

#### **Form**

#### Internal Referral Brief for MPRG

#### SUBMISSION TO MAJOR PROJECT REVIEW GROUP

AGENDA ITEM NO.	
DATE OF MEETING	
SUBJECT	DA 201629628 – BLOCK 4 SECTION 41 LYNEHAM
BRANCH	DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
PRESENTED BY	AJITH BUDDHADASA

This proposal has been referred to the Major Project Review Group because it proposes to remove a number of regulated trees (the Conservator of Flora and Fauna does not support the removal of regulated trees), and received 21 representations during the public notification of the proposal.

#### **Purpose**

 To brief the Major Project Review Group on a development proposal for the construction of a school building comprising 15 class rooms and assembly space; and associated works.

#### Territory Plan zone and applicable development codes:

The block is within the CF-Community Facility Zone and the applicable development codes are Lyneham Precinct Map and Code (LPMAC); Community Facility Zone Development Code (CFZDC) and other relevant General Codes in the Territory Plan.



Location of the proposed development



Territory Plan Zone - CF Community Facility Zone

#### Leasing

The Crown lease was granted under the Leases (Special Purposes) Leases Ordinance 1923-1943 for the following purposes:

"to use the said land only for the purposes of a church a school and associated ecclesiastical buildings;"

The proposed new classrooms are not inconsistent with the permitted use of "school".

#### Issues identified for discussion

The proposal is generally consistent with the applicable development codes. There are no major design and siting issues which need to be discussed at the MPRG.

#### **Entity advice**

The proposal is supported or conditionally supported by the following entities at the time of this MPRG meeting:

Transport Canberra and City Services (TCCS), Actew agencies, Icon Water, and the Environment Protection Authority (EPA).

#### **Tree Protection**

Comments have been received from the Conservator not supporting the removal of regulated trees 75, 78 and 81 (see **Attachment A**). The Conservator has assessed the trees 75 and 81 as of medium to high quality trees and tree 78 as a medium quality tree. While the Conservator states that there are no non-development grounds to support the removal of said trees (removal of the trees cannot be supported under the Tree Protection Act 2005), the Conservator has acknowledged that "the site contains a

wide mix of mature, semi-mature and young trees within the total landscape and that the school is located on quite a small block. No other regulated trees on the site will be affected if the development if it is approved, however tree protection measures should be implemented if equipment, or materials are to be stored where there are regulated trees on site".

#### The applicant claim:

Several trees are located close to or within the proposed building line. An application for tree damaging activity has been lodged, with as result that three trees are deemed significant. For this reason the TAMS tree protection unit, could not rule that those trees can be removed. However we are of the opinion that for development reasons there is no other option than removing these three significant trees.

The location and siting of the proposed building is such that there is no viable alternative that would save the trees in question. The building sits hard against the electrical easement to the north. To the west boundary of the building is restrained by water and gas tie points. The other two sides are restrained by the distance to the existing buildings. The ELC to the south will otherwise have increased overshadowing issues. Moving the building towards the east or south, would gain no benefit to any of the trees.

The campus is landlocked and all other available land has been occupied by building and playground space. Leaving this site as the only development site on which to accommodate the growth needs of the school for permanent classroom space for the proposed Junior School.

In recognition of the significance of the trees, that we ask to remove for development reasons, we propose to replace them with established trees on site. A conceptual plan from the landscape architect provide two areas outlining where additional trees will be planted. The location of these trees is such that the likelihood of future demolition due to further developments is minimal.

On the above grounds, the applicant seek the planning and land authority (ACTPLA) to depart from the Conservator's advice and approve the removal of regulated trees on development grounds within the provisions available under \$119(2) of the *Planning and Development Act 2007* (P&DAct).

#### Comments from Education and Training Dte (ETD)

ETD has raised number of concerns regarding the issues possibly can be occurred during the construction phase of the proposal. The applicant submitted response (see **Attachment B**) to the issues raised. ETD supported the proposal based on the additional information provided by the applicant.

#### Comments from EPD Major Projects and Transport (MPATP)

MPATP requested further information in relation to the application of reduced car parking rate for students. In response, the applicant provided additional information. MPATP supported the proposal based on the additional information provided by the applicant.

#### **Public notification**

Twenty One (21) representations were received in relation to the proposal during the public notification of the proposal. The main issues raised include incorrect or inadequate information on the plans; Future status of the demountable class rooms; building design; removal of regulated trees; site density; student numbers/enrolments; increased traffic generation; in adequate car parking; construction traffic/pollution etc.

The representors raised concerns that the school may not demolish demountable structures after the completion of the subject development and may still be used as class rooms and may increase the student numbers (the development will accommodate 480 existing students) leading to more parking requirements and increased traffic on surrounding streets. The assessing officer seek advice/directions from MPRG on possible condition/s in the decision (if approved) to address this issue.

#### **Communications**

There has been significant media interest in this matter and therefore liaison with both EPD Communications Unit and Minister's office would be crucial prior to public information being released for any government decisions.

A brief summary of recent articles is included below:

Canberra Times article, July 2016

Brindabella Christian College plans \$15 million Lyneham upgrade

http://www.canberratimes.com.au/act-news/brindabella-christian-college-plans-15-million-lyneham-upgrade-20160629-gpug1u.html

Canberra Times article, 15 September 2015

ACT Govt blocks Brindabella Christian College plans for sports centre on Lyneham Oval <a href="http://www.canberratimes.com.au/act-news/act-government-blocks-brindabella-christian-college-plans-for-sports-centre-on-lyneham-oval-20150914-gjmlom.html">http://www.canberratimes.com.au/act-news/act-government-blocks-brindabella-christian-college-plans-for-sports-centre-on-lyneham-oval-20150914-gjmlom.html</a>

Canberra Times article, 2 September 2015

Brindabella Christian School takes on opponents of its oval redevelopment <a href="http://www.canberratimes.com.au/act-news/brindabella-christian-school-takes-on-opponents-of-its-oval-redevelopment-20150902-gid59p.html">http://www.canberratimes.com.au/act-news/brindabella-christian-school-takes-on-opponents-of-its-oval-redevelopment-20150902-gid59p.html</a>

Canberra Times article, November 2014

Lyneham oval redevelopment plans pulled for further consultation

http://www.canberratimes.com.au/act-news/lyneham-oval-redevelopment-plans-pulled-for-further-consultation-20141120-11qggo.html

#### **Options**

Please find below options for progressing the matter.

• Option 1:

The proposal is generally consistent with the applicable development codes. Assessing officer is also in the view that there are no realistic alternatives to the proposal in order to retain 3 regulated trees proposed to be removed. Therefore the proposal can be approved subject to endorsement of the proposal by ETD and MPATP.

• Option 2: xxxxxx

#### Recommendation

It is recommended that the MPRG support the conditional approval of the proposal subject to the endorsement of the proposal by ETD and MPATP. MPRG support the removal of regulated trees 75, 78 and 81 pursuant to \$119(2) of the P&D Act as there are no realistic alternatives to the proposal.

Ajith Buddhadasa Case Officer 19/08/2016 .....

#### **Minutes**

Chair: George Cilliers Minute Taker: Ajith Buddhadasa

Present:

George Cilliers; Chris Gell, Ray Brown; Helen McKeown; Monica Saad; Rumana Jamaly; Lisa Van Vucht; Ajith

Buddhadasa

MPRG members did not declare any conflict of interest with the subject development application

#### Decision by Major Project Review Group:

MPRG noted the supporting comments received from ETD and Transport Planning in relation to the proposal.

MPRG also noted the concerns raised by the representors mainly about the increased traffic generation, adequacy of car parking and the future status of Building-D and two demountable class rooms. If these two buildings are to be retained, School may increased the student numbers resulting in increase traffic especially on Brigalow Street and car parking.

The proponent claims that the proposed development is to house existing students from Building D and two demountables therefore these buildings will be demolished once the proposed building completed.

Although the traffic and parking studies have considered traffic impacts and parking requirements with the retainment of Building D and demountable class rooms, MPRG noted that if these buildings are to be retained, the proposed bicycle parking provision is not adequate, and still there may be negative traffic impacts on Brigalow Street. Therefore the MPRG formed the view that prior to the use of proposed building, Building 2 and demountable class rooms must be made dysfunctional by way of disconnecting utility services to the buildings aiming to demolition of the buildings. MPRG suggested that this transition can take place during school holidays without affecting the students' educational activities. Accordingly, the proposal can be approved with appropriate conditions to effect the above.

MPRG also noted the Conservator's comments about regulated trees 75, 78 & 81 which are of medium and medium to high quality. The Conservator also noted the site restrictions for developments and also the development will still retain other regulated trees. During the MPRG session, the Conservator stated that the tree species in concern tend to drop their limbs/branches unexpectedly therefore not suitable to plant within an environment where the presence of children/students frequented. MPRG also noted the applicant's claim that it is not practical to design a building around these trees while retaining the trees and if constructed that kind of a building would not be able to provide functional efficiency and also there is no other place within the block to construct a building which can provide all requirements within one building.

MPRG noted the advice from the Conservator as well as the applicant's claim. After deliberation, the MPRG formed the view that the MPRG is satisfied that there are no other

reasonable alternatives to the proposal therefore the removal of concerned regulated trees can be supported pursuant to s119(2) of the Act.

The MPRG supported the conditional approval of the proposal.

Date this decision was Approved in Objective by Chair of MPRG meeting: 29/08/2016

Is additional referral required? (If YES, highlight selection below)

**Decision Assurance Panel** 

Major Project Review Group (re-submission) Executive Policy Committee Other - specify

**Date referred to Communications Unit:** 



# Form Legislated Requirements Merit Track

#### **ASSESSMENT REPORT**

ASSESSMENT OFFICER: Mr Ajith Buddhadasa

APPLICATION NUMBER: 201629628
BLOCK: 4 SECTION: 41

**DIVISION: LYNEHAM** 

**Zone: CFZ Community Facility Zone** 

#### **The Planning and Development Act 2007**

This document provides analysis of a development proposal in the Merit Track in relation to the legislated requirements of the Planning and Development Act 2007 (the Act), specifically Section 119 and Section 120.

#### Planning and Development Act 2007 - Section 119

In deciding a Merit Track development application, the decision maker must ensure the application meets the following four items numbered S119 (1)(a) to (c) and S119 (2). Approval of an application in the Merit Track must not be given unless the application meets the Section 119 requirements.

S119 (1)(a) The relevant code	The relevant code	s) for the development proposal are:
	Precinct Code:	Lyneham Precinct Map and Code
NB: Refer to form Territory Plan	Development Cod	de: Community Facility Zone Development Code
Code Requirements Merit Track to complete this question	General Code:	Parking and Vehicular Access Genera
	General Code:	Bicycle Parking General Code
	General Code:	Access and Mobility General Code
	General Code:	Crime Prevention Through
		Environmental Design General Code
	General Code:	Community and Recreation Facilities Location Guidelines General Code
	General Code:	WaterWays: Water Sensitive Urban
		Design General Code
		t inconsistent with the above code(s) for in <u>Form – Territory Plan Code Requirements</u>

Revision: 10 Page 1 of 5 Classification: Unclassified Form Revision date: 15/07/2014 Reference:

S119 (1)(b	o) Any land management	The proposal is not for a proposed development relating to
agreemen	t for the land (for proposed	land comprised in a rural lease.
•	ent relating to land	
comprised	l in a rural lease)	

S119 (1)(c) the advice of the Conservator of Flora and Fauna in relation to the proposal (if the proposed development will affect a registered tree or declared site)

**NB:** In accordance with Section 119(3)(a), the application must not be approved unless the approval is consistent with the advice of the Conservator of Flora and Fauna in relation to a proposal that will affect a registered tree or declared site.

**NB:** Section 119A may affect the operation of this section for proposals relating to Light Rail. Assessment should be included here where relevant. Section 119A does not apply to a proposal involving a protected matter. In most cases it cannot be used for a DA subject to an ESO.

The proposal is not for a proposed development that will affect a registered tree or declared site.

S119 (2) Consistency with advice given by an entity to which the application was referred under division 7.3.3 of the Act.

**NB**: Under Section 119 (2) of the Act, development approval must not be given for a development proposal in the merit track if approval would be inconsistent with any advice given by an entity to which the application was referred under division 7.3.3 unless the person deciding the application is satisfied that –

- (a) the following have been considered:
  - (i) any applicable guidelines;
  - (ii) any realistic alternative to the proposed development, or relevant aspects of it; and
- (b) the decision is consistent with the objects of the Territory Plan

**NB:** Section 119A may affect the operation of this section for proposals relating to Light Rail. Assessment should be included here where relevant. Section 119A does not apply to a proposal involving a protected matter. In most cases it cannot be used for a DA subject to an ESO.

The decision is inconsistent with advice given by the Conservator to which the application was referred under division 7.3.3 of the Act. The justification for the departure against s119 (2) of the Act, is addressed in the Notice of the Decision.

#### Planning and Development Act 2007 - Section 120

In deciding a Merit Track development application, a decision maker must consider the following six items numbered S120 (a) to S120 (g).

S120 (a) Zone Objectives	The development is proposed to take place in the zone.
	The application meets all objectives of the zone.
S120 (b) Suitability of the Land	The proposed development seeks approval to use the land or a building or structure of the land for the purpose(s) of educational facility.
	The proposed use is listed as an assessable development in the CF zone development table, and is therefore determined to be a permissible use for the land.
	The proposed development is in accordance with the provisions of the Crown Lease.
	The land is suitable for the development proposed.
S120 (d) Representations	Representations received are addressed in the Notice of Decision.
S120 (e) advice given by an entity in accordance with section 149 of the Act	Entity advice received is addressed in the Notice of Decision.
<b>NB</b> : Under Section 150 of the Act, if entity advice is not received within 15 working days, the entity is taken to have given advice in support of the application.	
S120 (f) the plan of management for the land (if the proposed development relates to land that is Public Land)	The proposal is not for a proposed development relating to land that is public land.

