6207 1615

Work performed: Blocks created  
AP diagram prepared

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

Comments:

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

Data Checked:

PALM   
SDMS

CO.....  
ACTMAP Data Entry Office

.....RD.....  
ACTMAP data co-ordinator, AIM

Date: 21/6/16.....

Date: ...29/6/16.....



**ACT Surveying and Spatial Data  
Office of the Chief Surveyor**

**APs/Minor amendments - PROCEDURE / CHECKS CARRIED OUT**

AP 7220

Enter job into AP Register	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
new number - check SDMS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Add changes to Overlay drawing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Check DA Update Pending file	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Footprint/Featurename/Road Polygons	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
 Place alias in the "In Tray"	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	TO = __CO__

***After job completed:***

Chart - Visual check

    Block numbering

PALM data

    Section / Block number   
    All deleted blocks made HISTORY   
    All new blocks made PDL   
    Related parcels included (Rural 10m)   
    Any rejected transactions   
    Stratum blocks: above/ground/below - change   
    Examine 'Messages': inform [App Sec](#) (DA)

SDMS data

    Open space attribution   
    Entered blocks   
    Approved blocks   
    Registered blocks   
    Retired blocks   
    Road polygons   
    Road hierarchy   
    Feature names   
    Addresses   
    Water Features   
  
    Clean up Overlay drawing   
    AP Register updated

<b>Notify Surveyor</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> T Quinn
<b>Notify Barbara Harding (LRAC) – Territory Land Changes</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Notify Timo Boersma for Territory Land Changes</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Notify Planning Officer</b>	
Completion report & plan copy Emailed to AP list	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Advise Examinations Section (if required)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signed: .....RD.....

Date: .....29/6/16.....

**M I N U T E****SUBJECT: CREATION OF NEW BLOCKS -**

OFFICER : RENE DE KIEFTE  
 PHONE : 02 6207 1607  
 FAX : 02 6207 1615

To all interested parties

The following blocks have been created under the Authorised Plan (AP7220) indicated and added to the ACTMAP and PALM databases.

Authorised Plan No.	7220				
Division:	Greenway				
Section:	28				
Old Blocks:	2				
New Blocks:	3	4	5	6	7
Area:	5,752m <sup>2</sup>	29,267m <sup>2</sup>	7,060m <sup>2</sup>	1,618m <sup>2</sup>	948m <sup>2</sup>

Completed: 29 June 2016

If you require any further information please contact me on the number shown above.

Rene de Kiefte  
 ACTMAP Data and Address Manager

**PLANNING AND LEASE MANAGER (PALM)**  
**BLOCK CHANGES**

29-JUN-16 13:39:46

Page 1

**TRANSACTIONS**

<u>Ap Number</u>	<u>District</u>	<u>Division</u>	<u>Section</u>	<u>Block</u>	<u>Status</u>	<u>Create Date</u>	<u>Update Date</u>
7220	TUGGERANONG	GREENWAY	28	2	RETIRED		21-JUN-16

**Block Details**

**Type :** NS - Non-Stratum Block  
**Block Area :** 44,645  
**Message :**

TUGGERANONG	GREENWAY		28	3	APPROVED		21-JUN-16
-------------	----------	--	----	---	----------	--	-----------

**Block Details**

**Type :** NS - Non-Stratum Block  
**Block Area :** 5,752  
**Message :**

TUGGERANONG	GREENWAY		28	4	APPROVED		21-JUN-16
-------------	----------	--	----	---	----------	--	-----------

**Block Details**

**Type :** NS - Non-Stratum Block  
**Block Area :** 29,267  
**Message :**

**PLANNING AND LEASE MANAGER (PALM)**  
**BLOCK CHANGES**

29-JUN-16 13:39:46

Page 2

Ap Number	District	Division	Section	Block	Status	Create Date	Update Date
7220	TUGGERANONG	GREENWAY	28	5	APPROVED		21-JUN-16

---

**Block Details**

**Type :** NS - Non-Stratum Block  
**Block Area :** 7,060  
**Message :**

TUGGERANONG	GREENWAY		28	6	APPROVED		21-JUN-16
-------------	----------	--	----	---	----------	--	-----------

---

**Block Details**

**Type :** NS - Non-Stratum Block  
**Block Area :** 1,618  
**Message :**

TUGGERANONG	GREENWAY		28	7	APPROVED		21-JUN-16
-------------	----------	--	----	---	----------	--	-----------

---

**Block Details**

**Type :** NS - Non-Stratum Block  
**Block Area :** 948  
**Message :**



**PLANNING AND LEASE MANAGER (PALM)  
BLOCK CHANGES**

29-JUN-16 13:39:46

Page 3

**REPORT PARAMETERS**

**AP Number From :** 7220                      **To:**

**Block Status :** RETIRED, APPROVED, REGISTERED, ENTERED

**Create/Update Date From :** **To:**

**Job Type :** AP - Approved Plan

AP: 7220


DIVISION: GREENWAY

DATE: 21/6/2016

SECTION NUMBER: 28

BLOCK NUMBERS: 3, 4, 5, 6, & 7

DIVISION: GREENWAY SECTION NUMBER: 28 BLOCK NUMBERS: 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7





Job No 9113331

Phone: 1100  
www.1100.com.au


### Caller Details

**Contact:** Mr spencer Wright  
**Company:** Not Supplied  
**Address:** gpo box 158  
 Canberra ACT 2601

**Caller Id:** 1491072  
**Mobile:** Not Supplied  
**Email:** spencer.wright@act.gov.au  
**Phone:** 0262057150  
**Fax:** Not Supplied

### Dig Site and Enquiry Details

**WARNING:** The map below only displays the location of the proposed dig site and does not display any asset owners' pipe or cables. The area highlighted has been used only to identify the participating asset owners, who will send information to you directly.



**User Reference:** Greenway North  
**Working on Behalf of:** ACT Government  
**Enquiry Date:** 29/04/2015  
**Start Date:** 01/07/2015  
**End Date:** 30/06/2017  
**Address:** Mortimer Lewis Drive  
 Greenway ACT 2900  
**Job Purpose:** Design  
**Onsite Activity:** Planning & Design  
**Location of Workplace:** Both  
**Location in Road:** CarriageWay, Footpath, Nature Strip

- Check that the location of the dig site is correct. If not you must submit a new enquiry.
- Should the scope of works change, or plan validity dates expire, you must submit a new enquiry.
- Do NOT dig without plans. Safe excavation is your responsibility. If you do not understand the plans or how to proceed safely, please contact the relevant asset owners.

