

**To:** [Environment](#)  
**Subject:** Comment on Draft Kangaroo Management Plan  
**Date:** Friday, 24 March 2017 10:57:56 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[Roo Mgt ACT Wildlife.pdf](#)

---

Dear Ms Lane,

Please find attached some comments from ACT Wildlife.

Kind regards,

**President, ACT Wildlife**

# ACT Wildlife



Rescue • Rehabilitate • Release

**ACT Wildlife submission:**

## **Eastern Grey Kangaroos: Draft Controlled Native Species Management Plan**

The Kangaroo Management document is sound, although very verbose with a lot of repetition.

I was wondering, though, why **4.3.1 (e) Keeping of kangaroos by wildlife carers** needs to be more than this one paragraph:

*In the ACT, the ACT Wildlife has the role of caring for sick, injured and orphaned wildlife and does not hand-rear young Eastern Grey Kangaroos. It is an offence under the NC Act to keep any kangaroo, including young, for more than 48 hours without a licence.*

and then include the last para:

*In 2011 the ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal upheld an appeal against an earlier decision not to issue a licence to a group of carers in NSW for the export from the ACT of orphaned kangaroos to be hand reared and released in NSW. The licence for the export of 35 'dependent animals' has been renewed annually since that time. While the Tribunal acknowledged the licence was contrary to the policy applying to rearing and releasing in the ACT it approved the application on the basis inter alia that the kangaroos would be reared and released in NSW. The reasons the application was opposed in the first instance are still current and relate to animal welfare issues and human safety. + Table*

The middle of 4.3.1 (e) is not necessary as the licence states that no Eastern Grey Kangaroo joeys are to be raised in the ACT.

Page 34 4.3.1 (g). The last sentence has included 'euthanised' where the rest of the document uses 'euthanased'.