Proposal for development on land reserved under S315 for the purpose of wilderness area, national park, nature reserve, or special purpose reserve.	The proposal does not occur on land that is reserved under S315 of the Act for the purpose of wilderness area, national park, nature reserve, or special purpose reserve.
S120 (g) the probable impact of the proposed development, including the nature, extent and significance of probable environmental impacts.	Based on the matters to be considered by the relevant Code there are no probable environmental impacts of significance associated with the proposed development.



### **Form**

#### Territory Plan Code Requirements Merit Track

ASSESSMENT REPORT

CF-Community Facility Zone

ASSESSMENT OFFICER: Mr Ajith Buddhadasa

APPLICATION NUMBER: 201629628 BLOCK: 4 SECTION: 41

SECON. 4 SECTION. 4

**DIVISION: LYNEHAM** 

#### **Territory Plan Code Requirements**

This document provides analysis of a development proposal in relation to the relevant codes contained in the Territory Plan. The proposal meets all rules of the code(s) that are relevant to the development with the exception of those either identified in the statement against relevant criteria submitted for the proposal or, listed in the tables below. The statement against relevant criteria demonstrates the proposal meets all relevant criteria that are, either:

- the applicable criterion to a relevant rule that is not met; or,
- the criterion is relevant and there is no applicable rule,

with the exception of those listed in the tables below.

### 1. Assessment of Compliance with Lyneham Precinct Map and Code and the Community Facility Zone Development Code

The proposal is not inconsistent with the Lyneham Precinct Map and Code.

Refer to separate assessment sheets for the assessment against Community Facility Zone Development Code.

#### 2. Assessment of Compliance with WSUD General Code.

Not inconsistent with the General Code. Refer to supporting information provided with the DA.

#### 3. Assessment of Compliance with Access and Mobility General Code

Not inconsistent with the General Code. Refer to supporting information provided with the DA.

### 4. Assessment of Compliance with Bicycle Parking General Code and the Parking and Vehicular Access General Code

Not inconsistent with the General Code. Refer to supporting information provided with the DA. Referred to Transport Planning. No negative comments were received.

#### 5. Assessment of Compliance with CPTED General Code.

Not inconsistent with the General Code. Refer to supporting information provided with the DA.

### 6. Assessment of Compliance with Community and Recreation Facilities Location Guidelines General Code.

Not inconsistent with the General Code. Refer to supporting information provided with the DA.

### Statement against Relevant Criteria MERIT TRACK

#### **Educational Establishment**

Brindabella Christian College – Lyneham Campus Proposed junior school Block 4, Section 41, Lyneham Assessment B4 S41 Lyneham DA 201629628

#### **CFZ: Community Facility Zone**

#### **Contents:**

1.0 - Community Facility Zone development Code Effective: 20 June 2014	<b>√</b>	
2.0 - Access and Mobility General Code  Effective: 4 October 2013	<b>/</b>	
3.0 - Bicycle Parking General Code  Effective: 4 October 2013	<b>√</b>	
4.0 - Community and Recreational Facilities Location Guidelines General Code Effective: 4 October 2013	<b>√</b>	
5.0 - Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design General Code Effective: 16 December 2011	<b>\</b>	
6.0 - Parking and Vehicular Access General Code Effective: 3 October 2014	<b>√</b>	Parking assessment from Northrop Consulting engineers provided in response to this code.
7.0 - Signs General Code Effective: 31 March 2008	x	No proposed signs are included in this development application submission.
8.0 - Waterways: Water Sensitive Urban Design General Code Effective: 10 July 2009	<b>√</b>	WSUD assessment from Sellick Consulting engineers provided in response to this code.

#### 1.0 - COMMUNITY FACILITY ZONES DEVELOPMENT CODE

Note: Rules and Criteria not relevant/ applicable to this development have been omitted from the tables listed below.

### GENERAL DEVELOPMENT CONTROLS ELEMENT 1: RESTRICTION ON USE

Rules	Criteria	Response
1.1 Supportive housing		
R1 Development for supportive housing complies with all of the following:  a) the occupation of individual dwellings in a supportive housing complex is restricted by the lease to persons in need of support  b) the site has not been identified in a suburb precinct code as being prohibited for supportive housing  c) all dwellings comply with Class 'C' of Australian Standard AS4299 — Adaptable Housing.  d) subdivision of a lease developed for supportive housing, including subdivision under the Unit Titles Act 2001, is not permitted.	This is a mandatory requirement. There is no applicable criterion.	N/A
1.2 Retirement village		
R2 Development for retirement village complies with all of the following: a) the site has not been identified in a suburb precinct code as being prohibited for retirement village b) Subdivision of a lease developed for retirement village, including subdivision under the Unit Titles Act 2001, is not permitted c) all dwellings comply with Class 'C' of Australian Standard AS4299 — Adaptable Housing.	This is a mandatory requirement. There is no applicable criterion	N/A

Rules	Criteria	Response
1.3 Business agency, office,	nublic agency	-
R3 This rule applies to any of the following: i. business agency ii. office iii. public agency	This is a mandatory requirement. There is no applicable criterion	N/A
located within a surplus former ACT Government building. The maximum lease term is 5 years		
R4 This rule applies to any of the following: i. business agency ii. office iii. public agency	This is a mandatory requirement. There is no applicable criterion	N/A
located in other than a surplus former ACT Government building. The use is conducted only by a not for profit organisation.		
R5 This rule applies to any of the following: i. business agency ii. office iii. public agency	C5 Business agencies, offices and public agencies are small scale.	N/A
located in other than a surplus former ACT Government building. The total gross floor area of all such uses does not exceed 400m <sup>2</sup> .		
	affected by approved lease and dev	
R6 The development proposal complies with approved and current lease and development conditions applying to the site. Where there is an inconsistency between the lease and development conditions and the provisions of this code, the former shall take precedence, but only to the extent of the inconsistency.	C6 The development meets the intent of any approved and current lease and development conditions applying to the site.	N/A

#### **ELEMENT 2: BUILDING AND SITE CONTROLS**

Rules	Criteria	Response
2.1 Building height		
R7 The maximum building height is: a) for that part of the building within 30m of a residential block – the greater of the following: i. 2 storeys ii. the maximum number of storeys permitted on that residential block b) in all other cases – the lesser of the following: i. 4 storeys ii. 15m height of building. For this rule: Residential block means a block that has at least one of the following characteristics – a) zoned residential b) affected by a lease which authorises residential use but does not include any land intended to remain as unleased Territory land or public open space.	C7 Buildings achieve all of the following: a) consistency with the desired character b) a scale appropriate to the proposed use c) reasonable separation from adjoining developments d) reasonable privacy for dwellings on adjoining residential blocks e) reasonable privacy for principal private open space on adjoining residential blocks f) reasonable solar access to dwellings on adjoining residential blocks and their associated principal private open space.	PR7 YES - The building locations is more than 30m distance of a residential block. The height of the proposed building complies with both requirements, being two storeys and less than 15m in height.  C7 YES - The proposed building is designed as an integral part of the existing buildings on the campus. Scale, separation and solar access have all been taken into account during design and are deemed reasonable and suitable for the type of building and for the campus as a whole.
2.2 Setbacks		
R8 Minimum setback of buildings to boundaries of blocks in a residential zone is 6m.	C8 Buildings and other structures are sited to achieve all of the following:  a) consistency with the desired character  b) reasonable separation from adjoining developments  c) reasonable privacy for dwellings on adjoining residential blocks  d) reasonable privacy for principal private open space on adjoining residential blocks  e) reasonable solar access to dwellings on adjoining residential blocks and their associated principal private open space.	R8 YES – The closest residential boundary is located to the west. Distance from boundary to boundary exceeds 30m. All other boundaries are shared with NOT residential zones (CF, CZ6 and PRZ1)  C8 YES - The proposed building is designed as an integral part of the existing buildings on the campus. Separation from adjoining developments and solar access have all been taken into account during design and are deemed reasonable and suitable for the type of building and for the campus as a whole.
2.3 Storage		
R9 Outdoor storage areas are screened from view from any road or other public area.	C9 Where the proposed use of the site requires open areas for storage of goods and materials, adequate	R9 N/A – No outdoor storage areas are proposed for this development.

Rules	Criteria	Response
	provision is to be included in the design layout of the site and should not encroach on car parking areas, driveways or landscaped areas and be adequately screened from public view.	C9 N/A – No outdoor storage areas are proposed for this development.

#### **ELEMENT 3: BUILT FORM**

Rules	Criteria	Response
3.1 Materials and finishes	5	•
	C10	C10
There is no applicable rule.	Where development presents a blank	YES – The proposed building doesn't
	façade to an adjoining block or public	have any blank facades. Proper
	space, a visually interesting	architectural articulation, through
	architectural treatment is applied to that	colour, material and texture has been
	wall, through the use of one or more	applied to all walls.
	elements such as colour, articulation, materials and shadows.	
	C11	C11
There is no applicable rule.	Buildings use high quality materials and	YES – The proposed building is
	have façade with visually interesting	designed with high quality materials in
	architectural treatments through the use	mind. Visual interesting architectural
	of one or more elements such as	treatments have been put in place
	colour, materials, shadows or deep	through articulation, use of colour,
	framing profiles.	use of material and texture.
3.1 Materials and finishes	S	
	C12	C12
There is no applicable rule.	Where appropriate, compatible uses of	YES - The proposed building is
	any existing buildings are integrated	designed as an integral part of the
	with new development and provide	existing buildings on the campus.
	physical connections and linkages	Physical connections and linkages
	between buildings, and between	between buildings across the campus
	buildings and public spaces.	are warranted.
	C13	C13
There is no applicable rule.	Elements of the development that	YES – Street facing facades promote
	interface with a street promote an	interaction, whilst still providing a
	attractive streetscape.	prospering educational climate inside

#### refer to elevations

#### **ELEMENT 4: TRAFFIC IMPACT**

Rules	Criteria	Response		
4.1 Traffic generation	4.1 Traffic generation			
There is no applicable rule.	C14 The existing road network can accommodate the amount of traffic likely to be generated by the development.	YES - The proposed building is integral part of the broader school campus. The traffic management as established for the entire school accommodates all traffic generated		
	referred to TAMSD. No negative comments were received	during drop off times and for permanent parking. A parking report has been provided with this application for Development Approval		

#### **ELEMENT 5: ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION**

Rules	Criteria	Response
5.1 Water sensitive urban	design	
This rule applies to sites 5000m2 or larger. The average annual stormwater pollutant export is reduced for all of the following: a) suspended solids by at least 60 per cent b) total phosphorous by at least 45 per cent c) total nitrogen by at least 40 per cent Compared with an urban catchment with no water quality management controls.  Note: Compliance with this rule is demonstrated by a water sensitive urban design outcomes plan endorsed by a suitably qualified person.	This is a mandatory requirement. Ther is no applicable criterion.	R15 YES – The whole of Campus site is 18,111m2, the Proposed building takes up 1,446m2. A WSUD assessment is provided as part of this application for Development Approval.
R16 This rule applies to sites 2000m2 or larger. Stormwater management complies with one of the following: a) the capacity of the existing pipe (minor) stormwater connection is not exceeded in 1-in-10 year storm event and the capacity of the existing major overland stormwater system is not exceeded in the 1-in-100 year storm event b) the 1-in-5 year and 1-in- 100 year stormwater peak run off does not exceed pre-development levels.	refer to engineer's report	18,111m2, the Proposed building takes up 1,446m2. A WSUD assessment is provided as part of this application for Development Approval.
Note: Compliance with this rule is demonstrated by a water sensitive urban design outcomes plan endorsed by a suitably qualified person.  R17		R17
This rule applies to sites 2,000m2 or larger. Provision is made for one or more of the following:	This is a mandatory requirement. Ther is no applicable criterion.  refer to engineer's report	

Rules	Criteria	Response
a) the storage of stormwater equivalent to at least 1.4kl per 100m2 of impervious area, and its release over a period of 1 to 3 days b) runoff peak flow for the 3 month ARI storm to be no more than predevelopment levels and release of captured flow over a period of 1 to 3 days.  Note: Compliance with this rule is demonstrated by a water sensitive urban design outcomes plan endorsed by a suitably qualified person.		This assessment will include information regarding stormwater retention and detention.
There is no applicable rule.	C18 Underground piping of natural stormwater overland flow paths is minimised.	C18 YES
5.2 Sediment and erosion co	ontrol	
R19 This rule applies to sites larger than 3000m2 . Development complies with a sediment and erosion control concept plan endorsed by the Environment Protection Authority.	This is a mandatory requirement. There is no applicable criterion.	R19 N/A – The site for the proposed Junior School building does not exceed 3000m2. Civil engineering drawings will be provided at BA stage.
Supporting document: A sediment and erosion control concept plan is prepared in accordance with Environment Protection Authority Environmental Protection Guidelines for Construction and Land Development in the ACT 2011.		
Note: A condition of development approval may be imposed to ensure compliance with this rule.		
5.3 Earthworks	1	
There is no applicable rule.	C20 The extent of earthworks is minimised.	C20 YES – No major earthworks is needed as the site is fairly flat. And no basement levels are to be excavated.
5.4 Tree protection		
R21 This rule applies to a development that has one or	This is a mandatory requirement. There is no applicable criterion.	R21 Several trees are located close to or within the proposed building line. An application for tree damaging activity



Rules	Criteria	Response
more of the following characteristics:  a) requires groundwork within the tree protection zone of a protected tree  b) is likely to cause damage to or removal of any protected trees  The authority shall refer the development application to the Conservator of Flora and Fauna.  Notes:  1. Under the Planning and Development Regulation 2008 a development application for a declared site under the Tree Protection Act 2005, must be referred to the Conservator of Flora and Fauna.  2. The authority will consider any advice from the Conservator of Flora and Fauna before determining the application in accordance with the Planning and Development Act 2007.  3. Protected tree and declared site are defined under the Tree Protection Act 2005.	referred to Tree Protection	has been lodged, with as result that three trees are deemed significant. For this reason the TAMS tree protection unit, could not rule that those trees can be removed. However we are of the opinion that for development reasons there is no other option than removing these three significant trees.  The location and siting of the proposed building is such that there is no viable alternative that would save the trees in question. The building sits hard against the electrical easement to the north. To the west boundary of the building is restrained by water and gas tie points. The other two sides are restrained by the distance to the existing buildings. The ELC to the south will otherwise have increased overshadowing issues. Moving the building towards the east or south, would gain no benefit to any of the trees.  The campus is landlocked and all other available land has been occupied by building and playground space. Leaving this site as the only development site on which to accommodate the growth needs of the school for permanent classroom space for the proposed Junior School.  In recognition of the significance of the trees, that we ask to remove for development reasons, we propose to replace them with established trees on site. A conceptual plan from the landscape architect provide two areas outlining where additional trees will be planted. The location of these trees is such that the likelihood of future demolition due to further developments is minimal.
5.5 Heritage		
R22 In accordance with section 148 of the Planning and Development Act 2007, applications for developments on land or buildings subject to provisional registration or registration under s.41 of the	If advice from the Heritage Council is required however not provided, the application will be referred to the Heritage Council and its advice considered before the determination of the application.	N/A – No heritage listing is in place for any of the buildings or the site.  The development is set far enough back from the neighbouring St.  Ninians church that it will not have an impact on this building physically, or in massing or overshadowing terms.