### Notes/Description of Works:

Not Supplied

### Your Responsibilities and Duty of Care

- If plans are not received within 2 working days, contact the asset owners directly & quote their Sequence No.
- ALWAYS perform an onsite inspection for the presence of assets. Should you require an onsite location, contact the asset owners directly. Please remember, plans do not detail the exact location of assets.
- Pothole to establish the exact location of all underground assets using a hand shovel, before using heavy machinery.
- Ensure you adhere to any State legislative requirements regarding Duty of Care and safe digging requirements.
- If you damage an underground asset you MUST advise the asset owner immediately.
- By using this service, you agree to Privacy Policy and the terms and disclaimers set out at [www.1100.com.au](http://www.1100.com.au)
- For more information on safe excavation practices, visit [www.1100.com.au](http://www.1100.com.au)

### Asset Owner Details

The assets owners listed below have been requested to contact you with information about their asset locations within 2 working days. Additional time should be allowed for information issued by post. It is **your responsibility** to identify the presence of any underground assets in and around your proposed dig site. Please be aware, that not all asset owners are registered with the Dial Before You Dig service, so it is **your responsibility** to identify and contact any asset owners not listed here directly.


\*\* Asset owners highlighted by asterisks \*\* require that you visit their offices to collect plans.

# Asset owners highlighted with a hash require that you call them to discuss your enquiry or to obtain plans.

Seq. No.	Authority Name	Phone	Status
45221472	Actewagl	0262935770	NOTIFIED
45221468	Department of Finance	0262043300	NOTIFIED
45221471	Optus and/or Uecomm, Nsw	1800505777	NOTIFIED
45221470	Telstra NSW, South	1800653935	NOTIFIED
45221469	Transact Communications	0261611100	NOTIFIED

END OF UTILITIES LIST

**Lodge Your Free Enquiry Online – 24 Hours a Day, Seven Days a Week**



WARNING: This document is confidential and may also be privileged. Confidentiality nor privilege is not waived or destroyed by virtue of it being transmitted to an incorrect addressee. Unauthorised use of the contents is therefore strictly prohibited. Any information contained in this document that has been extracted from our records is believed to be accurate, but no responsibility is assumed for any error or omission. Optus Plans and information supplied are valid for 30 days from the date of issue. If this timeline has elapsed please raise a new enquiry.

Sequence Number: 45221471

Date Generated: 29/04/2015



For all Optus DBYD plan enquiries –  
 Email: [Fibre.Locations@optus.net.au](mailto:Fibre.Locations@optus.net.au)  
 For urgent onsite assistance contact 1800 505 777  
 Optus Limited ACN 052 833 208



# WARNING

Major Optic Fibre Network in the Vicinity



WARNING: This document is confidential and may also be privileged. Confidentiality nor privilege is not waived or destroyed by virtue of it being transmitted to an incorrect addressee. Unauthorised use of the contents is therefore strictly prohibited. Any information contained in this document that has been extracted from our records is believed to be accurate, but no responsibility is assumed for any error or omission. Optus Plans and information supplied are valid for 30 days from the date of issue. If this timeline has elapsed please raise a new enquiry.

Sequence Number: 45221471

Date Generated: 29/04/2015




For all Optus DBYD plan enquiries –  
Email: [Fibre.Locations@optus.net.au](mailto:Fibre.Locations@optus.net.au)  
For urgent onsite assistance contact 1800 505 777  
Optus Limited ACN 052 833 208



# WARNING

Major Optic Fibre Network in the Vicinity



WARNING: This document is confidential and may also be privileged. Confidentiality nor privilege is not waived or destroyed by virtue of it being transmitted to an incorrect addressee. Unauthorised use of the contents is therefore strictly prohibited. Any information contained in this document that has been extracted from our records is believed to be accurate, but no responsibility is assumed for any error or omission. Optus Plans and information supplied are valid for 30 days from the date of issue. If this timeline has elapsed please raise a new enquiry.

Sequence Number: 45221471

Date Generated: 29/04/2015



For all Optus DBYD plan enquiries –  
 Email: [Fibre.Locations@optus.net.au](mailto:Fibre.Locations@optus.net.au)  
 For urgent onsite assistance contact 1800 505 777  
 Optus Limited ACN 052 833 208





**Network Operations– Asset Analysis**  
 Unit 9, 677 Springvale Road  
 Mulgrave, Victoria, 3178

Date: 29/04/2015  
 To: Mr spencer Wright  
 Company:  
 Address: gpo box 158  
 Canberra, ACT 2601

### ENQUIRY DETAILS

Location: Mortimer Lewis Drive, Greenway, ACT 2900  
 Sequence No.: 45221471  
 DBYD Reference: 9113331

In relation to your enquiry of the above address, Optus advises as follows:

**The records of Optus disclose that there ARE underground Optus FIBRE OPTIC TELECOMMUNICATIONS cables in the vicinity of the above enquiry as per the attached plan(s).**

**PLEASE NOTE THAT THE CABLES POTENTIALLY IMPACTED ARE OF NATIONAL SIGNIFICANCE with the potential to significantly disrupt communications in Australia if damaged.**

**This reply is valid for a period of 30 days from the date above.**

### IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Drawings and Plans provided by Optus are reference diagrams which were correct at the time the asset was built. Exact ground cover and alignments cannot be provided with any certainty as these may alter over time. Depths of Telecommunications plant vary considerably as do alignments. It is essential to uncover the asset and positively identify the assets exact location.

Optus plans are provided as a guide only and the completeness of the information cannot be guaranteed.

### “DUTY OF CARE”

When working in the vicinity of Telecommunications plant you have a legal “Duty of Care” that must be observed.

It is the responsibility of the owner and any consultant engaged by the owner, including an architect, consulting engineer, developer and head contractor to design for minimal impact to Optus plant. Optus will provide assistance at this design stage through the provision of plans and sketches or consultation.

It is the owner’s (or constructor’s) responsibility to:-

- a) Request plans of Optus plant for a particular location at a reasonable time before construction begins. If you have doubts about the presence of Optus assets we strongly recommend that you engage an Optus Accredited plant locator.
- b) Visually locate Optus plant by hand digging or using non-destructive water jet method where construction activities may damage or interfere with Optus plant
- c) Contact Optus Network Operations – Asset Analyst (details below) if Optus plant is wholly or partly located near construction activities

## **CRIMINAL CODE ACT 1995**

The following is an extract from the Criminal Code Act 1995 and is applicable to Optus plant

### **Chapter 10** National infrastructure

#### **Part 10.6** Telecommunications Services

#### **Division 474** Telecommunications offences

#### **Sect 474.6** Interference with facilities

- 1) A person is guilty of an offence if the person tampers with, or interferes with, a facility owned or operated by:
  - (a) a carrier; or
  - (b) a carriage service provider; or
  - (c) a nominated carrier.