Heritage Act 2004 are

in massing or overshadowing terms.

Rules	Criteria	Response
accompanied by advice from the Heritage Council stating that the development meets the requirements of the Heritage Act 2004.		In addition there is another church building situated between the proposed Junior School and the heritage item.  C22 N/A
5.6 Contamination		1,47.
R23 This rule applies where an assessment by the proponent in accordance with the ACT Government Strategic Plan — Contaminated Sites Management 1995 and the ACT Environment Protection Policy 2000 identifies contamination within or adjacent to the development area, but does not apply if the Environment Protection Authority has provided written advice that there are no contaminated sites within or adjacent to the development area. Development complies with an environmental site assessment report endorsed by Environment Protection Authority.  Supporting document: Environmental site assessment report endorsed by Environment Protection Authority. Note: A condition of development approval may be imposed to ensure compliance with this rule.	This is a mandatory requirement. There is no applicable criterion	R23 N/A

#### **ELEMENT 6: AMENITY**

Rules	Criteria	Response
6.1 Noise		
R24 This rule applies to any of the following: i. emergency services facility ii. indoor recreation facility iii. outdoor recreation facility	C24 Development types specified in rule R24 do not unreasonably diminish the residential amenity of current or future neighbouring residents.	R24 N/A C24 N/A
Development complies with a noise management plan prepared by a suitably qualified		

Rules	Criteria	Response
person and endorsed by the		
Environment Protection		
Authority.		
The noise management plan will		
detail the proposed design,		
siting and construction methods		
that will be employed to ensure		
compliance with the Noise Zone		
Standard as detailed in the		
EPA's Noise Environment		
Protection Policy, January		
2010, based on the estimated		
noise levels when the facility is		
in use.		
Supporting document: noise		
management plan endorsed by		
the Environment Protection		
Authority. Note: A condition of		
development approval may be		
imposed to ensure compliance		
with the endorsed noise		
management plan.		

#### **ELEMENT 7: SERVICES AND UTILITIES**

Rules	Criteria	Response
7.1 Waste management		
R25 Development complies with the waste facilities and management measures endorsed by Territory and Municipal Services Directorate.	This is a mandatory requirement. There is no applicable criterion.	R25 YES – Waste management measures are part of the whole of campus waste management that is already in place (central hopper collection).
Supporting document: Written confirmation by Territory and Municipal Services Directorate that with the waste facilities and management associated with the development are in accordance with the current version of the Development Control Code for Best Practice Waste Management in the ACT	referred to TAMSD. No negative comments were received	
7.2 Utilities		
R26 A statement of compliance from each relevant utility provider (for water, sewerage, stormwater, electricity and gas) is provided, which confirms that the location and nature of earthworks, utility connections, proposed	If a statement of compliance is not provided the application will be referred to the relevant agency in accordance with the requirements of the Planning and Development Act 2007.  referred to Utility  Service providers.	R26 A dial before you dig has been done, any conflicting information has been taken on by the specialist consultants connected to the project.



SQC ARCHITECTURE

referred to Utility
Service providers.
No negative
comments were
received

16- Statement against relevant criteria, B4, S41, LYNEHAM Page 10 of 29

Rules	Criteria	Response
buildings, pavements and landscape features comply with utility access provisions and asset clearance zones.		C26 Where the required compliance is not provided, please refer to the relevant agencies.
Note: Where there is a conflict between planning and utility requirements, the utility requirements take precedence over other codified or merit provisions.		
7.3 Waste water		
R27 Subject to ActewAGL approval, all under cover areas drain to the sewer.	This is a mandatory requirement. There is no applicable criterion.	R27 YES – Hydraulic resolution will comply with this requirement

#### **ELEMENT 8: DEMOLITION**

Rules	Criteria	Response
8.1 Utilities		
	This is a mandatory requirement. There is no applicable criterion.  referred to Utility Service providers. No negative comments were received	R28 N/A – No demolition required for the construction of the Proposed Junior School building.
may constitute a risk to utility services have been identified c) all required network disconnections have been identified and the disconnection works comply with utility requirements d) all works associated with the demolition comply with and are in accordance with utility		

Rules	Criteria	Response
asset access and		
protection requirements.		
8.2 Hazardous materials		I ===
R29 Demolition of:  a) Multi unit housing     (including garages and     carports) for which a     certificate of occupancy     was issued prior to 1985,     or  b) commercial or industrial     premises for which a     certificate of occupancy     was issued before 2005,	If an endorsed hazardous material survey is required however evidence of an endorsed hazardous materials survey is not provided, the application will be referred to the relevant agency in accordance with the requirements of the Planning and Development Act 2007.	R29 N/A C29 N/A
is undertaken in accordance with hazardous materials survey (including an asbestos survey) endorsed by the Environment Protection Authority.  A hazardous materials survey includes, as a minimum, the identification of a disposal site for hazardous materials, including asbestos, that complies with one (1) of the following:  i. is a licensed disposal facility in the ACT ii. another site outside the ACT.		
If hazardous materials, including asbestos, are to be transported for disposal interstate, approval from the Environment Protection Authority prior to removal of material from the site. An appropriately licensed contractor is engaged for the removal and transport of all hazardous materials (including asbestos) present at the site.		
Note: If an endorsed hazardous materials survey is required but not provided, the application will be referred to the relevant agency in accordance with the requirements of the		

Rules	Criteria	Response
Planning and Development Act 2007.		

#### **ELEMENT 9: SUBDIVISION**

Rules	Criteria	Response
9.1 Subdivision		
R30 Subdivision is only permitted where all of the following are met: a) the subdivision is part of a) development application for another assessable development b) it is demonstrated that any residual block can	This is a mandatory requirement. There is no applicable criterion.	R30 N/A
accommodate another assessable development designed in accordance with the relevant sections of this code.		

#### 2.0 – ACCESS AND MOBILITY GENERAL CODE

Note: Rules and Criteria not relevant/ applicable to this development have been omitted from the tables listed below.

#### Objectives:

- f) Ensure that non-discriminatory and equitable access is provided to all members of the community to buildings, services and facilities
- g) Ensure access is achieved through pedestrian networks, including footpaths, public arcades, underpasses and overpasses
- h) Provide access to all public open spaces including parks, reserves and shopping centres, where topographically possible

#### **ELEMENT 1: Parking**

Rules	Criteria	Response
1.1 Car parking		
j) Designated accessible car parking spaces meet the requirements of AS2890.1 and Parking and Vehicular Access General Code.	C1 Car parking is provided at designated locations to meet the needs of people with disabilities.	R1 YES – Refer parking assessment. Accessible car parking arrangements is as part of the whole of campus parking layout. And complies to the requirements as set out in the relevant standard. C1 YES
R2 Car parking spaces provided for people with disabilities must have vertical clearance for the entire width of the space and the adjacent shared area of not less than 2.5m described in Figure 2.7 of the AS2890.6.	C2 Adequate space is provided to allow a roofmounted wheelchair to be unloaded either front – in or reverse-in position.	R2 YES – No vertical obstructions interfering with vertical clearance requirements C2 YES

#### **ELEMENT 2: Parking**

R3 YES – A continuous accessible path
YES – A continuous accessible path
of travel is provided at all times. All corridor widths allow for circulation as well as congregation. The proposed building will have a lift for access to the second storey. Glass balustrades adjoining walkways will comply to AS1428.1 requirements.  C3 YES – Refer response for R3
d

Rules		Criteria	Response
iv. d p d c p v. V a	AS 4586 – Slip Resistant Classification of New Pedestrian Surface Materials for external aving and ground urfaces; and lesigned so that the clacement of facilities loes not intrude into the continuous accessible ath of travel.  Valkways and glass djacent to walkways to comply with AS1428.1 and AS1428.2	complex unless topographically impossible; and d) to minimise distances travelled between elements of buildings and facilities. e) Walkways are of an appropriate scale and if clear glass is used adjacent to walkways, are identified by appropriate luminance contrast.	
	ghting		
of the of path of AS1686 R5 Externa of the of path of and the	al lighting along the whole continuous accessible travel meets AS1158.3.1 ACT Crime Prevention	This is a mandatory requirement. There is no applicable criterion.  This is a mandatory requirement. There is no applicable criterion.	R4 YES – Internal lighting design will provide compliance with the required lighting levels of all internal areas.  R5 YES – External lighting design will provide compliance with the required lighting levels of all external areas.
and En	vironmental Design I Code.		
	ayfinding		
signage method to be in AS1426 must id access access and all	installed directional e or other wayfinding ds, e.g. tactile indicators, accordance with 8.1 and AS1428.4 and dentify the continuous ible path of travel, ible parts of buildings accessible facilities. to meet AS1428.1 and 8.4.	This is a mandatory requirement. There is no applicable criterion.	R6 YES – All directional signage or other wayfinding methods are to comply with the relevant standards.
There is	s no applicable rule.	C7 For illuminated signs, the luminance of the symbols to be at least 30% in contrast to the background.	C7 YES – All illuminated sign will comply with the required 30% contrast requirements

#### **ELEMENT 3: Entry and doorways**

Rules	Criteria	Response	
3.1 Doorways and doors			
R8		R8	
Doorways and doors are	This is a mandatory requirement. There	All doorways provide a minimum	V
designed to meet	is no applicable criterion.	clear opening of 850mm, unless	

Rules	Criteria	Response
AS 1428.1- Design for Access and Mobility for:  f) pedestrian entrances and exits; g) public circulation areas; and any common use areas.		where requirements for Ambulant WC's require a smaller opening.
There is no applicable rule.	C9 Automatic doors for public entrances should be installed in high use commercial and public buildings.	C9 N/A

#### **ELEMENT 4: Circulation**

Intent: To provide for design elements that are safe and convenient for circulation within and entry to buildings. Stairways, Stairway lifts, Passenger lifts, Ramps, Handrails and Grab rails are provided in accordance with appropriate Australian Standards (refer to Appendix A).

#### Response

All our projects are designed with the full intention to comply with AS1428.1 in general. As we have an Access consultant as an integral part of this project, the utmost care is taken throughout the whole project to provide an accessible and inclusive Junior school building and school campus. Corridor widths, circulation, lifts and stairs have all been designed to comply to AS1428.



#### **ELEMENT 5: Toilets**

Intent: To provide access and use of sanitary facilities. Sanitary facilities and associated signage are designed and provided to meet the purpose of the buildings and appropriate Australian Standards (refer to Appendix A).

#### Response

All our projects are designed with the full intention to comply with AS1428.1 in general. As we have an Access consultant as an integral part of this project, the utmost care is taken throughout the whole project to provide an accessible and inclusive Junior school building and school campus. A unisex designated accessible toilet is provided on each level (one with shower). Ambulant cubicles are provided in each bank of toilets.

#### **ELEMENT 6: Facilities**

Intent: To provide access to other appropriate facilities such as street furniture and ATM. Street furniture (seating, drinking fountains, litterbins and the like) and ATM facilities are designed and provided in accordance with appropriate Australian Standards (refer to Appendix A).

#### Response

All our projects are designed with the full intention to comply with AS1428.1 in general. As we have an Access consultant as an integral part of this project, the utmost care is taken throughout the whole project to provide an accessible and inclusive Junior school building and school campus.

There are no supplementary street furniture items included in the scope of this project.

#### 3.0 - BICYCLE PARKING GENERAL CODE

### TABLE 2 – QUANTITY AND TYPE OF BICYCLE PARKING REQUIRED EDUCATION ESTABLISHMENT – PRIMARY SCHOOL

	Requirement	Response
Employees and students		
Bicycle parking spaces required	1 per 15 students	360 Students 360 / 15 = 24 bicycle parking spaces required.
Class	1, 2	
Visitors and guest		
Bicycle parking spaces required	1 per 200 students after the first 200 students	360 Students 360 - 200 = 160 / 200 ≈ 1 bicycle parking spaces
Class	1, 2, 3	
Allowances that apply for th	is land use	
	Section 3.4: Pre-existing bicycle parking	Pre-existing bicycle parking available on site: Class 1: none Class 2:  + 1x sea container allowing for 13 bicycles.  + 1x full enclosed compound allowing for 20 bicycles Class 3:  + 30x bicycle rails between the junior and middle school toilet block and D-block  + 6x bicycle rails as part of the new development  + Further informal bicycle storage is available on the campus aplenty

#### TABLE 3 – TYPES OF BICYCLE PARKING FACILITIES

Туре	Description	Physical security	Long/ short stay	Class
Bicycle locker	Fully enclosed individual lockers	High	Long	1
Bicycle enclosure	Locked cages or compounds containing bicycle rail. Communal access using duplicated keys or electronic swipe cards.	Medium	Long	2
Bicycle rail	Installations such as metal hoops and rails which support the bicycle and to which the bicycle frame and both wheels can be locked	Low	Short	3







Supervised	High capacity facilities with constant security	High	Both	All
parking	supervision, typically available to the public.			
station				



#### **5.1 SHOWERS AND CLOTHING LOCKERS**

The proposed Junior school, allows for one (1) unisex accessible WC with shower. Across the campus similar locations can be found. The total provision of these areas provide a surplus of showers required. Each student and staff member will have a secure area for the storage of valuables.

#### 4.0 - COMMUNITY AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES LOCATION **GUIDELINES GENERAL CODE**

Note: Rules and Criteria not relevant/ applicable to this development have been omitted from the tables listed below.

#### **ELEMENT: EDUCATION - PRIMARY SCHOOL**

#### Objectives:

The objectives of the code are:

- 1. To protect and enhance social amenity for all ACT resident and visitors. Social amenity includes access to services, safety and equity in the distribution of facilities.
- 2. To maximise efficient land use, accessibility and convenience for uses through clustering related facilities and encouraging shard use.
- 3. To protect and enhance residential amenity for ACT residents whilst meeting the need to provide access to facilities best located in the residential area.

#### General location guidelines:

Item	Response	
Public transport routes	Action bus network comes through Brigalow Street, with a bus stop right outside the Brindabella Christian College campus. Approximate walking distance from the Proposed Junior school building is 130m. This distance seems to be practical for all students attending the building. Due to the age of the student group for the Junior school, assumption can be made that main mode of transport will be by drop off from parents.	
Parks	The Brindabella Christian College campus provide a collection of green spaces for outdoor recreation within the campus and by utilizing neighbouring ovals and parks.	
Retail facilities	Closeness to retail facilities is not an important factor to the student population of the proposed Junior school. As they won't be allowed to leave the campus during school hours. However the Lyneham Shops is found within approximately 350m walking distance from the Brindabella Christian College campus.	V
Co-location/ mixed use opportunities	The proposed Junior school building combines spaces that include formal learning, outdoor learning, wet area learning and communal gathering that allows all students to interact with each other. But also provides spaces that can be used for afterhours activity, or for gathering of other student groups.	7
Separation	Noise separation is not an issue for the proposed Junior school building as it is located within the grounds of the Brindabella Christian College campus. Noise generated is expected to be not more or different than any expected on a school campus.  Social separation is not an issue either, as the proposed Junior school building is part of the larger Campus and the social interactions are warranted as part of the whole of school community.	<b>-</b>
Access and mobility	All our projects are designed with the full intention to comply with AS1428.1 in general. As we have an Access consultant as an integral part of this project, the utmost care is taken throughout the whole project to provide an accessible and inclusive Junior school building and school campus.	~
Parking	Refer parking assessment. Accessible car parking arrangements is as part of the whole of campus parking layout. And complies to the requirements as set out in the relevant standard.	















Item	Response
Pedestrian access	Pedestrian access to the Brindabella Christian College campus is as part of the existing pedestrian walkway layout. Access to services is warranted as well as safe and proper access.
Lighting/ surveillance	External lighting and surveillance of the Brindabella Christian College campus provides for safe afterhours access. Screening by landscape elements along the accessible path is minimized where possible.  External lighting relating to the proposed Junior school building will be part of the design, and will be to requirements of relevant standards.
Design and siting in residential	Not applicable as the proposed Junior school building is sited as part of a
areas	Community Facilities zone (CF).







#### Detailed locations guidelines for Primary School:

Item	Response
Relationship to shops	Approximately 350m
400m from retail centre where	
possible	
Relationship to other uses – Close to Adjoining/ adjacent to playing fields/ ovals essential, and on cycleway; central to long-term catchment; located on distributor or local road or close to a collector road; within safe pedestrian access to dwellings in catchment.	Outdoor playing areas, parks and ovals are either found within the campus, or close by at neighbouring parks and ovals.  Connection to public transport, pedestrian walkways, cycle way's are all within close vicinity of the Brindabella Christian College campus.  Located on a local road, providing ease of access to the school by car, drop off, bicycle and pedestrian walkways.
Separated from Noise separation from special care hostels; Social separation from clubs and other licensed premises; Separated from safety hazards (e.g. roads with high traffic volumes, stormwater channels) No direct access of arterial roads and other roads with high traffic volumes; Social separation from major shopping areas and amusement centres; Careful consideration should be given to relationship between school and residential streets to avoid disturbing residents, and to ensure safety of children.	The Brindabella Christian College campus although located within the inner north, separation from noise emitting establishments like clubs, and other licensed premises is far enough to be of no issue. No major shopping areas and amusement centres are located close by.  Separation from safety hazards is ensured by fencing around the whole of the campus. No accidental run on the road should happen as access to and from the campus is expected to be supervised at all times. There is no direct access to arterial roads and other roads with high traffic volumes.  Only one of the boundaries is located along a road with residential blocks to the other side of the road. Strategies to ensure safety for children have been in place for as long as the Brindabella Christian College has been in this location. These strategies will stay in place, and is assessed regularly in order to ensure the safety of students at all time.
Co-location opportunity	As part of the Brindabella Christian College, other buildings for different age
Other community facilities;	groups are located within the campus. These buildings provide educational
Child care centre'	services from Early Learning Centre, to senior school.
Preschool;	
Church for non-government	
church school	₩
Other issues	The proposed Junior school building is an integral part of the Brindabella
Northerly aspect immediately	Christian College campus. It provides an engaging façade to the campus,
adjoining oval or playing fields	allowing students to enter and congregate in an open, secure and inspiring



Item	Response
Substantial, uninhibited street frontage on three boundaries where possible	manner. The provision of natural light into communal and educational spaces is of the highest importance to provide this.  The street frontage an attractive and articulated façade, introducing the school. and the building as a representative, to the community and passers-by.
Streets must be suitable for bus laybys and pick up and set down areas	

## 5.0 - CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL GENERAL CODE

Note: Rules and Criteria not relevant/ applicable to this development have been omitted from the tables listed below.

#### **PART A – GENERAL REQUIREMENTS**

#### **ELEMENT 1: NEIGHBOURHOOD DESIGN**

Rules	Criteria	Response		
1.1 Neighbourhood design				
There is no rule applicable.	C1 Design is in accordance with the recommendations of a crime risk assessment as outlined in the ACT Crime Prevention and Urban Design Resource Manual.	C1 N/A – Design is part of the whole of campus layout, and does not have any changing impact on the neighbourhood.		

#### **ELEMENT 2: USE**

Rules	Criteria	Response		
2.1 General code				
There is no rule applicable.	C2 The development described in Table 1 meet the Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design General Code.	C2 YES		

#### **ELEMENT 3: PUBLIC REALM**

Rules	Criteria	Response		
3.1 Open space and community (shared) areas				
There is no rule applicable.	C3 Natural surveillance of open space and community areas is provided by:  a) locating to adjacent activity centres; b) encouraging pedestrian (or cyclist) movement through the space; c) ensuring clear site lines from, and between, buildings and open space areas: community areas; and d) designing out any entrapment spaces.	YES - The teacher's rooms on both floors are located in the building, in order to maximise supervision opportunities.  All outdoor playing area's are directed to inwards, so that they connect to the whole of campus. This will provide ample opportunity of peer interaction and supervision.		
There is no rule applicable.	C4 Natural access is considered, providing clear entry and exit points and a legible, accessible route through the space.	C4 YES – Access to the building can be from two sides of the building. Both access areas are clearly legible by material, lighting design and landscape design features.		

Rules	Criteria	Response
There is no rule applicable.	C5 Planting in public spaces do not obscure views along paths and streets, or to entrances and should not create secluded, hiding areas.	C5 YES
There is no rule applicable.	C6 Selection of plant material are sturdy and in areas of high crime, to make it difficult to snap main growing stems, heavy standard (140-160mm girth) or semi-mature trees (200-270mm girth) should be used to increase their chance of survival.	C6 YES
There is no rule applicable.	C7 Plant material, such as creepers or low hedges may be used to deter to access and limit the opportunity for graffiti on fences and walls.	C7 YES Perimeter fencing is installed around the whole campus and would provide deterrent for graffiti opportunities.
There is no rule applicable.	C8 Hard landscape features such as low walls, bollards are used to delineate movement areas from semi-private areas.	C8 YES
3.2 Children's play area		
There is no rule applicable.	C9 Children's play areas are located and designed to comply with each of the following:  a) there is natural surveillance from adjoining areas b) adjacent areas are used by compatible groups c) there are multiple entry/exits	YES
3.3 Lighting		
There is no rule applicable.	C10 Provide a schedule of lighting showing that lighting complies with each of the following:  a) Australian Standard AS1158 Lighting for Roads and Public Spaces Part 3.1: Pedestrian Area (Category P) Lighting — Performance and Design Requirements b) Australian Standard AS1158: Lighting for Roads and Public Spaces Part 2: Computer Procedures for the Calculations of Light Technical Parameters for Category V and Category P Lighting c) Australian Standard AS4282: The Control of Obtrusive Effects of Outdoor Lighting, in the case of security lighting	YES – Electrical engineers have been engaged to design the lighting to these referenced standards as the project develops.



Rules	Criteria	Response
There is no rule applicable.	C11 Legitimate users and activities at night are encouraged by lighting:  a) spaces evenly and consistently (except where accent/feature lighting is necessary)  b) inset spaces, entries/exits and paths  c) to reduce the casting of shadows that could hide intruders  d) directional signage  e) building entries  f) exterior to interior spaces evenly to allow for surveillance	C11 YES
There is no rule applicable.	C12 Areas that are not intended for night time are not lit and are closed off to pedestrians.	C12 N/A
There is no rule applicable.	C13  Damage to light fixtures are minimised by selecting vandal-resistant, high mounted light fixtures. All light bulbs are protected with a suitable lantern bowl.	C13 YES
3.4 Signs		
R14 A statement is provided that all directional signage will comply with the requirements of AS1742.10 (1991) Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices  — Pedestrian Control and Protection	This is a mandatory requirement. There is no applicable criterion.	R14 N/A – No traffic directional signage included in the project.
There is no rule applicable	C15 Locate signs so that they comply with each of the following:  a) they are clearly visible from a distance at all times  b) they are not likely to be obscured by growing vegetation  c) they are strategically placed at entrances and near activity centres including bus stops, taxi rank and public facilities	C15 N/A - No traffic directional signage included in the project.
There is no rule applicable	C16 Provide legible signs for all users:  a) specify signs of high contrast, with light lettering on dark backgrounds with nonreflective surfaces;  b) signs should be developed as a system with a consistent pattern, based on a hierarchy of most important messages.	C16 N/A

## **ELEMENT 4: BUILT FORM**

Rules	Criteria	Response		
4.1 Interface between buildings and public realm				
There is no rule applicable.	C17 Building entrances are easily identified, providing easy access to all users, affording visibility to and from the street and minimising the potential for hiding spots.	C17 YES – The entrances to the proposed Junior school building are easily identified and access is compliant to the AS1428.1. Hiding spots are not available as both entrances are fully transparent.		
There is no rule applicable.	C18 Provide clear sightlines from the building foyer so that occupants can see the nearest pedestrian area/car park before leaving the building.	C18  N/A – The proposed building is part of the Brindabella Christian College campus, car parking is found in a separate area to the campus.		
There is no rule applicable.	C19 Recessed sections in the building elevation/façade are detailed and located so as that there is opportunity for natural surveillance, for spill lighting and the potential for hiding is minimised.	YES		
There is no rule applicable.	C20 Buildings are detailed in a manner that deters scaling (climbing) the building to access balconies from the ground and/or access between individual balconies.	C20 YES		
There is no rule applicable.	C21 Where buildings are set back from the street and/or pedestrian path, the area is developed to minimise hiding and entrapment spots.	C21 YES – Landscape design will ensure minimal hiding and entrapment spots. Considering also that the boundary is fenced.		
4.2 Materials and finish				
There is no rule applicable.	C22 Building materials and finishes are of an appropriate quality and detailed in a manner to:  a) reduce opportunities for graffiti and vandalism  b) facilitate cleaning and replacement  c) avoid facilitating illegal access to the building and to services	C22 YES		

### **ELEMENT 6: TRAVEL AND ACCESS**

Rules	Criteria	Response			
6.1 Pedestrian routes, bicyc	6.1 Pedestrian routes, bicycle paths and lanes				
There is no rule applicable.	C23 Pedestrian Routes, Bicycle Paths and Lanes are designed to maximise opportunities for natural surveillance by:	C23 YES – The whole of campus landscape design takes all requirements into consideration. And			

Rules	Criteria	Response
	a) maintaining sightlines along paths     between destination points     b) allowing overlooking from     adjacent areas	provides a holistic approach to access and security.
There is no rule applicable.	Provide direct access routes to buildings streets, car parks and public transport. Signs should be used to assist pedestrians where it is not possible to establish clear sightlines between destinations.	C24 YES – The whole of campus landscape design takes all requirements into consideration. And provides a holistic approach to access and security.
There is no rule applicable.	C25 Security of pedestrian routes, bicycle paths and lanes are provided by:  a) selecting and lighting 'safe routes' to the standard required for pedestrian areas so that these become the focus of legitimate movement after dark;  b) ensuring that laneways have more than one entrance to avoid "dead-ends" and entrapment spots, where possible.	YES – The whole of campus landscape design takes all requirements into consideration. And provides a holistic approach to access and security.
There is no rule applicable.	C26 When planting adjacent to pedestrian /bicycle routes: a) ensuring there are open sightlines. Low planting (maximum height 600mm) and high-branching trees (two metres) should be used; b) avoiding tall bushes, dense shrubbery and dense clusters of trees, especially immediately adjacent to routes and at predictable stopping points such as road crossings.	YES – The whole of campus landscape design takes all requirements into consideration. And provides a holistic approach to access and security.  NOTE: There are no bicycle paths included as part of this project.
R27 A Statement is provided that pedestrian paths are designed in accordance with AUSTROADS Guide to Traffic Engineering Practice Part 13. – Pedestrians	This is a mandatory requirement. There is no applicable criterion.	R27 N/A – All pedestrian paths are internal to the campus and therefore do not have to comply to this standard.
R28 A Statement is provided that Bicycle Paths are designed in accordance with AUSTROADS Guide to Traffic Engineering Practice Part 14. – Bicycles.	This is a mandatory requirement. There is no applicable criterion.	R28 N/A – There are no bicycle paths included as part of this project.
6.2 Pedestrian underpasses		1,000
There is no rule applicable.	C29 The use of pedestrian underpasses is to be avoided. Where there is no practical or feasible alternative underpasses are designed:	C29 N/A