Penalty: Imprisonment for 1 year.

- 2) For the purposes of an offence against subsection (1), absolute liability applies to the physical element of circumstance of the offence, that the facility is owned or operated by a carrier, a carriage service provider or a nominated carrier.
- 3) A person is guilty of an offence if:
  - (a) the person tampers with, or interferes with, a facility owned or operated by:
    - i.a carrier; or
    - ii.a carriage service provider; or
    - iii.a nominated carrier; and
  - (b) this conduct results in hindering the normal operation of a carriage service supplied by a carriage service provider.

Penalty: Imprisonment for 2 years.

- 4) For the purposes of an offence against subsection (3), absolute liability applies to the following physical elements of circumstance of the offence:
  - (a) that the facility is owned or operated by a carrier, a carriage service provider or a nominated carrier;
  - (b) that the carriage service is supplied by a carriage service provider.
- 5) A person is guilty of an offence if:
  - (a) the person uses or operates any apparatus or device (whether or not it is comprised in, connected to or used in connection with a telecommunications network); and
  - (b) this conduct results in hindering the normal operation of a carriage service supplied by a carriage service provider.

Penalty: Imprisonment for 2 years.

## **DAMAGE**

### **ANY DAMAGE TO OPTUS NETWORK MUST BE REPORTED TO 1800 500 253 IMMEDIATELY**

The owner is responsible for all plant damage when works commence prior to obtaining Optus Drawings, or failure to follow instructions.

Optus reserves the right to recover compensation for loss or damage to its cable network and other property including consequential loss

## **ASSET RELOCATIONS**

You are not permitted to relocate or alter any Optus assets or network under any circumstance.

For all enquiries relating to the relocation of Optus assets please email [Fibre.Locations@optus.net.au](mailto:Fibre.Locations@optus.net.au)

## ESSENTIAL PRECAUTIONS AND APPROACH DISTANCES

**Note: If the following clearances cannot be maintained, please contact Optus Network Operations Asset Analysis Team for advice on how to resolve the situation.**

1. On receipt of plans and before commencing excavation work or similar activities near Optus plant, carefully locate the plant first to avoid damage. Engage an Optus accredited locator to undertake exposure of the Optus plant when working within the following approach distances.

Where Optus plant is in an area where road and footpaths are well defined by kerbs or other features a minimum clear distance of 1.0m must be maintained from where it could be reasonably presumed that plant would reside.

In non established or unformed reserves this distance must be at least 3 metres.

In country or rural areas which may have wider variations in reasonably presumed plant presence, the following minimum approach distance applies:

- a) Parallel to plant: 5 metres

**Note: Indicated depths may vary significantly and pot-holing needs to be undertaken within extreme care, commonsense and using techniques least likely to damage cables. Potholing is only to be undertaken by an Optus accredited plant location contractor.**

If construction work is parallel to Optus plant, then careful hand digging or using non destructive water jet method (pot holing) at least every 5m is required to establish the location of the plant, confirming the location of the plant prior to work commencing.

Under no circumstances is crossing of Optus plant to be performed without first exposing the Optus plant and having an Optus representative present onsite.

2. Maintain the following minimum clearance between construction activity and the actual location of Optus plant.

<b>Jackhammers / Pneumatic Breakers</b>	Not within 1.0m of actual location
<b>Vibrating Plate or Wacker Packer Compactor</b>	Not within 0.5m of actual location  300mm compact clearance before compactor can be used across Optus ducts  750mm compact clearance cover before compactor can be used across Optus <i>Direct Buried</i> cable
<b>Boring Equipment (in-line, horizontal and vertical)</b>	Not within 5.0m of actual location without Optus representative onsite  Constructor to hand dig or non-destructive water jet method (pot holing) and expose the Optus plant  Not to cross the Optus plant without first exposing the plant at the crossing point and without Optus representative onsite
<b>Heavy vehicle Traffic (over 3 tonnes)</b>	Not to be driven across Optus ducts or plant with less than 600mm of cover  Depth to be verified via hand digging
<b>Mechanical Excavators, Farm ploughing, Boring, Tree removal, fencing</b>	Not within 1.0m of actual location  Constructor to hand dig or use non-destructive water jet method (pot holing) and expose plant

All Optus pits and manholes should be a minimum of 1.0m in from the back of kerb or within 15m of street intersection after the completion of your work.

All Optus conduit should have the following minimum depth of cover **after the completion of your work**:-

- **Footway                      600mm**
- **Roadway                1000mm at drain invert and at road centre crown**

In cases where it is considered that these clearances cannot be maintained at the completion of works advice is to be sought from the Optus Damages and Relocations Team

## FURTHER ASSISTANCE

Assistance can be obtained by contacting Optus Network Operations Asset Analysis on **1800 505 777**

**Where an on-site location is provided, the owner is responsible for all costs associated with hand digging or use of non-destructive water jet method (pot holing) to visually locate and expose Optus plant.**

If plant location drawings or visual location of Optus plant by digging reveals that the location of Optus plant is situated wholly or partly where the owner plans to work, then Optus Damages and Relocates Team must be contacted through Optus Network Operations Asset Locations to discuss possible engineering solutions.


## PRIVATE RESIDENTIAL LANDOWNERS and RURAL LANDOWNERS


Where Optus owned cable crosses private residential property or agricultural land, Optus may provide a once off free onsite electronic cable location. Optus Network Operations Asset Analyst will provide assistance in determining whether a free on-site location is required.

Please note:

- The exact location, including depth of cables can only be verified by pot holing which is not covered under this service
- This service is only available to assist private residential land owners and rural land owners
- The service covers one hour onsite only. Additional time will be charged at the current nominal rate.

## OPTUS ENGINEERING DRAWING SYMBOLS





All network distribution data is the property of ACTEW Corporation and no warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of the information is provided. No liability for any loss or damage arising from the use of this information will be accepted. Copyright © ACTEW 2015.



Seq #: 45221472  
Mortimer Lewis Drive, Greenway

### ACTEW Effluent Network



ACT Cadastral Information supplied by the ACT Environment & Sustainable Development Directorate. © ACT Gov. 2015.

NSW Cadastre © Land and Property Information (a division of the Department of Finance and Services) 2015.