Rules	Criteria	Response
	a) wide enough to accommodate both pedestrian and cycle traffic     b) straight and without recesses     c) with mirrors so pedestrians can see around corners if there is a turn of 60 degrees or more     d) with entrances and exits that are visible from shops, homes or other areas of frequent pedestrian traffic     e) to ensure there is no screening of entries/exits     f) with signs at each end indicating where it leads and an alternative route to use at night  C30	C30
There is no rule applicable.	Overpasses are designed to reduce opportunities to throw missiles at cars or pedestrians.	N/A
6.3 Bus interchange, bus sto		
There is no rule applicable.	C31 Locate bus stops and taxi ranks so that:  a) natural surveillance is possible b) there are no walls, landscaping, fences or other structures which block sightlines to bus stops and taxi ranks c) they are not located adjacent to vacant land, alleys, car parks or near possible entrapment spots d) there are short, safe routes to bus stops and taxi ranks from night- time venues such as cinemas, theatres etc.	C31 N/A
There is no rule applicable.	Major bus stops and taxi ranks are well lit and protected from the weather, or adjacent to areas which are well lit or that provide protection from the weather.	C32 N/A
There is no rule applicable.	C33 Directional signage makes it easy to find bus stops or taxi ranks, and provides up-to-date passenger information.	C33 N/A
There is no rule applicable.	C34 Interchanges are located on the same level as significant activity generators to avoid entrapment, increase natural surveillance and provide direct routes of access.	C34 N/A

## **ELEMENT 7: SERVICES**

Rules	Criteria	Response	
7.1 Automatic teller mach	ines (ATMs)		
There is no rule applicable.	C35 Approaches and entrances to ATMs are highly visible and adequately lit so that people cannot loiter, or enter, without being seen.	C35 N/A	
There is no rule applicable.	C36 Locations near licensed premises, and bus stops should be avoided to discourage loitering by potential offenders.	C36 N/A	
There is no rule applicable.	C37 Where ATMs are enclosed in a vestibule or similar, the vestibule should be securely glazed, adequately and secure from non-legitimate users	C37 N/A	
There is no rule applicable.	Use bollards, or other landscaping, to restrict the potential for vehicle incursions.	C38 N/A	
7.2 Local waste storage fa	ncilities		
There is no rule applicable.	C39 Screening does not provide entrapment or hiding spots and safe access and adequate lighting is provided near the waste storage areas.	C39 N/A	
7.3 Local utility facilities	wasto storage arous.		
There is no rule applicable.	C40 Air conditioning plants, meter boxes and other service points are mounted within a secure building / enclosure for protection.	C40 YES	
7.4 Delivery and storage fa			
There is no rule applicable.	C41 Ensure that: a) Delivery and storage areas are not isolated from the main building b) Secure storage areas are provided for shop owners	C41 N/A	
7.5 Public toilets	100	Lava	
There is no rule applicable.	C42 Ensure that:  a) Public toilets are located in obvious locations, but not in isolated areas of activity centres b) Entrances are highly visible so that people cannot loiter or enter without being seen, particularly for toilets close to Children's' playgrounds c) Public seating and telephones are located away from public toilets	C42 YES – The whole of campus landscape design takes all requirements into consideration. And provides a holistic approach to the availability of public toilets.	

Rules	Criteria	Response		
	to avoid opportunities for loitering.			
7.6 Public telephones				
There is no rule applicable.	C43 Public telephones are located in obvious locations, are well lit and well signposted, eg near bus stops or taxi ranks.	C43 N/A		



# Notice of decision

Under Part 7 of the Planning and Development Act 2007

## Merit track

DA NO: 2016296	NO: 201629628 DATE LODGED: 21/06/2016	
DATE OF DECIS	ION: 02/09/2016	
BLOCK: 4	SECTION: 41	SUBURB: LYNEHAM
STREET NO AND	NAME: 136 Brigalow S	treet Lyneham
APPLICANT: SC	C Architecture	
LESSEE: Brinda	bella Christian Educat	ion Limited

#### THE DECISION

This application was lodged in the merit track. Pursuant to section 113 of the *Planning and Development Act 2007*, the application must be assessed according to the provisions relevant to merit track applications.

- I, George Cilliers, delegate of the planning and land authority, pursuant to section 162 of the Act, hereby **approve subject to conditions** the proposal for:
  - the construction of a school building comprising 15 classrooms and an assembly area;
  - removal of regulated Trees 75, 78 and 81; and
  - associated works;

in accordance with the plans, drawings and other documents and items submitted with the application.

This decision is subject to the conditions of approval at PART 1 being satisfied.

PART 2 sets out the Reasons for the Decision

PART 3 is Public Notification and Entity Advice.

PART 4 contains administrative information relating to the determination.

#### **DELEGATE**

George Cilliers

Delegate of the planning and land authority Environment and Planning Directorate 02/09/2016

#### **CONTACT OFFICER**

Mr Ajith Buddhadasa Phone: 02 62051550

Email: ajith.buddhadasa@act.gov.au

# PART 1 CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

This application is approved subject to the following conditions being satisfied. Some conditions of approval will require attention before the approved drawings will be released, others before work commences or before the completion of building work.

#### A. ADMINISTRATIVE / PROCESS CONDITIONS

#### A1. OCCUPANCY AND USE

The building approved in this decision must not be used until existing Building-D and two demountable type classrooms are disconnected permanently from all utility services to them. Evidence of disconnection of utility services must be provided to the planning and land authority prior to the issuance of Certificate of Occupancy and Use for the new building.

#### A2. FURTHER INFORMATION

Within 28 days from the date of this decision, or within such further time as may be approved in writing by the planning and land authority, the applicant must lodge with the planning and land authority for approval a full set of all the drawings addressing minor inconsistencies between the drawings i.e. inconsistent notations, identification of existing buildings etc. These drawings include, but are not limited to the Survey Plan and drawings by Sellick Consultants.

#### B. PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION AND/OR DEMOLITION

#### B1. SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL

That prior to any work on the site commencing, the applicant/lessee must submit two copies of the sediment and erosion control plan to Environment Protection Authority for approval.

#### B2. TREE PROTECTION

Tree protection fencing, if required, must be erected prior to the commencement of any work on the site.

#### B3. VERGE MANAGEMENT

A verge management plan must be approved by the Asset Acceptance- Transport Canberra and City Services Directorate (TCCS) and implemented before the commencement of any work on the site, and is to be in accordance with the City Management Guidelines for the Protection of Public Landscape Assets Adjacent to Development Works-REF-04.

#### **B4. TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT**

A Temporary Traffic Management (TTM) Plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified person and approved by the Manager, Traffic Management & Safety, Roads ACT, Roads and Public Transport Division, Territory and Municipal Services Directorate (TAMSD) prior to commencement of any work on the site. This plan is to address, as a minimum, measures to be employed during construction to manage all traffic, including construction traffic, in and around the site, provision of safe pedestrian movement around the site, the provision of parking for construction workers, and associated traffic control devices.

#### B5. COMPLIANCE WITH ENTITY REQUIREMENTS

The development must comply with all the relevant conditions imposed by each of the relevant entities which states in each of their advice that need to be addressed prior to construction commencing (Refer Part 3 of this decision).

#### C. DURING CONSTRUCTION AND/OR DEMOLITION

#### C1. <u>SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL</u>

All unsurfaced entry and exit points must be consolidated with crushed aggregate or similar extending from the road kerb to the building line.

Temporary sediment controls – comprising, as a minimum, geotextile silt fencing along the lowest points of the site and hay bale filters as required – are to be installed and maintained at least daily to prevent sediment from reaching the stormwater mains system.

#### C2. TREE PROTECTION

The applicant/lessee shall protect and maintain all existing trees and shrubs located on the subject site, on adjoining blocks overhanging the subject site, on the verge and unleased Territory land immediately adjacent, except for those specifically identified for removal in the approved drawings and a Tree Management Plan. Tree protection measures should be implemented if equipment or materials are to be stored where there are regulated trees on site.

#### C3. VERGE MANAGEMENT

During any work undertaken on the site, all existing vegetation (trees, shrubs and grass) located on the verge and unleased Territory land immediately adjacent to the development shall be managed, protected and maintained in accordance with a Landscape Management Plan approved by the Manager, Asset Acceptance, Operational Support, Directorate Services, TCCS.

#### C4. TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT

At all times, the site and surrounds shall be managed in accordance with the approved Temporary Traffic Management (TTM) Plan.

#### C5. WASTE MANAGEMENT

All building waste is to be stored on the site in suitable receptacles and collected regularly. The lessee is to take all reasonable steps to ensure that waste, particularly wind borne litter, does not affect adjoining or adjacent properties.

#### C6. COMPLIANCE WITH ENTITY REQUIREMENTS

The development must comply with all the relevant conditions imposed by each of the relevant entities which states in each of their advice that need to be addressed during the construction (Refer Part 3 of this decision).

#### C7. EDUCATION AND TRAINING DIRECTORATE (ETD)

The issues raised by the ETD in relation to construction traffic, timing, parking, safety and pollution must be addressed to the satisfaction of ETD.

#### D. POST CONSTRUCTION AND/OR DEMOLITION

D1. DEMOLITION OF BUILDING-D AND REMOVAL OF DEMOUNTABLE CLASSROOMS
Existing Building-D must be demolished and the two demountable classrooms must be removed within six months from issuing of the Certificate of Occupancy and Use for the new building.

#### E. ADVISORY NOTES

This application is approved with the following advisory notes. It is recommended that careful consideration be given to advisory notes prior to commencing work.

#### E1. ENTITY ADVICE

The applicant is advised to carefully consider all the relevant advice (in addition to the conditions imposed) from each of the entities stated in Part 3 of this decision throughout the process of development (prior to, during & post construction) as applicable.

Refer to Appendix 1 for information about approvals that may be required for construction.

# PART 2 REASONS FOR THE DECISION

The application satisfactorily meets the requirements for approval. The application was approved because, based on the documentation and in the form modified by the imposed conditions, it was considered to meet the relevant codes, including the Lyneham Precinct Map and Code and the Community Facility Zone Development Code

The key issues identified in the assessment are the comments received from entities, including the advice received from the Conservator of Flora and Fauna (the Conservator) for the proposed removal of regulated Trees 75, 78 & 81; and the representations received in relation to the proposal.

Conditions have been imposed to address the key issues raised by the entities and ensure that the proposal is consistent with the Territory Plan and the *Planning and Development Act 2007*.

The representors, amongst other matters, raised concerns that the school may not demolish existing Building-D and remove the two demountable type classrooms following the completion of the new school building, and that these buildings may still be used as classrooms and may increase the student numbers resulting in a greater demand on available parking, and increased traffic, particularly on Brigalow Street.

The proponent claims that the proposed development will accommodate existing students from Building-D and two demountables, therefore these buildings will be demolished once the proposed building is completed. Therefore there will not be any additional traffic impacts or parking requirements.

The above issue was referred to and considered by the Major Projects Review Group's (MPRG) within the planning and land authority. The MPRG noted that the traffic and

parking studies provided with the development application (DA) have considered traffic impacts and parking requirements with the retainment of Building D and demountable classrooms. However, the MPRG advised that the proposed bicycle parking provision would not be adequate, and there may be negative traffic impacts on Brigalow Street if Building-D and the demountable classrooms remain operational. Therefore, the MPRG formed the view that prior to the use of the proposed new building, Building-D and the demountable classrooms must be decommissioned by permanently disconnecting them from all the utility services. The MPRG furthermore suggested that the transfer of students from existing buildings to the new building can take place during school holidays without affecting the students' educational activities. Accordingly, the MPRG advised that the proposal can be approved with appropriate conditions to effect the above (see Conditions A1 and D1). A six month timeframe was included for this purpose to demolition and removal of buildings to occur over two school holiday breaks.

Other representations received in relation to the application have been addressed where deemed necessary under the **PUBLIC NOTIFICATION** section of this decision.

The MPRG noted the Conservator of Flora and Fauna's advice with regard to regulated tree numbers 75, 78 & 81 which are of medium and medium to high quality (see ENTITY ADVICE). The MPRG took into account that the tree species in concern tend to drop their limbs/branches unexpectedly was therefore not suitable within an environment used frequently by children/students. The MPRG furthermore noted the proponents' claim that it is not practical to design a building around these trees whilst retaining the trees and, if it was possible, that such a building would be unable to provide functional efficiency.

It was considered that the development site was constrained and limited in terms of development opportunities, and that the proposed development will still retain other regulated trees. There is no other reasonable place within the development site to construct a building which will be able to provide all facilities within one building.

Following deliberation, the MPRG formed the view that there are no other reasonable alternatives to the proposal, and that the removal of concerned regulated trees can be supported pursuant to Section 119(2) of the *Planning and Development Act 2007*.

The decision is inconsistent with advice received from the Conservator of Flora and Fauna. However, pursuant to section 119 (2) of the *Planning and Development Act 2007*, the advice received has been considered, as well as any relevant guidelines and any realistic alternative to the development proposed or aspects of it. A decision to approve this application is consistent with the objectives of the Territory Plan.

#### **EVIDENCE**

Application No. 201629628
The Territory Plan zone – Community Facility Zone
The Development Codes – Community Facility Zone Development Code
The Precinct Codes – Lyneham Precinct Map and Code
Current Crown Lease – Volume 86 Folio 56
Representations
Entity advice

# PART 3 PUBLIC NOTIFICATION AND ENTITY ADVICE

#### **PUBLIC NOTIFICATION**

Pursuant to Division 7.3.4 of the Act, the application was publicly notified from 27/06/2016 to 18/07/2016. Twenty one written representations were received during public notification.

The main issues raised were as follows. Comments are provided as appropriate.

(a) Survey does not recognise the Margaret Sargent Building as a partially double storey building

Response: Survey plan information is typically used to identify the location of buildings and other features on a block in relation to each other. The heights of the Margaret Sargent Building are not identified on the Survey Plan. The assessing officer familiarised himself with the heights of the existing buildings on the block.

(b) Survey does not recognise demountable building on the eastern boundary

Response: The applicant provided adequate information (by means of a revised site plan) showing the demountable classrooms.

(c) The DA does not provide a clear understanding of Brindabella Christian College future expansions, and associated future transport needs

Response: The current application is for construction of a new building as shown on the plans submitted with the application. A proponent is not required under the Territory Plan to provide its future development intentions with a development application. Any further future development proposal will be assessed on its merit when a development application for such works are lodged with the planning and land authority.

(d) Availability of the Parking report

Response: A Traffic and Parking report was submitted by the applicant. The report was supported by the Transport Canberra and City Services (TCCS) and EPD's Major Projects and Transport Section (MPAT).

(e) No verge management appears in the DA

Response: The applicant will be required to submit a Verge Management Plan to TCCS as a part of Design Acceptance process prior to commencement of the development. The current proposal does not propose any works on the verge.

(f) The services drawings by Sellick Consultants refer to this development as a Sports Hall development

Response: This error appears to be a typological error and it did not affect the assessment of the proposal. A condition of approval is included in this decision to address the issue (Condition A2).

(g) The plan to move from double to triple streaming will result in student numbers around 150% of 2015 figures. It is not clear if the demountable classrooms are staying or going

Response: The applicant claims that the student numbers will not increase due to the current proposal as existing Building-D and demountables are to be demolished or removed with the completion of the new buildings. Conditions of approval are included in this decision to address this concern. (Conditions A1 & D1).

(h) The DA document covering WSUD uses an incorrect definition of site

Response: The WSUD report prepared by the consultants and submitted with the DA adequately address the requirements.

(i) No demolition plan is provided

Response: The applicant provided a revised site plan showing buildings to be demolished.

(j) The only entrance and exit for the building seem to be at the eastern end of the south wall, near the staff room. Both levels of the building are to be entranced and exited at this point. This seems to be hopelessly inadequate for normal movement of 360 students on a daily basis

Response: Access and egress paths are considered as part of the building approval stage.

(k) Siting of the proposed building is on top of the present sports area, which is used regularly during the day for sports activities and extensively during student breaks from classes. During and at completion of the construction this space will no longer be available. Where are students to go? The proposed building will replace 1.5 netballs courts of play area for the school, on a site which is already largely developed. Where are these courts being replaces, as this is not shown in this proposal?

Response: This is not a matter which can be considered under the Territory Plan. The proposal was referred to Education and Training Directorate. The Education and Training Directorate did not raised any related issues.

(I) Height of the proposed building

Response: The two storey height of the proposed building is consistent with the height requirements of the Community Facility Zone Development Code (CFZDC).

(m) The signage as shown on the image on the cover sheet is not included in the DA

Response: Signage has not been applied for as part of this DA.

(n) This proposal requires the removal of a number of significant trees which are integral to and compliment the urban streetscape along Brigalow Street. No replacement landscaping is proposed

Response: The development proposed to remove three regulated trees which was supported by the MPRG (refer to **REASONS FOR THE DECISION**)

(o) It is clear that the proponent has additional development and demolition plans so the presence of other viable locations on site seems likely and has not been fully explored by this DA

Response: The DA was assessed only for the subject proposal. There is no requirement to consider other possible options when assessing a proposal.

(p) Concerned that the metal screening applied to the façade will give an appearance of an industrial looking blank wall and that the design on it will be clear enough that it amounts to a very large sign

Response: There are no provisions in the applicable development codes to control aesthetic appearance of a building. The treatment of the external facades of the proposed building is not inconsistent with the requirements of the applicable development codes.

(q) Boundary setbacks

Response: The proposal is not inconsistent with the boundary setbacks stipulated in the CFZDC.

(r) Streetscape character

Response: The proposed two-storey building is not considered beyond that which would normally be expected for standard development allowable under the Code in Community Facility Zone. The development, whilst creating some impact, is not considered to be so detrimental to the street or surrounding development as to warrant refusal or redesign of the proposal

(s) Density

Response: There is no applicable plot ratio for the subject block. Site density of the block will be determined by controls in the development codes such as boundary setbacks, height, building envelope, interface and location of regulated trees etc. The proposal is not inconsistent with these requirements.

(t) The proposed building is designed to accommodate a future major increase in enrolment. Student numbers at local schools are presently at peak demographically

Response: These matters cannot be considered under the Territory Plan. However, the applicant states that there will not be any increase to the existing student numbers (refer to response at above item-g).

(u) Clearance around substation

Response: Actew Electrical Division supported the proposal with conditions (see ENTITY ADVICE).

(v) Proposed landscape designs

Response: Proposed landscape design is not inconsistent with the Code requirements.

(w) Increased traffic/traffic noise and adequacy of parking

Response: The proposal was referred to TCCS and MPAT and both TCCS and MPAT supported the proposal with or without conditions.

(x) The pedestrian crossing that is currently located near Brindabella Christian College is not well marked, the lighting in the evening on this crossing is also inadequate

Response: The proposal was supported by TCCS. Any related issues may be brought to the attention of TCCS by telephone 132281 for investigation.

(y) Like to see more use of bus transport for the Lyneham Brindabella Christian College campus; Frequently students of the local schools are dropped off at St. Ninian's and adjacent streets; Brindabella Christian College should run in house parent education on traffic behaviour, as it is reflecting badly on the school and causes upset and grievances in the neighbourhood

Response: The above matters cannot be addressed through the development application process. The issues may be brought to the attention of Brindabella School for a response.

(z) The school is using community land for parking purposes

Response: The school is using un-leased Territory land for car parking under a licence agreement between the Government and the Brindabella Christian College.

(aa) The current car parking is not well maintained

Response: The issue cannot be addressed through this DA process. The issue may be brought to the attention of the Chief Minister Treasury and Economic Development Directorate for a response if future action is required.

(bb) Unauthorised or illegal car parking

Response: The issue cannot be addressed through the DA process. If it arises, may be brought to the attention of Justice and Community Safety Directorate/TCCS (for illegal car parking) or to the owner of a particular car park (for unauthorised car parking) for investigation.

(cc) The school should be required to provide underground parking on their own land; There is plenty of space on their grounds, surely they can construct a larger car park and drop off area; What is the Brindabella Christian College strategy to resolve limited parking issue? I recommend to put up 'Pay parking meters' to ensure appropriate control of supply & demand of car parking spaces and funding for maintenance; Current reshaping work on the carpark to improve drainage is unlikely to rectify this situation; The proponent had years to seal and landscape the carpark. This DA does not include plans to improve this;

Response: These are not matters which can be considered though this development application process. The suggestions may be brought to the attention of the school for consideration.

(dd) The DA does not provide the required motorcycle or disabled parking spaces as required by the parking assessment, in fact no parking plan is provided

Response: Parking report provided with the DA is supported by MPAT. The applicant provided a revised site plan showing above parking requirements as required by the relevant General Codes.

(ee) Construction parking, construction site access, construction plant and traffic control, timing, noise, access to neighbouring properties

Response: All the construction work must be carried out in accordance with the relevant accepted standards within the ACT. The proposal was referred to ETD, EPA and TCCS and these entities have supported the proposal with conditions. Any related issues may be brought to the relevant entity as applicable.

#### **ENTITY ADVICE**

Pursuant to Division 7.3.3 of the Act, the application was referred to entities and advice was received. The referral entities' comments are as follows. A response to the advice is provided as appropriate.

#### CONSERVATOR OF FLORA AND FAUNA

On 30/06/2016 advice was received from the Conservator of Flora and Fauna in relation to the proposal. The advice states that the proposal is not supported:

Ref: Drawing 1/1 Landscape Concepts & Proposed Tree Replacements, Revision 1, dated 30/5/2016.

There are no non-development related criteria which could be found to support the removal of the three regulated trees requested for removal as part of the proposed development.

The trees are noted for removal are as follows: Numbers 75, 78 and 81.

Tree 75, Quercus palustris, Large medium-high quality. Located next to existing building north side of the block.

Tree 78, Ulmus procera, medium quality, co-dominant. Located next to existing basketball court. Tree 81, Large medium-high quality, Quercus palustris. Located adjacent to Brigalow Street.

It was noted that the site contains a wide mix of mature, semi-mature and young trees within the total landscape and that the school is located on quite a small block.

No other regulated trees on the site will be affected if the development if it is approved, however tree protection measures should be implemented if equipment, or materials are to be stored where there are regulated trees on site.

In response to above comments, the applicant requested the planning and land authority to depart from the Conservator's advice and approve the removal of concerned regulated trees pursuant to s119(2) of the Act. The proposal was referred MPRG for further advice and the MPRG supported the removal of regulated Trees 75, 78 & 81 (see **REASONS FOR THE DECISION**).

#### TRANSPORT CANBERRA AND CITY SERVICES DIRECTORATE (TCCS)

On 13/07/2016 advice was received from TCCS in relation to the proposal. The advice states that the proposal is not supported and further information required:

#### **Comments**

1. The proponent needs to submit a traffic impact assessment (TIA) report to support the proposed expansion of the school. This report must analyse any impact on public road network including safe entry / exit (gap analysis, que length, storage capacity etc) of all road users in particular during school peak hours. Adequacy of the bus bays of the expanded school must be demonstrated. This report also needs to recommend appropriate mitigation measures for the traffic impacts (if there any) due to the proposed development. A recent traffic volume count by Roads ACT on the frontage road has been attached for information and consideration in the traffic analysis. However the traffic report should consider future traffic volume on this road after completion of light rail and potential densification of the area.

 The proponent needs to submit an waste management plan in accordance with the Development Control Code for Best Practice Waste Management in the ACT (Waste Code) 2014 for the construction activity and post construction arrangement of the school. If waste collection is proposed on the adjacent land then status of the license arrangement must be mentioned.

TCCS Asset Acceptance would be happy to work with the proponent to resolve above issues.

The applicant liaises with TCCS to resolve the issues in concern, and on 08/08/2016 advice was received from TCCS supporting the proposal with conditions:

#### **Conditions**

- 1. This development application (DA 201629628) is supported based on the traffic statement (dated 15 July 2016) provided by Northrop Consulting Engineers. This traffic statement confirmed that an existing building will be demolished to keep existing capacity of the school and no traffic impact assessment is required. However no details of the demolition work and time frame have been mentioned in the traffic statement. The proponent must agree on the proposed demolition work and possible time frame with Environment and Planning Directorate (EPD) Merit Assessment Team.
- 2. A Temporary Traffic Management Plan (TTMP) approval must be obtained from the Manager, Traffic Management & Safety, Roads ACT, TCCS. All times during construction the site and surrounds shall be managed in accordance with a Temporary Traffic Management Plan, prepared by a suitably qualified person and approved by the Manager, Traffic Management & Safety. This plan is to address, as a minimum, measures to be employed during construction to manage all traffic, including construction traffic, in and around the site, provision of safe pedestrian movement around the site, the provision of parking for construction workers, and associated traffic control devices.
- 3. Landscape Management & Protection Plan (LMPP) approval must be obtained from the Senior Manager, Asset Acceptance, TCCS. During construction, all existing vegetation (trees, shrubs and grass) located on the verge and unleased Territory land immediately adjacent to the development shall be managed, protected and maintained in accordance with the Landscape Management Protection Plan (LMPP) approved by the Senior Manager, Asset Acceptance, TCCS. This plan is to be implemented before the commencement of works, including demolition on the site and is to be in accordance with TCCS Guidelines for the Protection of Public Landscape Assets Adjacent to Development Works-REF-04.

Matters raised have been incorporated as either conditions of approval or advice (Conditions B5, C6 and Advisory Note E1).

#### **ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION AUTHORITY (EPA)**

On 26/07/2016 advice was received from EPA in relation to the proposal. The advice states that the proposal is supported with conditions:

#### Advice:

EPA records indicate that underground fuel storage facilities are located at the site.

Section 6 of the ACT Environment Protection Authority "Environmental Guidelines for Service Station Sites and Hydrocarbon Storage", 2014 requires "All decommissioned tanks must be removed unless there are specific operational or structural reasons as to why they must remain". Following the removal of the tanks an environmental assessment must be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the Contaminated Sites Environment Protection Policy, ACT EPA 2009.

The EPA would support Development Application subject to the following conditions:

#### Conditions:

During demolition, if any, and prior to the commencement of new development works: The underground fuel storage tank and related infrastructure must be removed in accordance with the WorkSafe ACT requirements; The site and any off-site impacted areas (including groundwater, if necessary) must be assessed and remediated (if required) by a suitably qualified environmental consultant in accordance with the ACT Environment Protection Authority (EPA) Contaminated Sites Environment Protection Policy 2009, and associated guidelines;

A copy of the environmental site assessment report into the suitability of the site for its permitted uses must be provided to the EPA for review and endorsement within 15 days of completion of the report;

Any contaminated soil landfarmed on site must be approved by EPA prior to landfarming commencing;

Any soil disposed of from the site must be assessed in accordance with the requirements of EPA Information Sheet No.4 available at <a href="https://www.environment.act.gov.au">www.environment.act.gov.au</a>.

No material is to be removed from site without EPA approval.

All works must be carried out in accordance with "Environment Protection Guidelines for Construction and Land Development in the ACT, March 2011", available by calling 132281.

Matters raised have been incorporated as either conditions of approval or advice (Conditions B5, C6 and Advisory Note E1).

#### ActewAGL Electrical Division

On 06/07/2016 advice was received from Actew Corporation in relation to the proposal. The advice states that the proposal is not supported (attached).

The applicant liaises with Actew to resolve the issues in concern (provided additional information), and on 15/08/2016 advice was received from Actew supporting the proposal with conditions (attached).

Matters raised have been incorporated as either conditions of approval or advice (Conditions B5, C6 and Advisory Note E1).

#### Actew gas networks

On 28/06/2016 advice was received from Jemena in relation to the proposal. The advice states that the proposal is supported with conditions (attached).

Matters raised have been incorporated as either conditions of approval or advice (Conditions B5, C6 and Advisory Note E1).

#### Icon Water

On 29/06/2016 and on 12/08/2016 advice was received from Icon Water in relation to the proposal. The advice states that the proposal is supported with conditions (attached).