## ELECTRICITY NETWORK LEGEND

### Support Structure (Distribution)

- Pole
- Streetlight-Only Pole

### Support Structure (Transmission)

- Pole
- Tower
- Yard Structure

### Underground Structure

- ⊠ Pit

### Recloser

- Recloser

### Transmission Line

- Overhead Transmission Line
- - - Underground Transmission Line

### Building

- Zone Building
- Standalone Chamber

### Switches

- Air Break
- Load Break
- Overhead Link

### Fuse

- Drop Out Fuse

### HV Electric Lines

- Overhead HV Electric Line
- - - Underground HV Electric Line

### LV Electric Lines

- Overhead LV Electric Line
- - - Underground LV Electric Line

### Streetlight

- ☀ Streetlight
- Streetlight Controller
- ⊗ Streetlight Photoelectric Controller
- Other Streetlight Support
- Streetlight Column

### Streetlight Cable

- Overhead Streetlight Line
- - - Underground Streetlight Line

### Joint

- × Cable Joint

### Service Lines

- Overhead Service Line
- - - Underground Service Line

### Service Point

- Service Point

### Fibre Communication Cable

- Fibre Communication Cable

### Copper Communication Cable

- Pilot Cable

### Underground Earth Cable

- Underground Earth Cable

### Ground Mounted Structure

- Streetlight Control Cubicle
- Distribution Box
- Point-Of-Entry Cubicle
- HV Switching Station
- Kiosk
- Padmount
- Link Pillar
- Micro Pillar
- Mini Pillar
- Pregnant Column
- Communication Cubicle
- SCADA Cubicle

### Electric Supply Site

- 132kV Switching Station
- Bulk Supply Station
- Mobile Zone Substation
- Zone Substation
- Overhead Substation
- Chamber Substation
- Stockade

#### IMPORTANT NOTE:


- The term 'ABANDONED' is utilised to identify an underground cable that has been physically disconnected from the ActewAGL electricity network, is not in service and cannot readily be put back into service without specific augmentation and/or reconnection works. Cable(s) identified by ActewAGL as 'ABANDONED' have been discarded in-situ by ActewAGL. ALL cables should be treated as 'LIVE' and Dangerous until proven de-energised and safe.

All network distribution data is the property of ActewAGL and no warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of the information is provided. No liability for any loss or damage arising from the use of this information will be accepted.

© ActewAGL 2014.

**ActewAGL**







All network distribution data is the property of ActewAGL and no warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of the information is provided. No liability for any loss or damage arising from the use of this information will be accepted. Copyright © ActewAGL 2015.

### ActewAGL Gas Network



ACT Cadastral Information supplied by the ACT Environment & Sustainable Development Directorate. © ACT Gov. 2015.

NSW Cadastre © Land and Property Information (a division of the Department of Finance and Services) 2015.












Seq #: 45221472  
Mortimer Lewis Drive, Greenway

## Legend - ActewAGL Gas Networks

### GasStation CRITICAL

-  DistrictRegulator
-  TrunkReceivingStation
-  PrimaryRegulatingStation
-  BulkMeteringStation
-  PressureMonitoringStation
-  ScraperStation
-  BoundaryRegulatorSet
-  SecondaryBoundaryRegulatorSet
-  ValveStation




### GasDevice

-  <all other values>
-  IsolationValve
-  Odouriser
-  Siphon
-  WaterbathHeater
-  Filter
-  Catalyst Heater
-  Silencer
-  Regulator










### GasDevice High Risk Valve CRITICAL

-  HighRiskAreaIsolation



### GasMeter

-  DomesticMeter
-  IndustCommMeter
-  SecondaryMeterSet

### GasFitting

-  EndCap
-  Tee
-  ExpansionJoint
-  Flange
-  Reducer
-  Cross
-  ServiceSaddle
-  InsulationJoint
-  GaugingPoint



### CPAnode

-  AnodeGroundBed
-  SacrificialAnode

### CPRectifier

-  TransformerRectifier





### CPCable

-  CPRectifierCable
-  CPGroundBedCable




### Conduit

-  Conduit



### GasStructure

-  <all other values>
-  CPKiosk
-  Pit
-  StationStructure






### GasService

-  <all other values>
-  Gas Service IN USE
-  Gas Service NOT IN USE










### GasService STEEL or MAOP >= 1050 OR DIA >= 75mm CRITICAL

-  Gas Service IN SERVICE
-  Gas Service NOT IN SERVICE

### GasPipe

-  <all other values>
-  DistributionMain, Nylon, InService
-  Gas Pipe NOT IN USE
-  DistributionMain, PE, InService
-  DistributionMain, Copper, InService

### GasPipe STEEL OR MAOP >= 1050 OR DIA >= 75mm CRITICAL

-  DistributionMain, Copper, InService
-  DistributionMain, Nylon, InService
-  DistributionMain, PE, InService
-  PrimaryMain, Steel, InService
-  PrimaryMain, Steel, Proposed
-  SecondaryMain, Steel, InService
-  SecondaryMain, Steel, Proposed
-  TransmissionMain, Steel, InService
-  Gas Pipe NOT IN USE

R 10.0 = DISTANCE TO ROAD

B 10.0 = DISTANCE TO BOUNDARY

E 10.0 = DISTANCE TO END

C 10.0 = DISTANCE TO CHANGE OF DIRECTION


-  4.4 = DISTANCE FROM MAIN TO KERB
-  0.6 = DISTANCE FROM MAIN TO BOUNDARY

All network distribution data is the property of ActewAGL and no warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of the information is provided. No liability for any loss or damage arising from the use of this information will be accepted.

Copyright © ActewAGL 2014.



**ActewAGL**



All network distribution data is the property of ACTEW Corporation and no warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of the information is provided. No liability for any loss or damage arising from the use of this information will be accepted. Copyright © ACTEW 2015.



Seq #: 45221472  
Mortimer Lewis Drive, Greenway

### ACTEW Sewer Network



ACT Cadastral Information supplied by the ACT Environment & Sustainable Development Directorate. © ACT Gov. 2015.

NSW Cadastre © Land and Property Information (a division of the Department of Finance and Services) 2015.