Matters raised have been incorporated as either conditions of approval or advice (Conditions B5, C6 and Advisory Note E1).

#### Education and Training Directorate (ETD)

On 14/07/2016 advice was received from ETD in relation to the proposal:

The Education Directorate wishes to submit the following comments and conditions in relation to DA 201629628:

- 1. The Education Directorate would like a construction traffic management plan prepared for the development.
- 2. The Education Directorate requests that access to Brigalow Street by construction vehicles associated with the works proposed in the DA be undertaken outside of the peak pick-up dropoff times of the school during school term (ie 8.30-9.30am and 3.00-4.00pm). This request is to ensure the safety of students travelling to schools along Brigalow Street and the functionality of Brigalow Street. Please consult with EDU if there are any issues regarding this request.
- 3. A temporary 1.8m high security fence be provided around the demolition/construction works, unless the construction is in a secured area. This is to ensure the safety of primary school students accessing Lyneham Primary School.
- The Education Directorate would like information on where construction vehicles will access the site.
- Proof of the provision of sufficient parking for the construction workers is to be provided to
  ensure there is no usage of car parking usually utilised by school staff, visitors or parents of
  students.
- 6. Ensure visibility for the entrance way of the construction works to ensure safety of students walking to school.
- 7. The Education Directorate request that a Traffic impact and parking assessment report be provided to show that the school's current parking arrangements and Brigalow Street can accommodate increased usage resulting from the proposed development.
- Consideration of the noise impact on the Lyneham Primary School and Lyneham High School from 9-3pm (Monday to Friday). Building activities involving high noise levels should be minimised during school hours. Here is the link to the school calendar: <a href="http://www.det.act.gov.au/school\_education/term\_dates">http://www.det.act.gov.au/school\_education/term\_dates\_and\_public\_holidays</a>

The applicant liaises with ETD to resolve the issues in concern, and on 23/08/2016 advice was received from ETD supporting the proposal:

The Education Directorate supports Development Application 201629628 for Block 4 Section 41 Lyneham.

...... note that the proponent has addressed our comments relating to the proposed development's impact on car parking and the local street network and student safety.

#### INTERNAL REFERRAL

### **Transport Planning**

On 14/07/2016 advice was received from EPD Major Projects and Transport (MPAT) in relation to the proposal. The advice states that:

Comment: Major projects and Transport has reviewed the information provided and note the following:

- The area is already under high parking pressure with the survey indicating that the car parks identified are at 90%+ capacity during peak periods.
- The DA officer may wish to request further information from the applicant to identify what alternative options and arrangements could be introduced to reduce the demand for car parking (ie. A workplace travel plan). The DA officer may also wish request information that indicates where short stay (pick up/ drop off spaces) and long stay spaces will be located.
- Northrop has suggested a reduction in long stay parking of 50% for the new development if 3 spaces are provided for teaching staff. It is unclear why this reduction is appropriate.
- The applicant has noted the requirement to provide motorcycle and disability parking, however has not indicated where these spaces will be located or how they will be differentiated from regular car spaces. The DA officer may wish to request this information.
- The application indicates that some of the existing buildings will be demolished following the completion of this development. The DA officer may wish to request further information about this.

This information would be helpful to gain a better understanding of the likely future parking demand created by the school.

In response to above comments, the applicant provided additional information and on 22/08/2016 advice received from MPAT which sates:

MPAT have no issues with the proposal. In relation to the further information it appears that the applicant has satisfactorily addressed the previous comment. The DA officer should ensure that motorcycle and disability parking is provided in accordance with PVAGC

The applicant provided information showing motorcycle and bicycle parking in accordance with the Parking and Vehicular Access General Code (PVAGC).

# PART 4 ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

#### DATE THAT THIS APPROVAL TAKES EFFECT

Unless a condition of approval provides for otherwise this approval takes effect 20 working days after the day this notice of decision is given to every person who made a representation on the application. The effective date for development applications approved subject conditions could also be adjusted if the approval is reconsidered by the planning and land authority or if an application is made to the ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal.

Pursuant to section 184 of the Act, this approval will expire if:

- the development or any stage of the development is not started within two years after the day the approval takes effect;
- · the development is not finished two years after the day the development begins; or
- the development approval relates to land comprised in a lease that requires the development to be completed on a stated date the date stated in the lease for completion of the development, or the approval is revoked under section 189 of the Act.

#### **INSPECTION OF THE APPLICATION AND DECISION**

A copy of the application and the decision can be inspected between 8:30am and 4:30pm weekdays at the Environment and Planning Directorate Dickson Customer Service Centre at 16 Challis Street, Dickson, ACT.

#### RECONSIDERATION OF THE DECISION

If the applicant is not satisfied with the decision to approve the application subject to conditions, they are entitled to apply to the planning and land authority for reconsideration within 20 working days of being told of this decision or within any longer period allowed by the planning and land authority.

To submit an application for reconsideration, documents must be provided electronically by email to <a href="mailto:epdcustomerservices@act.gov.au">epdcustomerservices@act.gov.au</a> or provided at the customer service centre on a CD/DVD. The delegate of the Authority reconsidering the decision must be different from, and senior to, the original decision maker. An application for reconsideration does not prevent an application for a review of the same decision being made to the ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal. Application forms and further information about reconsideration are available from the planning and land authority's website and Customer Service Centres.

#### REVIEW BY THE ACT CIVIL AND ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL (ACAT)

Decisions that are reviewable by the ACAT are identified in Schedule 1 of the *Planning and Development Act 2007*, except for those precluded under Schedule 3 of the *Planning and Development Regulation 2008* – Matters exempt from third-party ACAT review.

### **APPENDIX 1**

#### CONTACT DETAILS OF RELEVANT AGENCIES

Health Directorate	Website: www.health.act.gov.au	
- health protection	Telephone: (02) 6205 1700	
Environment and Planning Directorate		
Planning and land authority  - list of certifiers for building approval - demolition information - asbestos information	Website: www.actpla.act.gov.au Telephone: (02) 6207 1923	
Environment Protection Authority  - environment protection - water resources - asbestos information	Website: www.environment.act.gov.au Telephone: (02) 6207 6251	
Conservation, Planning and Research - threatened species/wildlife management	Website: <u>www.environment.act.gov.au</u> Telephone: (02) 6207 1911	
Territory and Municipal Services Directorate	Website: www.tams.act.gov.au Telephone: 132 281 Telephone for asset acceptance: (02) 6207 7480	
Utilities - Telstra (networks) - TransACT (networks) - Icon Water - Electricity reticulation	Telephone: (02) 8576 9799 Telephone: (02) 6229 8000 Telephone: (02) 6248 3111 Telephone: (02) 6293 5738	

#### **ADVICE TO APPLICANT**

#### SUBMISSION OF REVISED DRAWINGS AND DOCUMENTATION

If a condition of approval requires the applicant to lodge revised drawings and/or documentation with the planning and land authority for approval under section 165 of the *Planning and Development Act 2007* the submission shall be made by:

 Completing an application for S165 Satisfying Conditions of Approval and submitting the documentation online using edevelopment. More information on edevelopment can be found at <a href="http://www.actpla.act.gov.au/tools">http://www.actpla.act.gov.au/tools</a> resources/e-services/edevelopment

For further information regarding the lodgement of this information please contact Customer Service Centre by Phone: (02) 6207 1923, Email: esddcustomerservices@act.gov.au or on the planning and land authority website at www.actpla.act.gov.au.

#### **FURTHER APPROVALS FOR CONSTRUCTION**

The Notice of Decision grants development approval, but does not cover building approval or approvals which may be required during construction, which commonly include the following.

#### **BUILDING APPROVAL**

Most building work requires building approval to ensure it complies with building laws such as the Building Code of Australia. If this applies to this proposal, the lessee should engage a private building certifier to assess and approve the building plans before construction begins. A list of licensed certifiers and information about building approval is available from the planning and land authority's website and Customer Service Centres.

#### PERMITTED VARIATIONS TO APPROVED DEVELOPMENT

Under section 35 of the Planning and Development Regulation 2008 the development as built may vary from the approved development in accordance with section 35 and the permitted construction tolerances and other permitted variations identified in Schedule 1A of that regulation.

Note 1 The development may still need building approval, or further building approval, under the Building Act 2004

Note 2 The development must also comply with the lease for the land on which it is carried out.

#### "TREE DAMAGING ACTIVITY" APPROVAL

A Tree Management Plan under the *Tree Protection Act 2005* is required for approval where it is proposed to undertake groundwork within the tree protection zone of a protected tree or likely to cause damage to, or remove, any trees defined as protected trees by that Act. More information is available from the Territory and Municipal Services Directorate.

#### USE OF VERGES OR OTHER UNLEASED TERRITORY LAND

In accordance with the *Public Unleased Land Act of 2013*, road verges and other unleased Territory land must not be used for the carrying out of works, including the storage of materials or waste, without prior approval of the Territory. Approval can be obtained from the Territory and Municipal Services Directorate.

#### WORKS ON UNLEASED TERRITORY LAND - DESIGN AND OPERATIONAL ACCEPTANCE

In accordance with the *Public Unleased Land Act of 2013*, no work can be undertaken on unleased Territory land without the approval of the Territory. Such approval must be obtained from the Manager Asset Acceptance, Asset Services Group, TAMSD by way of:

- 1. a certificate of design acceptance prior to the commencement of any work and
- 2. a certificate of operational acceptance on completion of all works to be handed over to TAMSD

Works on unleased Territory land may include the construction or upgrading of driveway verge crossings, public footpaths, roads, street lighting, stormwater works, waste collection amenities, street signs and line marking, road furniture and landscaping.

A certificate of compliance under s296 of the *Planning and Development Act 2007* may not be issued unless a certificate of design acceptance **AND** a certificate of operational acceptance has both been obtained from TAMSD.

#### **CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS**

The following information are some key requirements that apply to building work in the Territory. Other requirements may apply to this development.

#### **DEMOLITION AND ASBESTOS MANAGEMENT**

Demolition and asbestos management must be undertaken in accordance with the *Building Act* 2004 (including the Building Code of Australia) and the *Dangerous Substances Act* 2004. Information about demolition and asbestos management is available from the planning and land authority's web site and Customer Service Centres.

#### **ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION**

All building work must be undertaken in accordance with the *Environment Protection Act 1997*, particularly but not exclusively in relation to noise and pollution control. More information is available from the Environment Protection Authority.

#### REPAIR OF DAMAGE TO PUBLIC ASSETS

The applicant/lessee is held responsible for all damage to ACT Government assets (including footpaths) caused by the development and they must properly repair any damage to those assets. Before work commences, they should notify the Territory and Municipal Services Directorate of any existing damage to public facilities.

#### **UTILITY ASSETS RETENTION**

The lessee should obtain a plant location advice from ActewAGL to avoid conflict with existing plant or electrical easements. The lessee will be responsible for the costs associated with the relocation of assets, if necessary. The lessee is to ensure that the water service and water meter are retained in position and in good condition. Icon Water's water meters are accountable items and must not be removed from the site or otherwise disposed of.

#### WATER RESTRICTIONS AND FILLING OF NEW POOLS, PONDS AND FOUNTAINS

Water restrictions or permanent water conservation measures may be in force in the ACT and may prohibit or affect the filling of new pools, ponds and fountains using potable (tap) water drawn from Icon Water's potable water supply system. Applicants wishing to use water drawn from the potable water supply system to fill a new pool, pond or fountain are advised to first contact Icon Water's conservation office on (02) 62483131. Where water restrictions or permanent water conservation measures would otherwise prevent or affect the filling of a new pool, pond or fountain, it might be possible to obtain an exemption to fill the pool, pond or fountain using potable water.

#### **DRAINAGE**

The Building Code of Australia contains provisions affecting surface drainage and the height of finished floor levels. These may apply to this proposal.

#### **REVIEW OF THE DECISION**

The following notes are provided in accordance with regulation 7 of the *ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal Regulation 2009*. Refer to the Review by the ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal (ACAT) section of the Notice of Decision for information about its relevance to this development application.

#### **CONTACT DETAILS**

The review authority is the ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal (ACAT).

Contact details	
Website: www.acat.act.gov.au	
Email: tribunal@act.gov.au	
Telephone: (02) 6207 1740	
Facsimile: (02) 6205 4855	
Post: GPO Box 370, CANBERRA, ACT, 2601	

#### POWERS OF THE ACAT

The ACAT is an independent body. It can review on their merits a large number of decisions made by ACT Government ministers, officials and statutory authorities. The ACAT can agree with, change or reject the original decision, substitute its own decision or send the matter back to the decision maker for reconsideration in accordance with ACAT recommendations.

#### APPLICATIONS TO THE ACAT

To apply for a review, obtain an application form from the ACAT. You can also download the form from the ACT Legislation Register <a href="http://www.legislation.act.gov.au/af/2009-278/current/pdf/2009-278.pdf">http://www.legislation.act.gov.au/af/2009-278/current/pdf/2009-278.pdf</a>.

If you are applying on behalf of an organisation or association of persons, whether incorporated or not, the Tribunal in deciding whether to support this application will consider the effect of the decision being reviewed on the interests of the organisation or association in terms of its objects or purposes. A copy of the relevant documents will be required to be lodged with the Tribunal.

#### TIME LIMITS FOR APPLICATIONS

The time limit to make a request for a review is 28 days from the date of this Notice of decision. The time limit can be extended in some circumstances (refer to sections 10 (2), 10(3), 25(1)(e) and 25(2) of the ACT Civil & Administrative Tribunal Act 2008; section 7 of the ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal Procedure Rules 2009 (No 2); and section 409 of the Planning and Development Act 2007).

#### <u>FEES</u>

Applications to the ACAT, including an application to be joined as a party to a proceeding, require payment of a fee (the Tribunal Registry will advise of the current fee), unless you are receiving legal or financial assistance from the ACT Attorney-General. You can apply to have the fee waived on the grounds of hardship, subject to approval (refer to section 22T of the ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal Act 2008). Decisions to grant assistance are made on the grounds of hardship and that it is reasonable, in all the circumstances, for the assistance to be granted. Write to: The Chief Executive, Justice and Community Safety Directorate, GPO Box 158, CANBERRA ACT 2601. Ask the ACAT for more details.