# SEWER NETWORK LEGEND

## MaintenanceHole

- <all other values>
- Abandoned
- De-Commissioned
- Operational
- Planned




## NonAssetFitting

-  BuriedVerticalRiser
- Riser
- SlopeJunction

## AssetFitting

- DeadEnd
- ▶ Reducer
- Tee





## InspectionShaft

-  SpecialInspectionShaft
-  RoddingPoint
-  Standard 225

## ProtectionValve

- ◇ AirValve
- ↺ Reflux

## SystemControlValve

-  ScourValve
- ⊗ Ball
-  Plug
- ⊗ Gate
-  StopLog
-  Penstock

## Pump

- Centrifugal
- PositiveDisplacement
- VariableSpeed









## GravityMain

- <all other values>
- Reticulation, Operational
- Trunk, Operational
- Tunnel, Operational
- Siphon, Operational
- Overflow, Operational
- Inline, OPERATIONAL
- Reticulation, Planned
- Trunk, Planned
- Reticulation, Abandoned
- Reticulation, De-Commissioned
- Trunk, Abandoned
- Trunk, De-Commissioned

## PressureMain


- <all other values>
- Scour, Operational
- RisingMain, Planned
- RisingMain, Operational
- RisingMain, De-Commissioned
- RisingMain, Abandoned

## SewerStructure

-  DiverionChamber
-  DiverionPoint
-  PumpStation
-  SplitManhole
-  StorageBasin
-  TreatmentPlant
-  DischargeStructure
-  PipeBridge

All network distribution data is the property of ACTEW Corporation and no warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of the information is provided. No liability for any loss or damage arising from the use of this information will be accepted.  
Copyright © ACTEW 2014.





All network distribution data is the property of ACTEW Corporation and no warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of the information is provided. No liability for any loss or damage arising from the use of this information will be accepted. Copyright © ACTEW 2015.



Seq #: 45221472  
Mortimer Lewis Drive, Greenway

### ACTEW Water Network



ACT Cadastral Information supplied by the ACT Environment & Sustainable Development Directorate. © ACT Gov. 2015.

NSW Cadastre © Land and Property Information (a division of the Department of Finance and Services) 2015.



## WATER NETWORK LEGEND

### Hydrant

- ⊙ <all other values>
- ⊙ SpringHydrant
- HC ⊙ HighCapacity
- P ⊙ PillarHydrant
- M ⊙ MillCock
- OH ⊙ OverheadFillingPoint

### Main

- Rising Main - Abandoned
- Rising Main
- Bulk Supply Main
- Bulk Supply Main - Abandoned
- Distribution Main
- Distribution Main - Abandoned
- Reticulation Main - Abandoned
- Reticulation Main

### ProtectionValve

- ⊘ <all other values>
- ↺ Reflux Valve
- AV ⊙ Single Air Valve
- DAV ⊙ Double Air Valve
- RPZD ⊙ RPZ Valve
- DCV ⊙ Double Check Valve
- ⊘ Pressure Relief Valve
- ⊘ Float Valve

### Meter

- ⊙ <all other values>
- ⊙ FlowElement
- ⊙ BillingLargeDiameter
- ⊙ BillingSmallDiameter

### MiscellaneousDevice

- ⊙ <all other values>
- ⊙ SamplingPoint
- ⊙ FlowRecordingDevice
- ⊙ PressureRecordingDevice

### SystemValve

- ⊘ <all other values>
- SCOUR ⊘ Scour Valve
- ⊘ Ball Valve
- ⊘ Needle Valve
- ⊘ Cone Valve
- ALT ⊘ Altitude Cone Valve
- FAV ⊘ Flow Rate Altitude Combination Globe Valve
- PRV ⊘ Pressure Reducing Globe Valve
- PCV ⊘ Pump Control Globe Valve
- OCV ⊘ Outlet Control Globe Valve
- PSV ⊘ Pressure Sustaining Globe Valve
- BAV ⊘ Backup Altitude Globe Valve
- ALT ⊘ Altitude Globe Valve
- FRCV ⊘ Flow Rate control Globe Valve
- ALT ⊘ Altitude Butterfly Valve
- BAV ⊘ Backup Altitude Butterfly Valve
- PCV ⊘ Pump Control Butterfly Valve
- ⊘ Normally Closed Butterfly Valve
- FRCV ⊘ Dual Flow Rate control Butterfly Valve
- ⊘ Butterfly Valve
- ⊘ Motorised Butterfly Valve
- ⊘ Zone Valve (Butterfly)
- FRCV ⊘ Flow Rate Control Butterfly Valve
- FAV ⊘ Flow Rate Altitude Combination Butterfly Valve
- ⊘ Temporary Zone Valve
- ALT ⊘ Altitude Gate Valve
- ⊘ Gate Valve
- ⊘ Motorised Gate Valve
- ⊘ Normally Closed Gate Valve
- BAV ⊘ Backup Altitude Gate Valve
- ⊘ Zone Valve

### AirVesselDevice

- ⊘ <all other values>
- ⊘ AirVessel

### Fitting

- <all other values>
- ⊗ MainCock
- Tee
- ServiceTee
- DualServiceTee
- Cross
- ▷ Reducer
- ⌈ EndCap
- ⊘ GibaultJoint
- OpenEnd
- | BlankFlange
- ⊙ MaintenanceHole
- || OrificePlate
- ⊗ StopCock
- TappingBand\_Bend
- ⊘ TappingBand\_Valve

### ServiceLine

- DomesticService
- FireService

### SystemProtectionLine

- Scour
- OverFlow
- Drain
- WashDown

All network distribution data is the property of ACTEW Corporation and no warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of the information is provided. No liability for any loss or damage arising from the use of this information will be accepted.

Copyright © ACTEW 2014.



Company	RD Gossip Pty Ltd	Date	17/05/2012
Attention		This Page	
From		Project No.	2796
Project	Greenway Block 2, Section 28 Vegetation Assessment		
Subject	Vegetation Assessment Summary		

dsb Landscape Architects

14 Hannah Place, Deakin, ACT, 2600  
02 6285 1955 dsb@dsbla.com.au www.dsbla.com.au

This is to confirm that we carried out the assessment of the trees at Block 2 Section 28 Greenway on 29, 30 and 31 April 2012.

The site is open, mown grassland with generally scattered trees but more dense on the northern section of the site and along the north/south boundary with Drakeford Drive. There are 15 tree species on the site and they are predominantly mixed species of eucalypts and casuarinas. The only area of more formal ornamental planting is on the Mortimer Lewis Drive end of the footpath from the Drakeford Drive underpass. There are no street trees along Mortimer Lewis Drive.

The number of trees included in the assessment was 223 and includes 1 tree, Tree No 219, not picked up by the surveyor and 4 trees within the residential properties on the northern boundary which overhang the boundary fence.

No 'Exceptional' quality trees were recorded on the site.

Eighteen (18) trees have been classified as 'High' quality, 150 as 'Medium' quality and 55 as 'Poor' quality trees. The 'poor' quality trees are all recommended for removal.

Tree No 177 has several active bracket fungi in trunk wounds and is recommended for removal although it is technically off the site. The plantings adjacent to the boundary on Drakeford Drive appear to have been better maintained than the trees within the site but 11 have been assessed for removal.

Should you require any additional information from us in connection with this Tree assessment please do not hesitate to contact me?

Regards,

Fellow, Australian Institute of Horticulture

Consultant Member Arboriculture Australia



# TREE ASSESSMENT

## GREENWAY Block 2 Section 28 ACT

ASSESSMENT PREPARED FOR  
**R D Gossip Pty Ltd**

May 2012



**dsb** LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS  
DEAKIN CHAMBERS  
14 HANNAH PLACE, DEAKIN, ACT

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

### ASSESSMENT INFORMATION & CODES

The following represents details recorded for the trees on the site.

1. **Number:** Reference number. Each tree/group of trees is numbered to link Plan and Report information and allow for easy identification in the field.
2. **Species:** Identification on site
3. **Tree Classification**
  - E Exceptional value trees that must be retained with no disturbance. Mature specimen Grand appearance and stature. May have unusual character. May be a rare species. Well balanced. Little to no epicormic shoots.
  - H High value trees that must be retained with minimal disturbance. Mature specimen. Good appearance and stature. Little to no epicormic shoots.
  - M Medium value trees where retention is desirable, but may be considered for removal if all other design options are exhausted. Mature specimen. Some evidence of limb fall. Epicormics may be common. Dieback common.
  - P Poor quality trees which may be removed. Significant dieback common. Decay/hollows common. Included bark forks common. May have a short life expectancy.
  - L Non-significant tree with important landscape impact (e.g. regeneration with potential to contribute to the landscape in future years. These would not be considered under the legislation but may be important in other discussions about design). The above survey information is not required for these trees.
4. **Height:** In metres. Trees 12m tall or greater are potentially significant trees under the Tree Protection legislation (TDA application).
5. **Canopy Spread:** Shown in metres and is the maximum crown width of the tree
6. **Number of Trunks**  
Number of trunks at 1 metre above ground level. Trees with multiple trunks, the combined circumferences of which is 1500mm or more, are potentially significant trees under the Tree Protection legislation (TDA application).
7. **Trunk circumference:**  
In millimetres, measured 1 metre above ground level with a tape. Trees with trunk circumference of 1500mm at 1m above ground level are potentially significant trees under the Tree Protection legislation (TDA application).

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

### 8. Tolerance of Disturbance:

- |   |        |   |
|---|--------|---|
| L | Low    | e.g. E. melliodora – no reduction in clearances.<br>Senescent remnant trees<br>Species growing outside their normal climatic range<br>Most conifers         |
| M | Medium | e.g. E. blakelyi – but with only minor reduction in clearances  |
| H | High   | Platanus sp. Plane Trees, Ulmus sp. Elm Trees, Robinia sp. False Acacia Trees, Brachychiton sp. Kurrajong Trees but with only minor reduction in clearances |

NB: All pruning in accordance with AS4373 – ‘Pruning of Amenity Trees’

### 9. Expected Longevity

- S - Short (less than 10 years)  
M - Medium (10 - 25 years)  
L - Long (greater than 25 years)

### 10. Cultural Significance

#### Cultural/Social/Commemorative

- CSC1 A tree or group of trees associated with a **significant public figure or important historical event.**
- CSC2 A tree or group of trees **highly valued by the community or a cultural group** for reasons of strong religious, spiritual, cultural, educational or other social associations, including trees associated with **aboriginal** heritage and culture.
- CSC3 A tree or group of trees **associated with a heritage listed place** and representative of that same historic era.

#### Visual/Aesthetic

- VA1 A tree or group of trees that occurs in a prominent **location or context.**
- VA2 A tree that is outstanding for its **height, trunk circumference or canopy spread.**
- VA3 A tree or group of trees which is of outstanding **aesthetic or visual significance**, and so provides a significant contribution to the landscape, including remnant native trees, important landmarks and plantings constituting formal or unusual patterns, or exhibits curious growth forms or physical features.

#### Botanic/Scientific

- BS1 A tree that is of **horticultural or genetic value** and could be an important source of propagation stock, including specimens that are particularly resistant to disease or climatic extremes, or have a particular growth form.
- BS2 A tree that is an **outstanding example of its species, including its age, size or habit.**
- BS3 A tree or group of trees which demonstrates a likelihood of providing information which will **contribute significantly to a wider understanding of natural or cultural history** by virtue of its use as a research site, teaching site, type locality or benchmark site.
- BS4 A tree or group of trees which **provides habitat for native fauna.**

#### Ecological

- E1 An example of a **rare or threatened** species, or one **endemic** to the Territory or local region.
- E2 An **indigenous native eucalypt** in the urban area with a trunk circumference of 2.5m or more (at 1m above natural ground level) **which predates the urban development** in its immediate proximity.

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

- E3 A tree or group of trees that make a significant contribution to the **integrity of an ecological community**, including its role as a seed source or specialised habitat.
- E4 **A remnant** specimen tree or group of trees now reduced in range or abundance, **which indicates the former extent of the species, particularly range limits.**
- E5 A tree or group of trees which is a significant **habitat element for rare or threatened wildlife species.**

### 11. Tree Protection Zone

The tree protection zone defines the minimum distance from the face of the trunk of the tree for any groundwork under the canopy of the tree that is likely to harm the tree including building, trenching, changing soil levels, compacting or contaminating the soil.

- (a) for Exceptional Trees – erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 5m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest
- (b) for High and Medium Trees - erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest
- (c) for Poor Trees - erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest
- (d) for especially tall and/or slender trees or trees noted as having exposed roots – as noted during the tree assessment.
- (e) tree protection zone fenced prior to commencement of any demolition / construction.
- (f) fencing to be maintained during construction phase.

### NOTES / DISCLAIMER

#### LIMITATIONS ON THE USE OF THIS REPORT

This report is to be utilised in its entirety only. Any written or verbal submission, report or presentation that includes statements taken from the findings, discussions, conclusions or recommendations made in this report, may only be used where the whole of the original report (or a copy) is referenced in, and directly attached to that submission, report or presentation.

#### UNLESS STATED OTHERWISE:

Information contained in this report covers only those trees which were examined and reflects the condition of those trees at the time of inspection on **29 April, 2012.**

The inspection was limited to visual examination of the subject trees without dissection, excavation, probing or coring.

There is no warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, that problems or deficiencies of the subject trees may not arise in the future.

The findings of this report may not necessarily agree with reports prepared by others, including the Government Conservator of Trees.