#### TIME LIMITS FOR REVIEWS OF DECISIONS

The ACAT is required to decide appeals in land and planning and tree protection cases within 120 days after the lodging of the appeal, unless that period is extended by the ACAT upon it being satisfied that it is in the interests of justice to do so.

#### FORMS OF LEGAL, FINANCIAL AND OTHER ADVICE AND ASSISTANCE

The following organisations can provide advice and assistance if you are eligible:

- ACT Attorney-General, write to The Chief Executive, Justice and Community Safety Directorate, GPO Box 158, CANBERRA, ACT, 2601;
- the ACT Legal Aid Office, telephone 1300 654314;
- Legal Advice Bureau, telephone (02) 6247 5700;
- ACT Council of the Ageing, telephone (02) 6282 3777;
- Welfare Rights and Legal Centre, telephone (02) 6247 2177; and
- Environmental Defender's Office (ACT), telephone (02) 6247 9420.

#### **AWARDING OF COSTS**

You will have to pay any costs involved in preparing or presenting your case. The ACAT also has the power to award costs against a party if the party contravenes a direction of the ACAT and the ACAT considers it in the interests of justice to make such an order. This power is in addition to the power of the ACAT to strike out a party and to dismiss an application for failure to comply with the ACAT's directions.

#### ACCESS TO DOCUMENTS ABOUT THE DECISION

You may apply for access to any documents you consider relevant to this decision under the ACT Freedom of Information Act 1989. Information about Freedom of information requests is available on the planning and land authority's web site or by contacting us by phone on (02) 6207 1923.

#### PROCEDURES OF THE ACAT

The procedures of the ACAT are outlined on the ACAT's website, including in the Guide to the Land and Planning Division and the Guide to the Hearing. Contact the ACAT for alternative ways to access information about the ACAT's procedures.

#### TRANSLATION AND INTERPRETER SERVICES

The ACT Government's translation and interpreter service runs 24 hours a day, every day of the week. Telephone 131 450.

ENGLISH If you need interpreting help, telephone:

إذا احتجت لمساعدة في الترجمة الشفوية ، إتمال برقم الهاتف:

CHINESE 如果你需要传译员的帮助,请打电话:
CROATIAN Ako trebate pomoć tumača telefonirajte:

GREEK Αν χρειάζεστε διερμηνέα τηλεφωνήσετε στο

ITALIAN Se avete bisogno di un interprete, telefonate al numero:

MALTESE Jekk ghandek bżonn l-ghajnuna t'interpretu, cempel:

اگر به ترجمه شفاهی احتیاج دارید به این شماره تلفن کنید: PERSIAN

PORTUGUESE Se você precisar da ajuda de um intérprete, telefone:

SERBIAN Ако вам је потребна помоћ преводиоца телефонирајте:

SPANISH Si necesita la asistencia de un intérprete, llame al: TURKISH Tercümana ihtiyacınız varsa lütfen telefon ediniz:

VIETNAMESE Nếu bạn cần một người thông-ngôn hãy gọi điện-thoại:

# TRANSLATING AND INTERPRETING SERVICE 131 450

Canberra and District - 24 hours a day, seven days a week

Sent: Monday, 5 September 2016 2:33 PM

**To:** 'devapp@actewagl.com.au'; 'developmentapplications@iconwater.com.au';

'TAMS.CIS.ASG.DA@ACT.GOV.AU'; EPAPlanningLiaison; 'esddstrategicplanningreferrals@act.gov.au'; TCCS\_CP TreeProtectionACTPLARef; DET School

Planning; Sullivan, Susan; Chadwick, Graham

**Subject:** NOTICE OF DECISION-201629628-4/41 LYNEHAM [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Attachments: DISPATCHADVICECHECKLIST-201629628-01.obr; NOTICE OF DECISION-201629628-SIGNED.obr

Good Afternoon,

Please see attached Notice of Decision for 4/41 LYNEHAM Development Application No: 201629628

Kind regards,

Customer Services Phone: 02 6207 1923

Access Canberra | Environment & Planning | ACT Government

Dame Pattie Menzies House 16 Challis Street Dickson ACT | GPO Box 1908 Canberra ACT 2601



**Sent:** Monday, 5 September 2016 3:14 PM

To:

Subject: NOTICE OF DECISION-201629628-4/41 LYNEHAM [SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Attachments: NOTICE OF DECISION-201629628-SIGNED#2.pdf; LETTER TO REPRESENTOR

ndf

#### ADVICE TO REPRESENTOR AFTER DECISION

BLOCK: 4 SECTION: 41 SUBURB: LYNEHAM DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION NUMBER: 201629628

Development Application Number 201629628 has been subject to conditions.

As you lodged a representation in relation to this Development Application please find attached a copy of the Notice of Decision in accordance with the requirements of Section 170 of the <u>Planning</u> and Development Act 2007.

A copy of the application and the decision are also available for inspection on the Public Register. The register can be inspected between 8:30am and 4:30pm weekdays at the ACT Planning and Land Authority Customer Service Centre, 16 Challis Street, Dickson, ACT.

If you wish to seek a review of the decision with the ACT Civil and Administrative Appeals Tribunal (ACAT), you must lodge an application form together with the required fee within 28 days from the date of this letter to:

ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal Level 4, 1 Moore Street (the Health Building) CANBERRA CITY, ACT, 2601

An application form can be obtained from either the ACAT at Level 4, 1 Moore Street, Canberra City or from the ACT Planning and Land Authority Customer Service Centre, 16 Challis Street, Dickson. If you require further information about the ACAT's requirements or the review process, their office can be contacted on (02) 6207 1740.

If you apply for a review of the decision, the Authority will at the direction of the ACAT, give written notice to the applicant, and any interested parties that:

- You have applied to the ACAT for a review of the decision; and
- □ They are entitled to apply to be made a party to the proceedings for the review.

The applicant's name and postal address can be obtained from the Public Register.

As this application has been approved subject to conditions, the applicant may also apply to the ACAT for a review of the decision. If this occurs you will be advised, and have a right to the Tribunal to be made a party to the proceedings (i.e. you can apply to the Tribunal to attend the review hearings where you will have the opportunity to present your case).

If you require any further information please contact (02) 6207 1923.

Yours sincerely

**Customer Services** 

05 September 2016



BLOCK: 4 SECTION: 41 SUBURB: LYNEHAM DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION NUMBER: 201629628

Development Application Number 201629628 has been approved subject to conditions.

As you lodged a representation in relation to this Development Application please find attached a copy of the Notice of Decision in accordance with the requirements of Section 170 of the *Planning and Development Act 2007*.

A copy of the application and the decision are also available for inspection on the Public Register. The register can be inspected between 8:30am and 4:30pm weekdays at the ACT Planning and Land Authority Customer Service Centre, 16 Challis Street, Dickson, ACT.

If you wish to seek a review of the decision with the ACT Civil and Administrative Appeals Tribunal (ACAT), you must lodge an application form together with the required fee within 28 days from the date of this letter to:

ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal Level 4, 1 Moore Street (the Health Building) CANBERRA CITY, ACT, 2601

An application form can be obtained from either the ACAT at Level 4, 1 Moore Street, Canberra City or from the ACT Planning and Land Authority Customer Service Centre, 16 Challis Street, Dickson. If you require further information about the ACAT's requirements or the review process, their office can be contacted on (02) 6207 1740.

If you apply for a review of the decision, the Authority will at the direction of the ACAT, give written notice to the applicant, and any interested parties that:

- You have applied to the ACAT for a review of the decision; and
- □ They are entitled to apply to be made a party to the proceedings for the review.

The applicant's name and postal address can be obtained from the Public Register.

As this application has been approved subject to conditions, the applicant may also apply to the ACAT for a review of the decision. If this occurs you will be advised, and have a right to the Tribunal to be made a party to the proceedings (i.e. you can apply to the Tribunal to attend the review hearings where you will have the opportunity to present your case).

If you require any further information please contact (02) 6207 1923.

Yours sincerely

**Customer Services** 

05 September 2016

**Sent:** Tuesday, 6 September 2016 8:47 AM

**To:** 'studio@sqca.com.au'

**Cc:** 'gregz@wings-of-eagles.com'

Subject: NOTICE OF DECISION & ENTITY ADVICE-201629628-4/41 LYNEHAM (Email 1 of 3)

Attachments: Icon Water Application Decision. Application - 155808. Lyneham - 4/41 (Email 4 of 4); Icon

Water Application Decision. Application - 156837. Lyneham - 4/41; NOTICE OF

DECISION-201629628-SIGNED.pdf

#### Good Morning,

Please see attached Notice of Decision & Entity Advice for 4/41 LYNEHAM Development Application No: 201629628

Kind regards,

Customer Services Phone: 02 6207 1923

Access Canberra | Environment & Planning | ACT Government

Dame Pattie Menzies House 16 Challis Street Dickson ACT | GPO Box 1908 Canberra ACT 2601



**Sent:** Tuesday, 6 September 2016 8:47 AM

**To:** 'studio@sqca.com.au'

**Cc:** 'gregz@wings-of-eagles.com'

Subject: NOTICE OF DECISION & ENTITY ADVICE-201629628-4/41 LYNEHAM (Email 2 of 3)

[SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

**Attachments:** COMM - Jemena - 201629628 - Block 4 SEction 41 Lyneham; Icon Water Application Decision.

Application - 155808. Lyneham - 4/41 (Email 1 of 4); Icon Water Application Decision. Application - 155808. Lyneham - 4/41 (Email 2 of 4); Icon Water Application Decision.

Application - 155808. Lyneham - 4/41 (Email 3 of 4)

#### Good Morning,

Please see attached Notice of Decision & Entity Advice for 4/41 LYNEHAM Development Application No: 201629628

Kind regards,

Customer Services Phone: 02 6207 1923

Access Canberra | Environment & Planning | ACT Government

Dame Pattie Menzies House 16 Challis Street Dickson ACT | GPO Box 1908 Canberra ACT 2601

**Sent:** Tuesday, 6 September 2016 8:47 AM

**To:** 'studio@sqca.com.au'

**Cc:** 'gregz@wings-of-eagles.com'

Subject: NOTICE OF DECISION & ENTITY ADVICE-201629628-4/41 LYNEHAM (Email 3 of 3)

[SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Attachments: ActewAGL Application Decision. Application - 155782. Lyneham - 4/41; ActewAGL Application

Decision. Application - 156859. Lyneham - 4/41; FW: COMM-JEMENA-201629628-BLOCK 4

**SECTION 41 LYNEHAM** 

#### Good Morning,

Please see attached Notice of Decision & Entity Advice for 4/41 LYNEHAM Development Application No: 201629628

Kind regards,

Customer Services Phone: 02 6207 1923

Access Canberra | Environment & Planning | ACT Government

Dame Pattie Menzies House 16 Challis Street Dickson ACT | GPO Box 1908 Canberra ACT 2601

Planning and Development Act 2007

# **Development Application**

Application Number: 201629628S165C

# Type of Application

The type of application you are applying for is a S165 Amendment

Lease/Site Details			
Site Number: 1			
Suburb	Section	Block Number	Unit Number
LYNEHAM	41	4	136
Street Address			
136 BRIGALOW STREET, LYNE	HAM		
Applicant Details			
ACN or ABN			
81091693350			
Company Name		Position held / Title	
SQC Architecture		Architect	
Salutation First Name	Suri	name	
None Remco	De	Vries	
Postal Address 1	Postal Add	ress 2	
PO Box 4262			
Postal Address 3			
Suburb	State/Territory	Postcode Cou	ntry
HAWKER	ACT	2614 Aus	stralia
Phone Number	Fax Number	Mobile Nur	mber
62788500			
Email			
studio@sqca.com.au			
Lessee (Property Owne	ers) Details		
Lessee Number: 1			

Is the Lessee a

<ul><li>Agency</li></ul>				
Australian Business Number (ABN)	)			
21100229669				
Company Name		Position held / Tit	tle	
Brindabella Christian Education L	imited	Chair		
Salutation First Name	Su	rname		
None Greg	Zı	wajgenberg		
Postal Address 1	Postal Ad	dress 2		
136 Brigalow Street				
Postal Address 3				
Suburb	State/Territory	Postcode	Country	
Lyneham	ACT	2602	Australia	
Phone Number	Fax Number	Mol	bile Number	
62474644	62487940			
Email				

### List Amendments Being Satisfied

All drawings addressing minor inconsistencies between te drawings i.e. inconsistent notations, identifications of existing buildings etc.

A001 - SITING OF PROPOSED JUNIOR SCHOOL BUILDING.PDF --> INCONSISTENT NAMING OF BUILDING A002 - SITE PLAN.PDF --> INCONSISTENT NAMING OF BUILDING

C150918-C201 EXTERNAL SERVICES PLAN.PDF --> INCONSISTENT PROJECT TITLE, INCONSISTENT NAMING OF BUILDING

C150918-C202 EXTERNAL SERVICES DETAILS.PDF --> INCONSISTENT PROJECT TITLE C150918-C203 WATER METER PIT DETAILS.PDF --> INCONSISTENT PROJECT TITLE 11167.02\_DT\_001\_REV A\_SIGNED.PDF --> INCONSISTENT NAMING OF BUILDING

## **Applicant Declaration**

I/we declare that this application is accompanied by all of the required information or documents that address the relevant rules and/or relevant criteria for it to be considered for approval;

I /we understand that this application will be considered lodged once any relevant application fees have been paid;

I/we understand that the documentation provided on CD/DVD or via the electronic lodgment process will be considered to be the relevant documentation associated with this application. All development application documentation will be made available for public inspection including via the Internet unless exclusion has been approved; I/we understand that an amendment may be notified in accordance with the requirements of the Planning and Development Act 2007.

I/we declare that all the information given on this form and its attachments is true and complete;

If signing on behalf of a company, organisation or Government agency: -

I/we the undersigned, declare I/we have the appropriate delegation or authority to sign on behalf of the company, organisation or Government agency.

I accept the above declarations:

**✓** Accept

**Acceptance Date** 

10/10/2016