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 1

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus viminalis	Trunk Circumference (m)	2.0, 0.5
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	13.00	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	13	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove minor trunk at ground level</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 2

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus elata	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.3,1.1,0.6
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	11	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	12	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	3	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove lower branches for maintenance access</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 3

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus elata	Trunk Circumference (m)	2.0
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	12	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	9	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove lower branches for maintenance access</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 4



## Survey Details:

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

## Tree Details:

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.3
Tree Classification	H	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	13	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	12	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

## Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 5

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.8
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	8	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Growing under crown spread of trees 4 and 6.</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 6

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1,6,0,7
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	14	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	11	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove lesser trunk at ground level</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 7

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.9, 0.5
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	8	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	11	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 8



## Survey Details:

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

## Tree Details:

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.8
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	8	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	11	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

## Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 9

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.5
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	5	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove dead stump at ground level</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 10

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.4
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	11	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Deformed lower trunk. Within existing canopy.</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 11

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.0
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 12

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.9
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 13

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.0
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	10	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Trees 13 - 22 canopies merging</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 14

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.5
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Trees 13 - 22 canopies merging</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 15

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.7
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	5	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Trees 13 - 22 canopies merging</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 16

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.4, 0.3, 0.3
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	5	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	3	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trees 13 - 22 canopies merging</li> <li>• Obviously stunted tree</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 17

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.5, 0.5
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	8	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	9	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trees 13 - 22 canopies merging</li> <li>• Dual trunks from ground level</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 18

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.7, 0.5
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	8	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Trees 13 - 22 canopies merging</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 19

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.4, 0.4
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	5	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	9	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trees 13 - 22 canopies merging</li> <li>• Stunted tree with multiple trunks</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 20

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.7, 0.5
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	9	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Trees 13 - 22 canopies merging</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 21

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus elata	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.9, 0.9
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	11	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	9	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Trees 13 - 22 canopies merging</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 22

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus elata	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.4
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	10	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	10	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Trees 13 - 22 canopies merging</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 23

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus elata	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.9, 0.8, 0.6
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	10	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	3	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3 equal sized co-dominant trunks, splitting at ground level</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 24

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.5, 0.5
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	4	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2 co-dominant trunk from ground level</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 25

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.6
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	15	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	10	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Included bark in acute V crotch at 1200mm.</li> <li>Remove smaller diameter trunk</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 26

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.2
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	13	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 27

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.1, 0.8
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	11	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove smaller diameter trunk at ground level</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 28

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.4
Tree Classification	H	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	14	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 29

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.5, 0.8, 0.4
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	12	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	10	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	3	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove 2 lower side branches</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 30

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.5, 0.5
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	8	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Divided trunk at ground level</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 31

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.2
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 32

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.0
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	4	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 33

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus dives	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.8
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	15	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	14	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove low hanging branches for maintenance</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 34

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.4
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	5	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	4	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stunted growth</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 35

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.6
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	6	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	4	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 36

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.7, 0.6
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	11	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	4	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove smaller trunk</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 37



## Survey Details:

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

## Tree Details:

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.5
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	3	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

## Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	•
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	• Stunted tree

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 38

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.5
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	5	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	2	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Overshaded by tree 58</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 39

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.7
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	4	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	•
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	• Recent mechanical damage to trunk at ground level

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 40

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.0
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	10	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 41

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.5
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	5	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	3	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 42

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.4
Tree Classification		Tolerance of Disturbance	
Tree Height (m)	6	Expected Longevity	
Canopy Spread (m)	3	Cultural Significance	
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	•
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	• Tree fallen over since survey

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 43

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.3, 0.3
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	5	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	3	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stunted tree</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 44

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.7
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	3	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stunted tree</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 45

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.3, 0.3
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	5	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	3	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	•
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	• Multi-trunked, stunted tree

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 46

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.6, 0.5
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	6	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	5	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Multi-trunked, stunted tree</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 47

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.1
Tree Classification	H	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	11	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 48

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.9, 0.8
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	9	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Co-dominant trunks at 1 metre.</li> <li>Included bark at acute V crotch</li> <li>Remove smaller trunk</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 49

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.89
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	12	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	10	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 50

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus dives	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.1, 1.0, 1.0
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	13	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	10	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	3	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 51

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.5
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	4	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	3	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 52

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.7, 1.0
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	8	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	13	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove low hanging branches and large branch from ground level</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 53

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.5
Tree Classification	H	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	13	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	10	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove all low hanging branches for maintenance access</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 54



## Survey Details:

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

## Tree Details:

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	2.1
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	13	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	16	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

## Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Complex branch arrangement at 1.5 metres.</li> <li>Tree surgery required at extremities to lessen weight on branch junction</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 55

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.8
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	14	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	17	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	•
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At crotch at 2.5 metres, there appears to be dead wood and possible moisture intrusion.</li> <li>• Dead wood on upper branch</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 56

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus rubida	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.1
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	14	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 57

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus sp.	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.3, 0.8, 0.4
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	11	Expected Longevity	S
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	3	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Poor quality tree</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 58

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.6
Tree Classification	H	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	17	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	9	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 59

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.5
Tree Classification	H	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	14	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	9	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 60

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.0
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	11	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove lower branch</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 61

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.4
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	2	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 62

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.9, 0.9, 0.6
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	9	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	3	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 63

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.4
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	6	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove side branch at 1m</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 64

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.8, 0.7
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	8	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Divided trunk at ground level</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 65

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.6, 0.6
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	5	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Divided trunk at ground level</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 66

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.4
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stunted tree</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 67

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.7
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acute v crotch at 1200mm</li> <li>Remove smaller branch</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 68

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.5, 0.4, 0.4
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	8	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	9	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	3	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Multi-trunked tree from ground level</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 69

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	3 x 0.5, 2 x 0.4
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	5	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Multi-stemmed trunk from ground level</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 70

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.4
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	4	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	9	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stunted tree</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 71

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.0
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	10	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 72

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.2, 1.0
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	10	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove low hanging branches for maintenance access</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 73

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.4
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	8	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove large side branch</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 74

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.8, 0.5
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	5	Expected Longevity	M
Canopy Spread (m)	4	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stunted, poor tree</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 75

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.0
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	8	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove fruit tree seedling from base of tree</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 76

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.7, 0.6, 0.5
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	3	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prune lower branches for access</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 77

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.7
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	6	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	4	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prune lower branches</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 78

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:****Tree**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.5, 0.4
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	5	Expected Longevity	M
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Multi-stemmed, stunted tree</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 79

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	6 x 0.3
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	4	Expected Longevity	M
Canopy Spread (m)	5	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	6	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Poor, stunted tree</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 80

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.8, 0.7, 0.6, 0.6
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	8	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	4	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prune lower branches</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 81

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.4
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	13	Expected Longevity	M
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Large limb wound from 1-2 metres</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 82

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.6, 2 x 0.5, 2 x 0.2
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	6	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	5	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	5	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Poor, stunted tree</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 83

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.2, 0.9
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	10	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 84

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.4, 2 x 0.2
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	4	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	3	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	3	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	•
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	•

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 85

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.9
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	4	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove lower branches</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 86

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.7
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	13	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	1	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove lower branches</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 87

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	5 x 0.3
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	3	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	5	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Multi-stemmed growth from stump</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 88

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.7
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	8	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	4	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 89

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.7
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	3	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prune lower regrowth from stump</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 90

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.1
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	13	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	9	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove lower branch at 1.2 metres</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 91

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	2 x 0.9, 0.5
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	11	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	3	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Multi-stemmed growth from trunk</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 92

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.3
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	4	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	3	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Poor, stunted tree</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 93

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.8
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 94

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.2
Tree Classification	H	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	12	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	10	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 95

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.7
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	10	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	4	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dominated by tree 96</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 96

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.8, 0.5, 0.4
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	10	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	5	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	3	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 97

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi & Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.5
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	5	Expected Longevity	M
Canopy Spread (m)	2	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Eucalyptus blakelyi and melliodora regrowth to be removed</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 98

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus blakelyi	Trunk Circumference (m)	5 x 0.3
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	5	Expected Longevity	M
Canopy Spread (m)	4	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	5	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Remove Eucalyptus blakelyi regrowth</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 99

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.4
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	11	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	•
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Complicated branch arrangement including acute V-crotch at 1.4 metres.</li> <li>• Structurally weakened</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 100

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.2, 0.6
Tree Classification	H	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	10	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove side branch at ground level</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 101

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.8, 0.5
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	10	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	5	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove side branch at ground level &amp; lower branches for maintenance</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 102

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	2 x 0.8
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	9	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Co-dominant trunks from 300mm. Remove lesser trunk</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 103

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.6, 0.5
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	8	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove smaller trunk</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 104

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus melliodora	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.2, 0.7
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	L
Tree Height (m)	13	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	9	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove branches below 1.4 metres</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 105

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus bicostata	Trunk Circumference (m)	2.0, 1.5, 2 x 1.4, 1.0
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	16	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	14	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	5	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retain kurrajong seedling at base</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 106

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus bicostata	Trunk Circumference (m)	2.4
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	18	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	18	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Retain kurrajong seedling at base</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 107

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.5, 0.5
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	16	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	10	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove dead limb from branch fork at 2.2 metres</li> <li>Remove low branch at 300mm</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 108

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.5
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	2	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Poor, stunted tree</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 109

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.0
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	16	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 110

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.1
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	16	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove limb nearest to tree 109</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 111

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.0
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	10	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 112

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.9, 0.8
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	11	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lower trunk wound healing well</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 113

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.2, 1.0
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	14	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	10	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 114

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.3
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	14	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 115

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.8
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	13	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 116

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.2, 1.1
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	15	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	10	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 117

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.1, 0.8
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	14	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 118

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.5
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	16	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	10	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 119

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	2.3
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	17	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	16	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 120

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.3, 1.2
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	18	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	12	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove trunk on southern side, close to ground level</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 121

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.2
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	16	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 122

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus viminalis	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.7
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	17	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	12	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 123

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus viminalis	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.8
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	15	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	5	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stunted tree, overshadowed by surrounding canopy</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 124

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus viminalis	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.4
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	12	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Leaning to the north due to adjacent trees</li> <li>Prune crown edge to favour tree 126</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 125

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Casuarina cunninghamiana	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.6
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	7	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Under crown spread of tree 122, causing poor form</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 126

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Casuarina cunninghamiana	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.0
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	9	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Canopy in conflict with tree 124</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 127

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.9
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	11	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 128

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.9
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	12	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 129

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.3
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	8	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	3	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Severe wound at base</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 130

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.1
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	13	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 131



## Survey Details:

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

## Tree Details:

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.8
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	13	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	5	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

## Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 132

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.1
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	13	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 133

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus bridgesiana	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.7
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	9	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	4	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove vigorous regrowth from previous pruning at ground level</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 134

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.1
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	12	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 135

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species		Trunk Circumference (m)	
Tree Classification		Tolerance of Disturbance	
Tree Height (m)		Expected Longevity	
Canopy Spread (m)		Cultural Significance	
Number of Trunks		Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• tree appears to be counted twice, see number 131</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 136

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.0
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	13	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Small lower trunk bark wound and minor exposed roots</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 137

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.1
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	12	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 138

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.8
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	12	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 139

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.0
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	11	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	7	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 140



## Survey Details:

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

## Tree Details:

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.9
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	13	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	6	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

## Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 141

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus bridgesiana	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.6
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	8	Expected Longevity	M
Canopy Spread (m)	4	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Distorted trunk, dead wood and rot at base. Poor tree</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 142

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus bridgesiana	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.3, 0.4
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	14	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Remove 2 lower branches</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 143

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus bridgesiana	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.4
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	6	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	3	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Poor, stunted tree</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 144



## Survey Details:

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

## Tree Details:

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera & Eucalyptus bridgesiana	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.7
Tree Classification	P	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	11	Expected Longevity	M
Canopy Spread (m)	8	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	

## Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:

Retain or Remove	Remove
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Growing under crown of tree 142 &amp; leaning to the West for light. Note: Included bark in acute V-crotch in main trunk at 3.5 metres</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 145

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus bridegsiana	Trunk Circumference (m)	2 x 1.2
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	14	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	10	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	2	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 146

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Casuarina cunninghamiana	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.3
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	14	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	10	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Minor lower trunk mechanical damage</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 147

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Casuarina cunninghamiana	Trunk Circumference (m)	1.5
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	14	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	10	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lower trunk mechanical damage</li> </ul>

## Greenway Block 2 Section 28 Tree Assessment

Tree Number: 148

**Survey Details:**

Organisation	dsb Landscape Architects		
Date of Survey	29-04-2012	Assessor Initials	

**Tree Details:**

Tree Species	Eucalyptus mannifera	Trunk Circumference (m)	0.7
Tree Classification	M	Tolerance of Disturbance	M
Tree Height (m)	10	Expected Longevity	L
Canopy Spread (m)	4	Cultural Significance	N
Number of Trunks	1	Tree Protection Zone	Canopy + 2m

**Tree Protection Requirements and Recommendations:**

Retain or Remove	Retain
Tree Protection Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Erect 1.8m high chainlink fence at least 2m from the canopy or 4m from the trunk, whichever is the greatest</li> </ul>
Arboricultural Notes / Recommendations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Slightly distorted crown due to proximity of trees 146 &amp; 147</li> </ul>